Fergana Valley

Baseline survey for CRP "Dryland Systems"

Introduction

This document gives a standard set of questions that can be asked of the Baseline Survey data and shows the tables that could be produced to answer those questions. An R syntax file will be developed to produce these tables.

Calculated Indices

We suggest calculating the following indices. Many of the tables will be split according to levels of these indices. We will also look at the gender split in types of household looking at female-headed versus male-headed households and look at cross tabulations between combinations of different indices.

Part A: General Information

Province: Batken.

District: Batken.

Villages: Chek, Janijer.

Total respondents (Households): 120.

Please see Annex I for additional information.

Part B: Respondent and household head's background

Gender distribution of the respondents

Gender of the respondent	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Male	108	90%
Female	12	10%
Missing	0	0%
TOTAL	120	100%

The decision maker

Area	Male	Female	Jointly	
At farm/plot level	14%	14%	72%	
Crop Management	22%	19%	59%	
Livestock management	23%	13%	64%	

Part C: Demographic characteristics of the Sample

Gender proportion of the sample

Number of Households/Families	Male	Female
120	348	359

Sample Families classification

Category	Male	Female	Total	
≤ 7 years old	53	45	98	
8 – 14 years	35	46	81	
15 – 24 years	70	77	147	
25 – 65 years	176	173	349	
> 65 years old	18	14	32	

Sample off-farm income

Category	Male	Female
Off-farm	50	42

Education level of the Sample

Education level	Male	Female
Basic education	289	295
Elementary school	246	233
College	17	23
Higher education (University, B.Sc, M.A.)	31	26
Ph.D, postgraduate, postdoctoral	0	0

Family labor contribution to own farm

Гotal	Average	per family
Female	Male	Female
220	1.77	1.83
	Female	Female Male

Part D: Financial, physical, natural and social capital

Sources of income

Source	Total number of household
Farm	109
Government salaries, pension	65
Salaries from working in private sector	14
Own non-farm business	6
Other (e.g. from working abroad)	26

Share of Agriculture and Livestock in annual total family income

Average Share of agriculture and livestock in total family income	49%
The estimated mean of the annual total income from crops	61797 KGS
The estimated mean of the annual total income from livestock	31268 KGS
The estimated mean of the annual income from off-farm activity	53510 KGS

Housing conditions

In average per family

Number of rooms in total	Living	Heated	With electricity	Toilet	Washing
5	4	1,5	3,1	1	0,3

House/farm area in average per family (square meters)

Living space/area	Non living space/area	Backyard/adjoining the farm	Farm space
83,4	22,8	1480	7,15

Estimated cost of the house (in local currency, KGS)

Minimum	Lower quartile	Median	Mean	Higher quartile	Maximum
0	462 500	1 000 000	910 200	1 200 000	5 000 000

Leadership of the household head in local community

The proportion of the leadership

Total number of Households	Number of households where the head is the Leader
120	12 (overall percentage 10%)

Other members of the household in community organizations

Number of households	Male	Female
14	7 (50 %)	7 (50%)

And from this, Average per household

Male	Female
0,05	0,05

Government support

On crop fails/damages

Up to 25%	Up to 50%	Up to 75%	Up to 100%
0	1	0	0

Visits to agricultural specialists / rural advisory services over the last 12 months

	Number of visits
Men	5
Women	43
Youth	0

Visits of agricultural specialists / rural advisory services to the household over the last 12 months

No visit	1-5 times	6-10 times	More than 10 times
	5		
			62
	3		
		10	
	No visit	5	3

Quality of the Visits of agricultural specialists / rural advisory services to the household over the last 12 months (Repeat the following table for Relevant for Men, Relevant for Woman and Relevant for Youth)

	Very useful	Useful	Acceptable	Somehow useful	Not useful	No idea
Governmental		7				
institutions						
Farmer cooperatives	5	4				
or groups						
Neighbor farmers						

NGOs	30	28		
Media				
Private	5			
Other				

Strengthening the Capacity building

Repeat the following table for Relevant for Men, Relevant for Woman and Relevant for Youth

	On-farm	Field days for	Field days for	Other Trainings
	demonstration	crop	livestock	
Men	4	4	2	3
Women	5	7	7	8
Youth	0	0	0	0

The proportion of the attendance in capacity building

Total number of Households	Number of households attended in capacity
	building events
120	40

Main topics discussed with agricultural specialists

	Crop	Livestock		Social	Pets	Other
			Management	Organization	control	
Relevant for Men	5	2				
Relevant for Women	5				1	1
Relevant for Youth	1					

Women free access to agricultural consultations

Title	Total	Has access
Free access to agricultural	359	84 %
consultations		
Freely participate trainings	359	98 %
outside home		

Access to Land

Access to land as on January 1, 2015

Title	Irrigated (ha)	Rainfed (ha)	
Own land	95,91	49,3	
Rented (shared in)	130,55	30,2	
Rented (shared out)	11,4	9,1	
Communal	41,55	187,1	
Area planted to trees	0	0,5	

Land owned by women

Land registered to women 62. Total area: 11,19 ha (irrigated), 6,26 ha (rainfed).

Household assets

Name of asset	Total	Average condition	Estimated value (average per asset)
Tractor	7	Good	154 286 KGS
Combine harvester	-	-	-
Water pump	1	Good	200 000 KGS
Automobile	60	Good	186 337 KGS
Picks-ups	16	Good	176 250 KGS
Trucks	1	Bad	220 000 KGS
Grain storage structures	6	Good	18750 KGS
Television (TV)	113	Good	3997 KGS
Satellite	56	Good	64400 KGS
Radio, cassette or CD player	32	Good	898,6 KGS
Mobile Phones	711	Good	445,7 KGS
Phone (land line)	42	Good	588 KGS
Refrigerator	82	Good	9555 KGS
Washing Machine	73	Good	4397,3 KGS
Cupboards	76	Good	7346 KGS
Carpets	240	Very good	4559 KGS
Gold (value)	143	Good	3191 KGS

The proportion of the main assets per household

Asset	Total (N)	Household has (percentage %)
Tractor	7	0,58
Combine harvester	-	-
Water pump	1	0,008
Automobile	60	0,5
Picks-ups	16	0,13
Trucks	1	0,008
Grain storage structures	6	0,05
Television (TV)	113	0,94
Satellite	56	0,46
Radio, cassette or CD player	32	0,26
Mobile Phones	711	5,9
Phone (land line)	42	0,35
Refrigerator	82	0,68
Washing Machine		0,61

Livestock production

Livestock types per household

Туре	Total (N)	Per household (N)
Milk cows	125	
Non milk cows	858	
Ох	304	
Camel	0	
Sheep	77	
Goats	197	
Poultry	60	
Equines	0	
Bee hives	0	

Livestock production per household per year

Туре	Total (N)	Producing HH (%)	Per household (N)
Milk production (I)	116070	82 (68%)	967
Wool production (kg)	120	14 (12 %)	1

Household gross income (from January 2014 to December 2014)

Income from	Average Income	Households (N)
Rainfed crops	58000 KGS	4
Irrigated crops	77000 KGS	92
Farm work	21400 KGS	20
Non-farm work	68500 KGS	4
Livestock	22522 KGS	23
Cattle	45807 KGS	49
Goats and Sheep	15772 KGS	21
Poultry	1951 KGS	6
Selling plant products	31250 KGS	4
Hiring equipment	25000 KGS	2
Rent from land	50500 KGS	2
Regular salaried jobs	92125 KGS	24
Private Business	90000 KGS	6
Pension	60159 KGS	34
Outside work	82411 KGS	18
Social programs	33134 KGS	9
Other	55357 KGS	14

Part E: Agricultural production

Average grain and straw yields (kg per ha)

Crops	Normal year	Bad year	Best year (last 10 years)
Wheat	-	-	-
Barley	-	-	-
Rice	-	-	-
Lentil	-	-	-
Chickpea	1321	553	2008
Faba bean	1560	1275	2010
Straw	100	50	202
Maize	1144	544	1969
Фасоль	560	392	2011
Tabaco	1057	375	1977

Last 10 years classification

Normal years (N)	Bad years (N)	Good years (N)
3	4	2

Home garden

Availability of home garden on households: 84% (101 households)

Average area of home garden on households: 15 m²

Irrigated (fresh water) home gardens: 85% (85 home gardens)

Irrigated (gray water) home gardens: 14% (14 home gardens)

Rainfed home gardens: 2 % (2 home gardens)

Average family income from home gardens: 158253 KGS

Main crops planted (list of top crops planted on home gardens)

Crop	Home gardens (N)
абрикос	52
кукуруза	30
табак	24
фруктовые	8
фасоль	6
Лук	3
Перец	2

Soil salinity (in percentage)

Non-saline	Slightly saline	Medium saline	Highly saline
0%	30%	68%	2%

Seed source

Source	Households (%)
Local seed producers	42%
Relatives	40%
Private seed companies	10%
Agro dealers	4%
Neighbors	3%
Farmer coops	1%

Sowing methods

Manual (%)	Mechanical (%)	Drill (%)	ZT seeder (%)	Other (%)	
69%	7%	3%	1%	20%	

Source of irrigation

River (%)	Canal (%)	Well (%)	Other (%)	
0%	100%	0%	0%	

Types of stress

No stress (%)	Pest (%)	Drought (%)	Extreme heat (%)	Deseases (%)
2%	39%	38%	21%	0%

Responsible person for mechanical application of pesticides

Men (%)	Women (%)	Other (%)	
51%	19%	30%	

Responsible person for hand application of pesticides

Men (%)	Women (%)	Other (%)
64%	22%	14%

Keeping security norms during pesticides application

Yes (%)	No (%)
66%	34%

Responsible person for irrigation

Men (%)	Women (%)
90%	10%

Can women be responsible for irrigation?

Yes (%)	No (%)
5%	95%

Main reason why woman cannot be responsible for irrigation

Reasons
физически не по сидам
сил не хватит распределить вод
она не успевает привать всю но
занета домашним хозяйством

Local and improved varieties

Questions	Wheat	Rice	Mung- bean	Legume	Nuts	Maize	Potato	Vege- tables
Area under each variety (ha)	0	0	0	363	0	1810	39	100
Knowing about new improved varieties (%)	3	3	0	16	3	55	5	15
Usage of new improved varieties (%)	0	0	0	20	0	63	3	13

Reason for not using new improved varieties

Low yield	Seed unavailability	Disease problem	Pest problem	Poop product quality	Other
57%	14%	0,1%	0,1%	8%	21%

Usage of zero tillage in the farm/plot: 0,2% (3 households).

Part F: Access, quality, quantity and management of water resources

River (%)	Canal (%)	Well (%)	Other (%)
-	56%	-	-

Communally owned

River (%)	Canal (%)	Well (%)	Other (%)
-	65%	-	-

Depth of water source

River (m)	Canal (m)	Well (m)	Other (%)
-	8	-	-

Overall quantity of water

River (%)	Canal (%)		Well (%)	Other (%)
-	Good Average	Bad		-
	100%			

Structure is with oil engine (%)

River	Canal	Well	Other
-	-	-	-

Structure is with electric engine (%)

River	Canal	Well	Other
-	-	-	-

Actual areas the structure is irrigating (ha)

River	Canal	Well	Other
-	243	-	-

Potential areas the structure is irrigating (ha)

River	Canal	Well	Other
-	404	-	-

Part G: Livestock production and management

Water source for animals (%)

River	Canal	Well	Artesian well	Drainage well	Pond
0%	55%	1%	18%	26%	0%

Freshness of water for animals (%)

Drinking water	Salty water	Unknown
18%	53%	28%

Last year the average percentage of lambs/kids/claves, used for: (%)

Replacement	Sales	Other purposes
0%	22,1%	0%

Flock structure for the last 12 months

Type of breed

Sheep	Goat	Cattle	
Local	Local	Local	

Reason of choice

Flock	Productivity	Adaptation	Quality	Marketability
Sheep	-	100%	-	-
Goat	-	90%	-	10%
Cattle	6%	90%	2%	2%

Main product from this breed

Flock	Milk	Meat	Both	
Sheep		100%		
Goat		80%	20%	
Cattle	42%	1%	57%	

Average flock size (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
25	17	4

Average males on flocks (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
5	7	1,7

Average females on flocks (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
20	10	2,3

Average lambs (3-6 months) on flocks (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
7	3,7	1,2

Average born lambs this year (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle	
6	6,8	1,4	

Average born lambs last year (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle	
6	6	1,3	

Average twins per flock (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
2	2	-

Average dead animals per flock (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
0,01	0,01	0,01

Average dead lambs per flock (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle	
0,01	0,01	0,01	

Average abortions per flock (N)

Sheep	Goat	Cattle
0	0	0,06

Animal breeding

13

Practiced method for mating of cattle

Natural	Artificial insemination	Both	
98%	4%	2%	

Getting insemination services

Private sector	State/public sector
77%	23%

Total number of inseminated animals last year season

Total number of new-born animals were received last year 143

Total number of males replacing in herds every year 17

Source of new males (%)

Own flock	Outside	Both
74%	1%	25%

Milk processing

Processing milk at home Yes (%) 67 No (%) 23

5

Selling excess dairy products Yes (%) 62 No (%) 38

Responsible person for cattle breeding

Animal	Men	Women	Children
Cattle	69%	29%	2%
Small cattle	48%	48%	4%
Poultry	43%	14%	43%

Animal health

Knowing about sickness can be transmitted from cattle to human Yes (%) 87 No (%) 13

Average visit of vet within last year season 3

Treating animals for parasites

Parasite name	Treatment date	Treatment date	Treatment date
ящур	March, 2014		November, 2014
чесотка	March, 2014	October, 2014	
Бруцеллёз	April, 2014	October, 2014	
Шарп	March, 2014	October, 2014	
пастрелёз	April, 2014	October, 2014	

Part H: Agricultural markets and food security

Livestock and animal market access

Average distance to the nearest animal market (km)

11,2

Purpose of visiting the animal market (%)

Sell	Buy	Brokerage	Other
71	16	2	11

Average animal transportation cost (in local currency)

167,2 KGS

Average animals, which has been sold/bought last year per household

2,8

Responsible person in Household for selling/buying animals (%)

Men	Women	Other
92%	5%	3%

Average distance to the nearest crop and livestock products market (km)

11,27

Purpose of visiting the livestock products market (%)

Sell	Buy	Brokerage	Other	
46	54	0	0	

Average crop and livestock products transportation cost (in local currency)

153,15 KGS

Responsible person in Household for selling/buying at crop and livestock products market (%)

Men	Women	Other	
37%	48%	14%	

Access to food

Question		No	How of	ten did this	happen
	(%)	(%)	Rarely	Someti	Often
			(%)	mes (%)	(%)
Did you worry that your household would not have	85%	15%	24%	13%	17%
enough food to eat over the month?					
Were you or any household member not able to eat the	48%	10%	16%	21%	11%
kinds of foods you preferred because of lack of income					
to buy or inability to produce?					
Did you or any other household member eat smaller	38%	9%	13%	19%	5%
meals in a day because of a lack of access to food (either					

because of a lack of income or lack of household production)?

production,:					
Did you or any other household member eat fewer	28%	13%	10%	15%	3%
meals in a day because there was not enough food?					
Did you or any household member go to sleep at night	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
hungry because there was not enough food?					
Did you or any of your members go a WHOLE DAY	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
without eating because there was not enough food?					

Household coping strategy

Type of coping strategies	Yes (%)	No (%)
Purchased food on credit	17	83
Borrowed food, helped by relatives or the community	53	47
Adults ate less food so that children could eat more	46	54
Consumed seed stock held for next season	17	83
Sent children to live with relatives	26	74
Bartered food or non- food items to buy more staple food	90	10
Used up savings in order to purchase food	92	8
Reduced expenditure on health and education in order to purchase food	62	38
Borrowed money from relatives / neighbors in order to purchase food	59	41
Sold HH poultry – chicken , ducks, etc. in order to purchase other types of food	36	64
Sold HH articles (utensils, blankets, building materials, jewelry) in order to purchase	0	100
food		
Sold small ruminant (animals) goats, sheep in order to purchase other types of food	36	64
Sold big animals (Cattle, donkeys, camels,) in order to purchase other types of food	59	41
Sold agricultural tools, seeds. in order to purchase food	24	76
Stopped smoking Cigarettes in order to save costs that allowed you to purchase food	52	48
Accepted food aid from an international organization or government agency	17	83

Main sources of accessing food on households over previous 30 days

Item	Percentage
Own production	41
Sale of livestock	12
Trade / small business	11
Regular monthly salary	13
Daily (Agriculture and non-agriculture labor)	5
Remittance	8
Public help	0
Help from relatives/friends	10

Receiving cash assistance from the social welfare fund

Yes (%) 15

No (%) 85

Household diet diversity

Food groups	Frequency per week			
	Daily	3-5 times	1-2 times	Nothing
	(HH)	(HH)	(HH)	(HH)
Wheat, rice, maize, sorghum, millet	38	41	41	0
Pumpkins, carrots, squash, etc.	10	15	84	6
Potatoes, beet roots and other roots/tubers	107	6	8	0
Spinach, cabbage and other green leafy vegetables	4	19	77	6
Other vegetables: tomato, cauliflower, cucumber, and others	21	28	62	0
Legumes\ vegetable proteins- all green beans, green\dry peas, lentils, nuts and other leguminous vegetables	4	12	76	9
fruits- Grapes, peach, plum, apple, pear, pomegranate, orange, and other fruits	0	8	97	12
Meat – goat meat, sheep meat, chicken , beef, and other meat	35	25	61	1
Poultry based products – chicken eggs, duck eggs, and other eggs	3	18	80	6
Fish, Sea/river fish products	0	7	44	40
Dairy products – milk, yogurt, etc.	65	27	13	1
Oils and fats – cooking oils, cheese , butter ,ghee, etc.	90	4	12	0
Sugar and honey	80	13	28	1
Chocolates and candies	6	15	71	23
Confectionary products: biscuits, traditional sweets, cakes	5	15	82	13
Beverages	2	5	75	20
Coffee, tea	118	1	3	0

Proportion of seasons on lack of food products

Winter (%)	Spring (%)	Summer (%)	Autumn (%)	
3%	94%	2%	1%	_
Enough finance t	o purchase good quo	ality food products	Yes (%) 38	No (%) 62
Women have access to full and quality food in the family			Yes (%) 83	No (%) 17

Part I: Agricultural policy

Satisfaction of participation in/contribution to community-related decision making

Satisfied (%)	Partially satisfied (%)	Unsatisfied (%)
58	32	9

Satisfaction on degree of enforcement of community decisions

Satisfied (%)	Partially satisfied (%)	Unsatisf	ied (%)
46	49	10	
Women participate in de	cision making at family level	Yes (%) 97	No (%) 3
Women participate in decision making at community level		Yes (%) 61	No (%) 39

Part J: Borrowing and access to credit

Who makes decision on borrowing or credit in the family	Men (%) 81	Women (%) 19
Reliable sources for borrowing in times of need	Yes (%) 47	No (%) 53
Average maximum amount to borrow (local currency)	34022 KGS	
Access to other sources of funding	Yes (%) 49	No (%) 51
Critical shortage of availability funds for agricultural activities	Yes (%) 97	No (%) 3

Proportion of months, facing critical fund shortages

January - March (%)	April - June (%)	July - September (%)	October - December (%)
23	77	0	0

Receiving any cash/input credit of any source in the last 12 months Yes (%) 17 No (%) 83

Receiving any cash/input credit of any source by family member in the last 12 months Yes (%) 16 No (%) 84

Received loan sources

Bank (%)	Local money lender (%)	Neighbor farmers (%)	NGO (%)	Government (%)	Relatives (%)	Other (%)
58	6	0	0	3	28	6
Receiving loans	s in time			Yes (%) 24		No (%) 76
Ability of return	ning loan on tim	e		Yes (%) 26		No (%)74
Regularly takin	g loans			Yes (%) 8		No (%) 92
Got the loan		Men	(%) 75	Women (%)	25 Youth (%) 0

Part K: Agricultural production system vulnerability and local coping mechanisms used by households

Major shocks encountered

Observing over the past ten years:

Drought	Yes (%) 98	No (%) 2	Frequency (N) 3,18
Hailstorm	Yes (%) 98	No (%) 2	Frequency (N) 2,15
Flood	Yes (%) 67	No (%) 33	Frequency (N) 2,08
Animal disease	Yes (%) 68	No (%) 32	Frequency (N) 2,05
Untimely rains	Yes (%) 58	No (%) 42	Frequency (N) 7,88
Irregular weather	Yes (%) 32	No (%) 68	Frequency (N) 2,84
Pest damage to crops	Yes (%) 23	No (%) 77	Frequency (N) 4,30
Major changes in crop pattern	Yes (%) 3	No (%) 97	Frequency (N) 1,33
Crop failure	Yes (%) 58	No (%) 42	Frequency (N) 2,79
Loss of assets	Yes (%) 0	No (%) 100	Frequency (N) -
Loss of income	Yes (%) 20	No (%) 80	Frequency (N) 6,21
Food insecurity/shortage	Yes (%) 21	No (%) 79	Frequency (N) 6,76
Death of livestock	Yes (%) 57	No (%) 43	Frequency (N) 2,63

Adaptation strategies

Percentage
71
1
0
1
1
2
0
1
3
3
3
2
3
0

Borrowed money from relatives/others	4
Relying on assistance from government/NGOs	0
Less food consumption or changed food habits	3
Shifted to non-farm employment	1
Out migration to cities	1
Other	1

Insurance

Purchase crop insurance this year Yes (%) 0 No (%) 100

Reason for not purchasing the insurance

Reason	Percentage
No trust to insurance company	2
Didn't get payments when lost obtained in the past	0
Didn't hear about the program	96
No money for insurance purchase	1
Religion reasons	0
Other	1

Insurance provider

Provider	Percentage
Government	1
Private company	0
Other	99

Average insurance price per hectare (local currency) 1,75 KGS

Did you get any payment during the last 5 years Yes (%) 0 No (%) 100

Decision maker on insurance in the family: Men (%) 55 Women (%) 6 Together (%) 39

Women can independently make harvest insurance on her own: Yes (%) 47 No (%) 53

Accessibility of information on harvest insurance Yes (%) 1 No (%) 99

Annex I. Detailed information about households

Villa	HH Fullname	Visit	НН	НН	НН	Male Family member					Female Family member					
ge		Date	Sex	Age	Ag	under					under					
					ri.	7	1-	15-	25-	>6	7	1-	15-	25-	>65	
					Ex p.		14	24	65	5		14	24	65		
Chek	Сапаралиев Даниар	2015/4 /10	Male	27	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Chek	Дарбышев Раимберди	2015/4 /7	Male	42	16				1			3	1	1		
Chek	Жооруфов Курбанбек	2015/4 /9	Male	42	25			1	1			1	1	1		
Chek	Эркебаев Ниязбек	2015/4 /9	Male	45	27		1	1	1				1	1		
Chek	Сапаралиев Абдуманнон	2015/4 /7	Male	54	30	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	
Chek	Каримкусов Максат	2015/4 /5	Male	30	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Chek	Касимов Зайнидин	2015/4 /15	Male	45	28			2	1				1	1		
Chek	Шерматов Бахон	2015/4 /4	Male	50	22		1	1	3	1			1	1		
Chek	Шовруков Ысмоиали	2015/4 /3	Male	62	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	
Chek	Мирзакматов Сапарали	2015/4	Male	59	0				1					3		
Chek	Закиров Абдижалил	2015/4	Male	50	30				2					3		
Chek	Закиров Раимберди	2015/4 /3	Male	29	3				1				1			
Chek	Байзаков Садир	2015/4 /4	Male	62	37			1	1				2	1		
Chek	Кочкарова Салима	2015/4 /10	Male	47	27							1		1		
Chek	Матаева.А.	2015/4 /17	Male	43	20				1		1			1		
Chek	Матаев Мамажахон	2015/3 /25	Male	55	35		1	1	1				1	1		
Chek	Худайназаров Айтбай	2015/3 /25	Male	34	13		1		1					1		
Chek	Айников Абди	2014/3 /26	Male	57	35				2					2		
Chek	Мотаев Каландар	2014/3 /27	Male	48	35	1			1					1		
Chek	Матаев Касим	2014/3 /27	Male	62	35	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Chek	Бердибаев Саттар	2015/3 /28	Male	72	42				1	1		2		1	1	
Chek	Коргонова Зоара	2014/3 /30	Fem ale	57	37		1	1					2			
Chek	Суйунов Имар	2015/3 /30	Male	62	35				3					2		

- I	Ι _	2015/0			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	_			1
Chek	Ражапов	2015/3	Male	44	15				1			2	1	1	
	Абдумиталип	/30							1						
Chek	Ражапов	2015/4	Male	52	10		1		2					2	
	Мурзафар	/1													
Chek	Имаркулов	2014/4	Male	40	13			1	1			1		1	
	Темирбек	/1													
Janyj	Артыков	2015/3	Male	56		1			2				1	1	
er	Мамаражап	/24													
Janyj	Сабиров	2015/3	Male	57		1	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	2	0
er	Абдиманнап	/24													
Janyj	Эргешов	2015/3	Male	55		2	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	2	
er	Кадырали	/25													
Janyj	Осоров	2015/3	Male	50		0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	0
er	Назарали	/25	Iviaic				_		1	ľ	_			_	Ŭ
Janyj	Сайданов	2015/3	Male	58	40	1	0	1	2	0	3	0	1	1	0
	Саиданов	/25	iviale	36	40	1	U	1	2	0	3	U	1	1	U
er		1	N 4 - I -	F-7					1		2			2	
Janyj	Мамбеков	2015/3	Male	57					2		2			2	
er	Замирбек	/25				_			1						
Janyj	Амиралиев	2015/3	Male	54		2			1		1		1	1	
er	Кзыбек	/26													
Janyj	Матаев	2015/3	Male	53			1	3	1		1		1	1	
er	Абдипатта	/26													
Janyj	Жалдешбаева	2015/3	Fem	35	7		2							1	
er	Гулмира	/26	ale												
Janyj	Суюнов	2015/3	Male	42	14	1			1			1		1	
er	Абдиламит	/26													
Janyj	Астанакулов	2015/3	Male	57		1		1	1		2		1	1	
er	Зайнал	/27													
Janyj	Токтомушев	2015/3	Male	67	20	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
er	Имар ,	/27													
Janyj	Суранчиев	2015/3	Male	58		1			2				1	1	
er	Абдирашит	/28				-							-	_	
Janyj	Чсонов	2015/3	Male	53	33	2		2	2		1			2	
er	Абдыганы	/28	Iviaic	33	33	-		-			1			_	
	Султанов	2015/3	Male	56	40	5			3		2		1	3	
Janyj	,		iviale	30	40	٦			3				+	3	
er	Дехконбай	/29	N 4 - I -	F.4	20	4			1		4		4	2	
Janyj	Сапаров	2015/3	Male	54	38	1			2		1		1	3	
er	Абдикалык	/29		= 6	40				1					_	
Janyj	Даминов	2015/3	Male	56	10				2				1	2	
er	Абдилаким	/30				ļ									
Janyj	Акпаров	2015/3	Male	48	30	1		1	2			3	1	1	
er	Туратбек	/30													
Janyj	Эргешов Шукур	2015/3	Male	56	39	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0
er		/31													
Janyj	Матаев	2015/3	Male	58	34	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0
er	Нурмакамат	/31													
Janyj	Маибеков	2015/4	Male	54	35	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
er	Манзынбек	/1													
Janyj	Мамбеков	2015/4	Male	58	9				2				1	1	
er	Исламали	/2			1										
Janyj	Атабекова Зуура	2015/4	Fem	66	0		1	1	1				1	2	
er	. Hadenoba Jyypa	/2	ale				-	-	-				-	-	
	I .	_ / -	uic	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		1	<u> </u>	1	L		

	ı			1	1	1		1	_	1	1	1	1	1	1
Chek	Тажибаев Осмон.Ж	2015/3 /23	Male	50	25				3			1	1	1	
Chek	Закиров Атабай	2015/3	Male	43	22			2	1				1	1	
CHEK	·	/27	iviale	43	22				1				1	1	
Chek	Шаймарданкуло в Батырбек	2015/3 /28	Male	48	25				3				2	1	
Chek	Микбаев	2015/3	Male	37	15	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	Муратали	/28													
Chek	Мурзаиматов	2015/3	Male	59	40			2	1					4	
	Койчуман	/29													
Chek	Бибабаев	2015/3	Male	39	16	1		2	1				1	1	
	Талаитбек	/28							-						
Chek	Кудайназаров	2015/3	Male	65	40				2					5	
Chek	Муса Бибабаев	/30 2015/3	Male	60	30			1	3					5	
CHEK	Абдиела	/29	iviale	00	30			1	3					3	
Chek	Убраимова	2015/3	Fem	60	35				4				1	2	
Circi	Шаадат	/30	ale										_	-	
Chek	Камалов	2015/3	Male	67	10				4					2	
	Аратбай	/30													
Chek	Мирзаева	2015/3	Fem	49	23			3	1					2	
	Наколай	/30	ale												
Chek	Осоров	2015/3	Male	41	20	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	1	
- L	Муратали	/30		6	4-				-	_			_		
Chek	Тулатов	2015/3 /30	Male	65	15	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	0
Chek	Рахимбек Хакимов Исроил	2015/3	Male	66	50	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	3	0
Cliek	хакимов исроил	/30	iviale	00	30	"	U	0	3	1	0	0	1	3	U
Chek	Шаймарданкуло	2015/5	Male	82	25		2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0
	в Бурхан	/30													
Chek	Менбаев	2015/4	Male	34	15	2	1		1		0	1	0	1	0
CI I	Кулитар	/1	24	40	20	_	4		1			-		1	
Chek	Сапарбаев Эргешбай	2015/3 /24	Male	40	20	2	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
Chek	Мурзаев	2015/3	Male	42	20	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
CHEK	Абатбек	/28	iviale	42	20			1	1	0		-	U	1	0
Chek	Айипов Жунус	2014/3	Male	43	22	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	0
	, , ,	/28													
Chek	Орманов Жапар	2015/3	Male	32	13	1			1			2		1	
Chek	Сыдыков	/31 2015/3	Male	64	35			1	3			1		3	
CHEK	Сыдыков	/30	iviale	04))										
Chek	Зайналов	2015/3	Male	58	25				2	<u>† </u>			2	4	
	Акбарали	/1													
Chek	Анапиясов	2015/3	Male	42	20				2					1	
	Сулайман	/1													
Chek	Сейдахматов	2015/4	Male	32	12	1	2		2		1			1	
6' '	Сабыр	/1	<u> </u>	4.5	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
Chek	Келдибекова	2015/3	Fem	48	25	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Chek	Сарбарпи	/23 2015/4	ale	52	22	0	1	1	2	0					
Chek	Келдибеков Абдурасил	/15	Male	32	22	١	1	1	4	١					
	лодурасил	/13				1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1

Chek	Ниязалиев Ашурали	2015/4 /9	Male	46	12	1	1	1	1					1	
Chek	Ниязалиев Таир	2015/4 /10	Male	55	29			1	1					3	
Chek	Ишраев Билимбек	2015/4 /11	Male	30	10	1			2		1			1	
Chek	Рысов Азаматбек	2015/3 /24	Male	43	24			1	1		1	1	2	1	
Chek	Ниязалиев Сапарали	2015/4 /1	Male	38	13	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0
Chek	Эрнебаев Абдивали	2015/4 /8	Male	46	25			1	1	1				1	1
Chek	сапарбаев Жусупбек	2015/4 /13	Male	40	15	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Chek	Рахманова Санабор	2015/4 /15	Male	39	10	0	0	1	1		0	0	0	1	0
Chek	Бийбабаев Абдилазиз	2015/4 /10	Male	54	33				3				2	1	
Chek	Самидинова Савринса	2015/4 /7	Male	59	27				3			1	1	2	
Chek	Абдрахманова Айымбубу	2015/3 /25	Fem ale	49	30			1	1					1	
Chek	Ташбекова Кыймат	2015/3 /24	Fem ale	41	15						1	0	2		
Chek	Исираев Жаныбек	2015/4 /6	Male	50	20	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Chek	Гапыров Токтогул	2015/4 /5	Male	58	25	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	1	0
Chek	Маматумарова Айинса	2015/4 /7	Fem ale	48	31						0	0	1	3	0
Chek	Ташбаев Мовлян	2015/4 /9	Male	75	50				4	1				7	1
Chek	Жолдошов Ташимбек	2014/4 /9	Male	61	41	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Chek	Бусанов Рустамжан	2015/4 /7	Male	41	20	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
Chek	Сатарова Зрапат	2015/4 /11	Male	53					3	0				1	0