Effect of nurse crops and seeding rate on the persistence, productivity and nutritive value of sainfoin in a cereal-based production system
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Abstract
Sainfoin (Onobrychis viciifolia Scop.) is one of the most drought-tolerant perennial legumes that can thrive in dry, alkaline soils. A 3-year study in the Central Anatolian Region of Turkey compared the persistence, productivity and nutritive value of sainfoin planted with nurse crops, namely Hungarian vetch (Vicia pannonica Crantz.) or triticale (× Triticosecale Wittm, ex A. Camus), at three seeding rates. Sainfoin and nurse crop emergence were significantly affected by the companion nurse crop, sowing rate and establishment year. The number of sainfoin plants at emergence was lower during a drier "bad" year (110 plant/m²) than in a "good" precipitation year (236 plant/m²). Triticale had a more negative impact on sainfoin growth than vetch. Planting nurse crops at high seeding rates (90 kg/ha) reduced the number of sainfoin seedlings as compared to the control, while the low seeding rate had little impact on sainfoin emergence. Planting sainfoin with triticale resulted in much greater yield exceeding 10 t/ha, but reduced the forage nutritive value compared to sainfoin monocultures and sainfoin–vetch mixtures. The seeding rate of the nurse crops during a dry year did not affect DM yield in the year of establishment nor in the following year. The findings of this study indicate that planting sainfoin with a nurse crop can substantially increase the DM yield in the year of establishment without yield penalties in the subsequent years, despite fewer established plants, as compared to sainfoin monocultures.
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INTRODUCTION
Diversifying crop rotations with forage legumes is the key to reverse the land degradation and to enhance system productivity, profitability and environmental health (Davis, Hill, Chase, Johanns, & Liebman, 2012; Entz et al., 2002; Gan et al., 2015). Despite these benefits, cultivation of forage legumes has been in decline in the Central and West Asia and North Africa (CWANA) region for the last decades (Ates, Feindel, Moneim, & Ryan, 2014; Koohafkan & Stewart, 2008; McIntyre, 2009). The underlying reasons for a reduction in forage legume cropping are diverse, ranging from improper agricultural policies and agronomic challenges to socio-cultural and economic aspects (Foyer et al., 2016; Porqueddu et al., 2016; Zander et al., 2016). A number of researchers in the region have investigated the potential for inclusion of annual forage cereals and legumes in cereal cropping systems (Annicchiarico et al., 2017; Christiansen et
al., 2015; Larbi et al., 2010). However, perennial forage legumes have rarely been investigated in short-term crop rotations in CWANA’s cereal-based systems (Annicchiarico, Barrett, Brummer, Julien, & Marshall, 2015). In similar agro-ecological zones of Australia, such systems using lucerne (Medicago sativa L., alfalfa) and self-regenerating annual legumes in ley farming systems have been the basis of sustainable dryland cereal production (Bell, Moore, & Kirkegaard, 2014; Norton & Koetz, 2014).

Perennial forage legumes are important options for degraded production systems in CWANA. Considering the predicted climate change scenarios for this region, including higher temperatures and erratic precipitation, which will disproportionately affect this region, the need for broadly adapted legumes becomes crucial (IPCC, 2014). Considering these potentially harsh conditions, there is an urgent need for investing in development and management of locally adapted drought and heat-resistant legume cultivars, which can thrive in degraded soils (Ates, Norman, Ben Salem, Nott, & Cicek, 2015; Kölliker, Kempf, Malisch, & Löscher, 2017). Sainfoin (Onobrychis vicifolia Scop.), is one of the most drought-tolerant perennial legumes that can thrive in the dry, alkaline soils of the CWANA region (Irani, Majidi, Mirlohi, Karami, & Zargar, 2015; Sölter, Hopkins, Sitzia, Goby, & Grefe, 2007). It is extensively grown in highland regions of West and Central Asia due to its tolerance to seasonally cold and hot climatic conditions (Sengul, 2003; Tufenkci, Erman, & Sonmez, 2006). Sainfoin’s resistance to alfalfa weevil, combined with its reduced risk of bloat for Irmunants and secondary metabolites that improve protein utilization by ruminants, makes sainfoin an extremely attractive forage crop, particularly in low-input or organic livestock production systems (Wang, McAllister, & Acharya, 2015). However, sainfoin cultivation can be challenging for farmers due to agronomic factors, such as poor competitive ability against weeds and nurse crops, inconsistent yields, lack of productive, locally available varieties and susceptibility to diseases (Häring et al., 2008; Kölliker et al., 2017; Moyer, 1985; Stevovic, Stanisavljevic, Djukic, & Djurovic, 2012).

The agronomic performance and animal production potential of sainfoin have been widely documented in the literature (Kazuk, 2010; Küchenmeister, 2013; Liu, Lane, & Davies, 2008; Malisch, Suter, Studer, & Lüscher, 2017). Overall, low DM production in the year of establishment and poor persistence are the main limitations of sainfoin (Hanna, Kozub, & Smoliak, 1977; Kölliker et al., 2017). Many researchers have investigated the companion crops in permanent pastures, using other forage grasses. Annual species are seldom considered, reflecting the fact that sainfoin is rarely investigated as a rotational crop in cereal-based systems (Liu et al., 2008). Selection of proper companion or nurse crops and better understanding of agronomy in regard to seeding rates of sainfoin and companion species are the keys to achieve a good forage stand with the required amount of sainfoin in the mixture (Malisch et al., 2017). Recent studies provide evidence that with the right selection of companion crops and seeding rates of these mixtures, sainfoin can be a very productive forage for low-input systems and significantly improve livestock performance (Häring et al., 2008).

Integration of sainfoin into cereal-based rotations entails using annual companion crops during the first year of establishment and achieving pure sainfoin stands in the second and/or third years. Ideally, the companion crops should be able to produce satisfactory amounts of forage DM and suppress weeds during the establishment year, without suppressing sainfoin establishment. When seeded as a pure stand in dry environments, sainfoin DM production ranges from 0.5 to 3 t/ha, which is not sufficient to meet the forage demand for an average livestock producer (Jafari, Rasoli, Tabaei-Aghdaei, & Salehi, 2014; Martinelli & Ciola, 1994; Mikić et al., 2015). Using annual species such as barley and oat as a companion crop for lucerne establishment is a common practice in the North-western region of the USA (Chapko, Brinkman, & Albrecht, 1991; Sheaffer, 1989), Australia (Norton & Koetz, 2014) and in Balkan countries (Cupina et al., 2010). Annual legumes such as vetches and pea (Pisum sativum L.) are also used as a companion crops in red clover (Trifolium pratense L.) and lucerne establishment (Acar, Asci, Basaran, Ayan, & Mut, 2011; Cupina et al., 2010; Mikić et al., 2015). Use of such annual legumes and cereals in sainfoin establishment is less common (Mikić et al., 2015).

An experiment was established to evaluate the effect of sowing sainfoin with Hungarian vetch (Vicia pannonica Crantz.) and triticale (× Triticosecale Wittm. ex A. Camus.) (at three seeding rates) on establishment, persistence and subsequent dry-matter production. It was hypothesized that both nurse crops would increase the total forage production in the year of establishment, without compromising the persistence and subsequent production of sainfoin. It was also hypothesized that vetch and triticale would differ in their competitiveness against sainfoin, with triticale being more competitive than vetch, and that the interspecies competition would increase with increasing plant densities.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Site and weather

The experiments were conducted at Bahri Dagdas International Agricultural Research Institute (37°51′N, 32°33′E, 1,008 m above sea level), in Konya, Turkey. The soil at the site is a clay loam with alkaline characteristics. The site had an organic matter content of 2.2%, high available P 250 kg/ha, Ca 446 kg/ha and K 244 kg/ha, soluble salt 0.05 dS/m, and a soil pH of 8.1. The experimental location has a highland, continental climate, characterized by low and highly erratic precipitation. Air temperatures and precipitation at the site during the experiment period (2014–2017) are given in Table 1. While total annual precipitation in the establishment year (2014/15) of Experiment 1 was similar to long-term means (LTM), during the establishment year (2015/16) of Experiment 2, precipitation was around 100 mm lower than the long-term average. The rainfall in autumn 2015 was highly erratic and 49% lower than the LTM. Low seasonal rainfall, particularly in the spring of 2015/16, meant that the results from this year were representative for a drought year which
occurs, on average, every 3 out of 10 years (Türkeş, Akgündüz, & Demirörs, 2009). In most cases, the mean monthly air temperature was similar to the LTM (11.6°C).

### 2.2 Establishment and experimental design

Following cultivation and seedbed preparation, a monoculture of sainfoin (cv. Ozerbey) and binary mixtures of sainfoin with Hungarian vetch (cv. Tarm beyazi) or triticale (cv. Alperbey) were seeded with 0.2 m row spacing on 1.6 × 5 m plots, using a plot seeder (Tarimoz, Eskisehir, Turkey) on 14 November 2014 (Establishment year 1/Experiment 1). Across all monoculture and mixture plots, the seeding rate of sainfoin (hulled seed) was kept constant at 100 kg/ha, while vetch and triticale were sown at seeding rates of 30, 60 or 90 kg/ha in the binary mixtures. The experiment was a randomized complete block design with seven treatments: sainfoin monoculture (control: sown at 100 kg/ha seeding rate), and sainfoin–vetch and sainfoin–triticale mixtures, each sown at three seeding rates. Each of the seven treatments was replicated four times. The same treatments were imposed in an adjacent field on 30 October 2015 (Establishment year 2/Experiment 2), to obtain two establishment years for inter-annual comparison. The seeding rate of sainfoin monoculture aimed to establish a target population of 300 plants/m², using seeds with a germination percentage of 85%. In both years, fertilizer was applied at sowing at a rate of 36 kg N ha⁻¹ and 92 kg P ha⁻¹. Weeds were mechanically removed through hand weeding at crop emergence in both years of establishment. No additional fertilizer or herbicide was applied during the experimental period. The experiment was carried out under rainfed conditions, without supplemental irrigation.

### 2.3 Sampling and measurements

Seedling numbers of sainfoin, vetch and triticale were counted in two randomly placed 0.1 m² quadrats after germination in December 2014 and 2015 in Experiments 1 and 2 respectively (Table 2). Plots were harvested at the full-bloom stage of sainfoin in early June 2015, late May 2016 and early June 2017. Plots were sampled only once in each growing season for dry-matter yield and nutritive value. Herbage production (kg DM ha⁻¹) was determined by cutting a random 0.25 m² quadrat with electric shears to a stubble height of 50 mm in each plot. The herbage was sorted into botanical fractions (sainfoin, triticale, vetch and weeds) and then dried in a forced-air oven at 60°C for 48 hr for DM determination. Only unsorted, bulk samples were subjected to the chemical analyses. Following
collection of the samples, the remainder of the plots was mown to a stubble height of 50 mm.

Following the harvest of the plots in each summer, the number of established sainfoin plants in both monoculture and mixture plots was counted in two randomly placed 0.1 m² quadrats. Relative competition intensity (RCI) for the established sainfoin plant density was calculated according to Grace (1995) using the following formula:

\[ \text{RCI} = \left( \frac{P_{\text{mono}} - P_{\text{mix}}}{P_{\text{mono}}} \right) \times 100 \]

where \( P_{\text{mono}} \) is the number of established sainfoin plants, and \( P_{\text{mix}} \) is the number of established sainfoin and nurse plants. Relative competition intensity for the yield was calculated:

\[ \text{RCI yield} = \left[ \frac{(Y_{\text{mix}} - Y_{\text{mono}})}{Y_{\text{mix}}} \right] \times 100 \]

where \( Y_{\text{mono}} \) is the DM yield of sainfoin monoculture, and \( Y_{\text{mix}} \) is the DM yield of sainfoin and nurse crop mixtures.

### 2.4 Chemical analyses

Nutritive value of the oven-dried forage samples in the year of establishment was determined for both experiments. Dried, bulk samples were grounded to pass through a 1-mm screen (MF 10 B; IKA werke, USA) and analysed for DM (2001.12) by AOAC methods (2003). The crude protein (CP) concentration of all samples was determined by the Kjeldahl method according to the AOAC (1990; Gerhardt, Vapodest 45s, with automated distillation and titration, Germany). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) were assayed according to the methods described by Van Soest, And, and Lewis (1991) using an Ankom²⁰⁰ Fiber Analyzer (Ankom Technology). The NDF was analysed with the inclusion of a heat stable α-amylase and sodium sulphite; both NDF and ADF were expressed inclusive of residual ash. The condensed tannin (CT) content of dried forage subsamples was analysed according to the method of Makkar (2003) and was expressed as tannic acid equivalent.

### 2.5 Statistical analyses

DM yield, nutritive value and botanical composition from Experiments 1 and 2 were analysed using a linear mixed model, with treatments (nurse crop species, seeding rates) and sampling dates as fixed effects. Blocks were considered to be random effects. Because the weather conditions were dramatically different in the years of establishment, and estimates of variance from two means would be imprecise, experiments were considered to be a fixed effect, representing relatively wet (2014) and dry (2015) years. Initial analyses were performed separately for each experiment and sampling date, to check ANOVA assumptions and identify outliers. For combined analyses across experiments, PROC GLIMMIX in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2014) was used to test for homogeneity of variance and to account for heterogeneous variance in the model when it was found to be significant. A repeated measures analysis was used to adjust for correlated errors across sampling dates. Variance components for random effects were estimated by restricted maximum likelihood (REML). The significance of fixed effects was determined with Type 3 F tests. A single degree of freedom contrast was used to compare the control treatment (sainfoin monoculture) with the nurse crop mixtures. The six treatments that included nurse crops were analysed as a balanced factorial with two levels of nurse crops (vetch and triticale), three seeding rate levels and the interaction of nurse crops and seeding rates. Differences among treatment means were compared by Fisher’s protected least significant difference (LSD) at \( \alpha = .05 \). Computations were carried out using GENSTAT (Payne et al., 2009) and SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2014) statistical software.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Plant populations and competition intensities

Sainfoin and nurse crop emergence were both significantly affected by the companion nurse crop species, sowing rate and establishment year (\( p < .01 \)). Averaged across all treatments, the number of sainfoin plants after emergence was lower (\( p < .01 \)) in Experiment 2 (110 plants/m²) than in Experiment 1 (236 plants/m²) (Figure 1a,b). The number of sainfoin seedlings emerged in sainfoin monoculture (control) was higher (\( p < .01 \)) than when planted with the nurse crops vetch and triticale (Figure 1a). In general, planting nurse crops at low seeding rates had little impact on sainfoin emergence, whereas the high seeding rates (90 kg/ha) significantly reduced the number of sainfoin seedlings as compared to the control (Figure 1a). Significant experiment × nurse crop (\( p < .01 \)) and experiment × sowing rate (\( p < .01 \)) interactions were detected for the nurse crop seedling populations (Figure 1b). This interaction revealed that the numbers of established vetch and triticale plants were lower in Experiment 2 than Experiment 1, but the decline in plant numbers was greater for vetch than triticale, and at higher sowing rates (Figure 1b).

Significant year × nurse crop (\( p < .01 \)) and year × sowing rate (\( p < .01 \)) interactions were detected for the changes in the plant populations of sainfoin across years in Experiment 1 (Figure 1c). Sainfoin plant numbers in monoculture and sainfoin–vetch plots decreased steadily from 2015 to 2017, while the number of sainfoin plants in sainfoin–triticale plots was first reduced by 19% in 2016 before it became stable in the summer of 2017. In Experiment 1, sainfoin plant numbers in sainfoin–vetch and sainfoin–triticale plots was first reduced by 19% in 2016 before it became stable in the summer of 2017. In Experiment 2, the total number of sainfoin plants was 10% lower in 2017 than in 2016 (Figure 1d). Overall, sainfoin plant numbers were lower when planted with triticale than with vetch, and at higher and medium seeding rates than at low seeding rates.

Relative competition intensity (RCI) for the established sainfoin plants was significantly greater (\( p < .01 \)) when planted with triticale (0.49) than vetch (0.16), and at the higher seeding rates than at lower seeding rates (Table 3). However, the RCI for yield was only affected by the type of the nurse crop (\( p < .01 \)), with sainfoin–triticale having greater RCI (78.8) than sainfoin–vetch (39.4). The year of establishment did not affect the RCI for plant densities and yield.
3.2 | Dry-matter production

In Experiment 1, sainfoin–vetch mixtures had 1,228 kg/ha greater \((p < .01)\) DM yield than sainfoin monocultures in 2015 (Figure 2a). Planting sainfoin with triticale resulted in greater yields, exceeding 10 t/ha. The subsequent sainfoin DM production in 2016 ranged from 1,590 to 2,170 kg/ha and did not differ significantly due to treatments. The DM yield in 2017 was lower \((p < .05)\) in sainfoin plots planted with triticale compared to both the sainfoin monocultures and mixtures with vetch. The seeding rate of the nurse crops did not have any effect on DM yield in the year of establishment nor in the following years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>RCI plant numbers</th>
<th>RCI yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>S + HV</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S + Tri</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>S + HV</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S + Tri</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{Expt}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{NC}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{SR}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{NC} \times \text{SR}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{Expt} \times \text{NC}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{Expt} \times \text{SR}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p_{\text{Expt} \times \text{NC} \times \text{SR}})</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SE (2014/15))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SE (2015/16))</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The standard errors \((SE)\) apply to each treatment mean in each experiment.
Abbreviations: Expt, Experiment; NC, nurse crop; SR, seeding rate.
In Experiment 2, the sainfoin monocultures had similar a DM yield to sainfoin–vetch mixtures but lower ($p < .01$) DM yield than sainfoin–triticale mixtures (Figure 2b). However, the subsequent DM yield of sainfoin in 2017 was lower ($p < .05$) for sainfoin that was planted with triticale than for sainfoin monocultures and sainfoin that was planted with vetch in the previous year. As observed in Experiment 1, the seeding rate of the nurse crops in Experiment 2 did not have any effect on DM yield in the year of establishment nor in the following year.

### 3.3 Botanical composition and nutritive value in the year of establishment

In Experiment 1, sainfoin monocultures had higher ($p < .01$) weed contents than sainfoin–vetch and triticale mixtures (Table 4). Sainfoin content was less than 5% when sown with triticale as compared to 21% when sown with vetch. In Experiment 2, sainfoin content in sainfoin–vetch and sainfoin–triticale mixtures showed a similar trend as in Experiment 1. Weed content of sainfoin monocultures in Experiment 2 exceeded 15%, and this was greater ($p < .01$) than the weed content in sainfoin–vetch (10%) and sainfoin–triticale (4%) mixtures.

In both Experiment 1 and 2, sainfoin monocultures and sainfoin–vetch mixtures had similar CP, NDF and ADF contents (Table 5). Sainfoin–triticale mixtures had lower ($p < .01$) CP and ADF, but greater NDF content than sainfoin monocultures and sainfoin–vetch mixtures. TDN content of sainfoin mixtures with triticale was greater ($p < .01$) than sainfoin monocultures in both experiments, but only greater than sainfoin–vetch mixtures in Experiment 1. Condensed tannin content in sainfoin monocultures was greater than in sainfoin–vetch and sainfoin–triticale mixtures. The seeding rate of nurse crops had no significant effect on any nutritive value parameters in either experiment.
**DISCUSSION**

The data did not support our first hypothesis that both nurse crops would increase the total forage production in the year of establishment without compromising the persistence and subsequent production of sainfoin. Sainfoin establishment and persistence were significantly reduced when nurse crops were seeded at the highest rates. Our second hypothesis was supported by the data; vetch and triticale differed in their competitiveness against sainfoin, with triticale being more competitive than vetch.

### 4.1 Establishment and persistence

There is limited information in the literature on the optimum establishment population of sainfoin in terms of persistence and productivity (Bhattarai, Coulman, & Biligetu, 2016). Even less information is available for sainfoin seeded with annual species used as a nurse crop. The 100 kg/ha sainfoin seeding rate (typical for the region) used in the present study was similar to 90 kg/ha monoculture seeding rate of sainfoin in the UK (Liu et al., 2008), but was much higher than the recommended pasture seeding rate ranging from 14 kg/ha in drylands of Alberta, Canada (Government of Alberta, 2014) to 50 kg/ha in Europe (Koivistio & Lane, 2001).

Overall, sainfoin establishment within mixtures could be considered successful across the treatments, based on the limited information available from the literature. For instance, in the UK, using varying proportions of meadow fescue (*Festuca pratensis* Huds.) and perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) with sainfoin, Liu et al. (2008) observed sainfoin population densities ranging from 36 to 73 plants/m². In the present study, even with the highest triticale nurse crop seeding rate (90 kg/ha), sainfoin population densities were around 50 plants/m². However, DM production under these treatments was significantly reduced compared to sainfoin grown with lower seeding rate nurse crops. The main objective for a farmer would be to obtain maximum amounts of forage from sainfoin mixtures without affecting the monoculture sainfoin productivity during the following two years. Hence, plant population counts in the year of establishment can be used as an indication of the potential for DM production in that year.

Although not directly comparable to sainfoin, using barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) or wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as nursing crops for lucerne establishment has shown that 30 mature plants/m² should be the minimum target plant population (Palmer & Wynn-Williams, 1976). Similarly, in the USA, a lucerne plant density of 43 plants/m² was found to be a minimum threshold below which yields decline (Hall, Nelson, Coutts, & Stout, 2004). The current recommendation in the drylands of Australia is to reduce the cereal nurse crops’ seeding rates up to 50%, while increasing lucerne seeding rates by 25% (Norton & Koetz, 2014). Using 30 and 40 kg/ha seeding densities for barley (nurse crop) and sainfoin respectively, Moyer (1985) reported a reduction of sainfoin stand establishment numbers compared to control. In the present study, triticale only reduced sainfoin establishment, persistence and DM production when sown at the highest seeding rate (90 kg/ha). In smallholder systems of CWANA, with fragmented lands, higher seeding rates (up to 400 plants/m²) are recommended (Martiniello & Ciola, 1994).

Our data support the findings by Kölliker et al. (2017) and Malisch et al. (2017). With the appropriate selection of nurse

---

**TABLE 5** Nutritive value and condensed tannin contents of sainfoin, sainfoin–Hungarian vetch (S + HV) and sainfoin–triticale (S + Tri) mixtures in Experiment 1 and 2, measured in 2015 and 2016 respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CP (%)</td>
<td>NDF (%)</td>
<td>ADF (%)</td>
<td>TDN (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CT (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainfoin</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S + HV</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S + Tri</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ADF, acid detergent fibre; CP, crude protein; CT, condensed tannins; NC, nurse crop; NDF, neutral detergent fibre; SR, seeding rate; TDN, total digestible nutrients.

*According to the NRC (2001).*
crops, sainfoin establishment and productivity can be significantly improved, making this drought-resistant crop more attractive to farmers. It was noteworthy that the seeding rate only affected the relative competition intensities (RCI) for the number of established plants, while the RCI for yield appeared unrelated to the seeding rates for both nurse crops. As hypothesized, triticale presented a greater RCI for plant numbers and yield than vetch, but surprisingly even the lowest seeding rate of triticale had higher RCI values than the highest seeding rate of vetch. This provided strong evidence that sainfoin can safely be established together with vetch at seeding rates up to 90 kg/ha and with triticale nurse crops at seeding rates up to 60 kg/ha.

4.2 | Dry-matter production

Large differences in growing season precipitation in Experiment 1 and 2 provided an opportunity to assess the potential of sainfoin establishment and productivity under "good" and "bad" precipitation years. Sainfoin monoculture DM productions of 0.5 t/ha during the establishment year, to 4 t/ha in the third year, were in the range reported by other studies in similar environments (Mohajer, Jafari, & Taha, 2011; Tosun, 1988), but much lower than DM production reported from more favourable conditions with multiple cuts (Liu et al., 2008; Malisch et al., 2017). It appeared that the productivity of sainfoin monoculture and mixtures was largely dictated by the amount and timing of precipitation, where around 100 mm less precipitation in Experiment 2 corresponded to up to 60% less DM production compared to Experiment 1.

The objective of growing sainfoin with nurse crops was to compensate for the low DM productivity of the sainfoin during the establishment year (Haring et al., 2008). As hypothesized, triticale and vetch differed in their competitiveness against sainfoin. When seeded with triticale, first-year forage production exceeded 3 and 10 t/ha in Experiment 2 and 1 respectively, but sainfoin represented less than 10% of the DM in these mixtures. The advantage of triticale over vetch in terms of DM production was clear in Experiment 1 and less pronounced in Experiment 2. It is well established that mixtures yield higher than sainfoin monoculture, and when grown without nurse crops, weeds may represent up to 98% of all DM production in sainfoin (Malisch et al., 2017; Moyer, 1985). When the goal of the farmer is to obtain a high amount of forage DM, seeding sainfoin with 30 and 60 kg/ha of triticale could be a cost-effective way to optimize forage production while establishing the perennial sainfoin. The results of the study support our hypothesis that planting sainfoin with a nurse crop substantially increased the DM yield in the year of establishment without causing any yield penalties in subsequent years, despite fewer established plants as compared to sainfoin monocultures. The successful establishment of sainfoin sown with a nurse crop, even at high seeding rates, in a "bad" precipitation year highlighted the value of sainfoin and the effectiveness of the use of nurse crops to increase the DM yield while suppressing the weed populations.

4.3 | Nutritive value and secondary metabolite compounds

One of the key attributes of sainfoin is its high feeding value and production of condensed tannins that help to improve ruminant performance and health (Piluzza, Sulas, & Bullitta, 2014; Waghorn, 2008). In the present study, sainfoin monocultures or sainfoin–vetch mixtures provided forage with higher nutritional value than sainfoin–triticale mixtures as evidenced by greater CP, CT and lower NDF. This indicates that DM production of sainfoin can be increased greatly in the year of establishment without compromising the nutritive value of the forage when it is planted with a "less competitive" forage legume as a nurse crop. It was of note that the nutritive value of the sainfoin and sainfoin–vetch forages were comparable to prime lucerne hay (>19% CP, <31% ADF and <40% NDF) (Lacefield, 1998). This supports promotion of sainfoin and vetch mixtures for areas that are marginal for lucerne production without irrigation. In contrast, planting sainfoin with triticale penalized the nutritional value, in part due to the low sainfoin content (2.0%–6.3%) in the mix. The results revealed that regardless of the rainfall and sowing rate applied in the current study, planting sainfoin with triticale resulted in almost pure triticale forage at harvest. It is probable that reducing the sowing rate of triticale lower than 30 kg/ha may provide higher sainfoin content in the mixtures. However, this may come at the expense of lower DM yields in the year of establishment than obtained in the current study.

These results on the nutritive value were consistent in both years, with the exception of the CT contents. Overall, the CT concentration obtained in this study was within the range (3.5%–5%) reported by Wang et al. (2015) for the primary growth (first harvest). A feature of the results was that the CT content of the forages was greater in Experiment 2 than Experiment 1. This can possibly be attributed to the plant response to environmental conditions, since the accumulation of CT in plant tissues is increased in dry weather or rainfed production systems (Wang et al., 2015). Condensed tannin contents of sainfoin monoculture exceeded 5% DM in Experiment 2, and this was greater than in sainfoin–vetch and sainfoin–triticale mixtures by 47% and 84% respectively. With the exception of the CT concentration of sainfoin monoculture in Experiment 2, overall the CT concentration of the sainfoin monocultures and mixtures with vetch was lower than the recommended CT content of 5% for the best feed value effect (Wang et al., 2015).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Sainfoin and nurse crop emergence were significantly affected by the nurse crop species, sowing rate and establishment year. Planting nurse crops at high seeding rates reduced the number of sainfoin seedlings as compared to the control, while the use of low seeding rates had little effect on sainfoin establishment. Overall, sainfoin population numbers were lower when planted with triticale than vetch and lower at higher and medium than at low seeding rates.
The seeding rate of the nurse crops did not affect DM yield in the year of establishment nor in the following years. It appeared that sainfoin monoculture and mixtures’ productivity was largely dictated by the amount of precipitation. Even with the highest nurse crop seeding rates, sainfoin was able to establish minimum numbers of plants, but dry-matter productivity suffered when seeded with triticale. This provided strong evidence that sainfoin can safely be established together with vetch at seeding rates up to 90 kg/ha and with triticale nurse crops at seeding rates up to 60 kg/ha. This study showed that with the appropriate selection of nurse crops, sainfoin establishment and productivity can be significantly improved, making this drought-resistant crop attractive for the farmers.
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