



CRP 1.1. “Dryland Systems in Central Asia” Program

Cluster: System Sustainability Enhancement

Sub-Cluster: Enhancing WUA role in water allocation and management

Action Site Fergana Valley (Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan)

Flagship: Central Asia (CA)

FIELD REPORT

Survey data collected for at least from 3 Case-study pilot WUAs of Ferghana Valley (draft)

Period of January – June, 2015

Activity title: Enhancing WUA role in water allocation and management via institutional interventions

Report has been prepared by Oytire Anarbekov, Senior Research Officer, IWMI-Central Asia Sub-Regional Office in Tashkent, Uzbekistan

December, 2015 Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Content

I. Introduction

II. Progress up to date: period January – December, 2014

III. Results from the Field Survey

IV. Annexes

Annex 1. Questionnaire for questioning of the WUA's leadership

Annex 2. Questionnaire for interview different types of water users

Annex 3. Basic data collection sheet from WUAs

I. Introduction

Along CRP 1.1. program in Central Asia for 2015, there is carrying out research directed towards *understanding key potentials and limitations of WUAs in Ferghana Valley by assessing the role of institutions (formal and informal¹) and related socioeconomic and environmental outcomes in view of enhancing collective action aiming at more sustainable water governance of on-farm irrigation water management.*

The main direction of research is going to understand and show the linkage between on-farm water management institutional conditions including economic mechanisms and its impact on improvement the overall water management at on-farm level. Mainly, it links with the institutional and economic environment where the WUAs are operating and identifying what kind of rules and regulations should possess WUAs in order to operate sustainably. The efficient use of irrigation lands requires not only formation of different forms of ownership but also the development of appropriate institutions (i.e. sets of rules) and good governance structures.

There is need to mention that this research is also the topic of Oytur Anarbekov's PhD study at University of Bern, Switzerland.

Research is based on comparative case study approach in Central Asia, particular in Ferghana Valley. This approach is proposed in order to better understand the context and overcome the external validity issues. In addition, research is going to compare the water governance and its influence to the overall performance of WUAs as well as identifying the specific cases and driving forces behind of differences in each country of Ferghana Valley through selected case-studies. Two pilot WUAs are selected in each country of Ferghana Valley, i.e. in Uzbekistan and Tajikistan. Same type of WUA are selected in Kyrgyzstan however in Chuy Province under PhD study of Oytur Anarbekov within one hydrographic Small River or canal system basin. A unit of analysis is WUA located in the head tail and end tail of Small River or canal system.

General hypothesis is that WUAs based in the tail –end of irrigation system should have less problems in organizing collective action, public participation and involvement public into the governance, operating and maintaining on-farm WUA infrastructure due to scarcity to access of water. Annual reports about each WUA's performance, interview water users and WUA officials will help in identifying the specific cases and driving forces behind of differences.

In order to accomplish this task, the author employs Collective Action theory to understand what are the key factors that restraint resource users to operate and maintain their on-farm infrastructure as collectively and manage as common pool resource in order to improve their water use efficiencies. In addition, the research will be also based on theory of New Institutional Economics and Common Pool Resources Theory (D. North, Ensminger/Haller and Elinor Ostrom) which brought to better understanding the importance and the role of institutions in economies, and have elaborated the first widespread critique of the transition paradigm.

Field methodology based upon three types of approaches to collect data:

- a) Key informants interview and observations, i.e. collecting background information for drafting each WUA case-study;
- b) Quantitative data collection: using questionnaire;

Annual reports of each WUA's, budgets, protocols of General Assembly meetings, Arbitrage and Revision committees collected in order to better understand the local realities. There is need to mentioned that below analysis are results of survey data collected in the end of 2014, it is going to be cross-checked in the autumn of 2015 in the field.

¹ Informal institutions, for instance, included social *khashars* (collectively clean drainage systems or fix irrigation scheme. It was a free labor and voluntarily initiated activity). With the adoption of new rules, these activities are less practiced today.

II. PROGRESS UP TO DATE

A. Tajikistan:

Two pilot WUAs have been already selected in 2014 based upon agreed criteria along Khojabarkigan main magistral canal in Sughd Province. A unit of analysis is WUA located in the head tail and end tail of canal system. Because Khojabarkigan canal itself provides water for two districts, it was rational to choose one WUA from upper district, i.e. B. Ghafurov and second WUA from the tail part of canal, J. Rasulov district (please see below map of the location of WUAs along main canal). The name of WUA which is based in B. Ghafurov District is “Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha” and name of WUA which is based in J. Rasulov District is “X. Olimov” successor of WUA “Gulyakondozi”.

Based upon selected WUAs in Sughd Province along main canal Khojabarkigan and collected background information for the WUAs case-studies, there were made progress with the hiring local consultants to start the quantitative data collection using questionnaire in 2014. The approach of data collection in each WUA has been elaborated by identifying categories of water users to interview as well as number of them. Need to mention that in both WUAs, clear explanation of the research project objectives and outcomes have been explained to WUAs leaderships. In each selected WUA, i.e. WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha in B. Ghafurov District as well as WUA X. Olimov, successor of WUA Gulyakondozi in J. Rasulov district, there have been identified 40 water users (totally in two WUAs 80 respondents) to interview using the designed questionnaire (Annex 2). Local consultants have been trained on each questions specific aim and approach how to ask each question of the questionnaire. The survey started in the mid of May, 2014 and accomplished by the end of September, 2014.




Figure 1. Created WUAs along Khojabarkigansay (source : IWRM-FV project)

WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha, Ghafurov district

WUA has been formed as NGO in 15.05.2009. Total irrigated area is 1,250 ha, out of which 1,080 ha is occupied by Deqkhan farms, 70 ha is occupied by presidential land owners and 100 ha is occupied by kitchen-garden land owners. The main source of water is considered Khojabakirgan magistral canal (KhBC). During the period of 2013-2014, WUA had only 50 deqkhan farmers in the territory of WUA with whom they had a contract. Starting from 2015, WUA is going to have contract totally with 500 deqkhan farmers as part of farmers’ dismantlement process in the country which was initiated in the beginning of 2014. WUA has in average total yearly water use plan around 10,200.000 m³ of water. The total length of WUA canals is 18 km, totally there are installed 17 water flume meters in WUA. Totally, WUA has 6 staff: 4 permanent (director, accountant and two mirabs) as well as 2 temporary staff (temporary hired seasonal mirabs). The highest body of WUA is considered the General Assembly comprised of all deqkhan farmers as well as WUA Council comprised of 13 members. Deqkhan farmers are paying for each 1 m³ of water 1.77 diram which goes to State and for WUA irrigation service fee is 30 Tajik Somoni per hectare. The director of WUA is Raimjon Akhmedjanov, who used to work before as economist at local authority of B. Ghafurov District.




Figure 2. Map of WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

Table 1. Main water users of WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

#	Land users	Quantity, number, pcs	Area, ha
1	Deqkhan farmers as of 2015	502	1,000
2	Presidential land owners	105	70
3	Kitchen-gardens	1,500	100
4	Other water users		80
Total			1,250

Total population, who is living in the territory of WUA, is 9,010 people. There are 11 secondary level on-farm irrigation canals and 68 tertiary level on-farm irrigation canals in the territory of WUA. In a year, there are usually carried out 8 khashars³ in the WUA. Unfortunately, on-farm irrigation infrastructure hasn't been transferred to the balance of WUA which creates problem of on-farm irrigation and drainage infrastructure ownership problem.

The highest body of the WUA is General Assembly of Water Users. This body meets once a year to accept the Annual Reporting as well as approve new operational year's action-plan together with its budget. There are 40 members of the General Assembly (10 members are women). The next level of Governance body is WUA Council which is comprised of 13 members (2 of them are women). The executive body of WUA is considered WUA Directorate, i.e. WUA staff.

Water users pay for WUA irrigation service based on area. The general assembly of WUA has approved for 2014 the following rates: a) payment to State for each 1 m³ of water 1.77 diram and b) for WUA irrigation service fee 30 somoni/ha. The average ISF collection within WUA is 55% in 2014 September data. There are two specialists who has professional diploma in their sphere. Above background information shows that WUA has been formed legally and everything has been put in the paper as proper functional WUA. However, below, it can be seen that although WUA Obiravoni Ovchi Qalacha considered once of the promising WUA in the head part of Khojabakirgan Canal there are still many weaknesses especially with regard to its governance.

Results of field survey with water users of WUA:

As was stated above, approach to survey water users was based upon head and tail water users not only within one main canal Khojabakirgan but also to get views of water users from inside WUA. Research has chosen respondents also based on head and tail within WUAs.

WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha:

Totally has been interviewed 40 water users within WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha (table 2).

Table 2. Category of interviewed water users

#	Category of water users	Number of interviewed people	Location of water user in WUA		
			Head	Middle	Tail
1	Deqkhan farmers	25	8	8	9
2	Presidential land owners	9	3	3	3
3	Head of Makhalla Committee representing kitchen-garden plot owners	6			
Total		40			

Below analysis demonstrate water governance aspects of WUA and its strengths and weaknesses for effective water management at WUA level. Below analysis are based mainly on responses of

² Need to mention that in Tajikistan the process of dismantlement of deqkhan farmers is still going on. WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha is expecting to have around 100 deqkhan farmers after accomplishment of the process of dismantlement.

³ Khashar – collective action directed towards the cleaning of on-farm irrigation and drainage networks

deqkhan farmers who are basically owners of WUA, who are basically maintaining (funding) it and who are basically occupying the major land area within WUA.

Research has revealed (figure 2) that the main violations in WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha is stealage of water 44% of respondents responded that it happens often within WUA and non-payment for WUA membership as well as irrigation service fee, i.e State price for 1 m3 of water and membership fee for the service of WUA per ha.




Figure 3. Main violation of rules within WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

Below table with figure illustrates the tendency of membership fee rate increase in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha. There was gradual shift towards the raising the WUA irrigation membership fee from 16 somoni/ha in 2010 up to 30 somoni/ha in 2014 (please, look to figure 4). Although, this shift was considered to improve the financial situation of WUA but it didn't much influenced, according to figure 5.




Figure 4. Tendency of membership fee increase in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

The overall membership fee collection in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovch Qalacha is illustrated in figure 5. The low collection rate is associated with the fact that not all pay the membership fee and it is

also linked with the situation related with water use in WUA. Figure 6 illustrated the picture of actual vs planned water use within WUA. From the figures below, one can reveal that there is a either mismanagement, improper water use planning as well as management process but it could also linked with the situation related with violations of rules and regulations accepted within the WUA. Water users have reported in the survey that there are cases when head tail water users inside WUA sometimes get water and don't pay or sometimes they are themselves approach the management of Main Canal Khojabakirgan.

Table 3. Budget of WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi-Qalacha for its membership fee for 2010-2014, thou somoni

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Planned	16,850	18,850	20,720	22,720
Actual	5,161	13,000	16,580	16,800




Figure 5. Percentage of WUA membership fee collection inside WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

Below table 4 illustrates situation with regard to actual versus planned water use inside WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha. From the table, it is clear that there is a high deviation in water management. And it is linked due to the fact that head water users don't oblige with the accepted rules and regulations within WUA.

Table 4. Actual versus planned water use within WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Planned water use, mln m3	13060	13023	12893	10508
Actual water use, mln m3	6660	6460	5398	3944
% of actual water use vs planned water use	51	50	42	38




Figure 6. Illustration of actual versus planned water use in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

One of the main rule breakers, based upon survey, it was revealed that water users who, are based in the head of canals. However, interviewers also informed that basically all steal water inside. So it means there is poor work of WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha Governance body who should be responsible for equitable, reliable and efficient use of water resources. The interesting finding is that there is also power relations in water allocation inside WUA. Some water users indicated that relatives of local authority people are among the people who break the rules within WUA.




Figure 7. Main Rule-breakers within WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

Water users indicated that there is mainly WUA Directorate responsible for punishment of rule-breakers. But this contradicts, there is high chance that water users will not respect the Directorates instructions because they see staff of WUA as executive staff. And also because, executive staff gets their salaries based upon contribution of deqkhan farmers. Therefore, it is very important that proper Governance of WUA is on place for effective water management. There is high probability that nobody will follow accepted rules and regulations due to combination of governance and management body by WUA Directorate, management.

Below figure 8 shows that water users only approach WUA Directorate with the punishment of rule-breakers because they don't see any other entity except WUA Council and Local elder men council. But figure clearly shows that they go for WUA Directorate.

This type of approach jeopardize the work of WUA Directorate who should ideally responsible for operation and maintenance, i.e. day-to-day business of WUA. And not solving problems or issues of rule-breakers and punishing them. It is absolutely two different functions in WUA.




Figure 8. Main Entities who punishes the rule-breakers in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

This situation brings to the poor water governance, i.e. public participation and involvement in on-farm water management have led to farmers' dissatisfaction, lack of ownership of on-farm infrastructure, conflicts among water users (unsanctioned withdrawals of water by upstream or elite farmers) and between water users and WUAs, mistrust to the work of WUA (data transparency), reductions in crop yields and overall low rate of WUA irrigation service fee collection. Average ISF collection rate within WUA is 38-50% over last four years illustrated in figure 14. Need to mention that water users of WUA pay two different types of fees: 1st is the payment for State for 1 m³ = 1.77 Tajiki Somoni and second payment for WUA membership fee for 1 ha = 22 Tajik Somoni.

Table 5. Actual versus Planned payment of irrigation service fee to State

	2010	2011	2013	2014
Planned payment of irrigation service fee to State	23116.2	23050.71	22820.61	18599.16
Actual payment of irrigation service fee to State	10156.8	11434.7	9054.1	6980.5
% of payment Irrigation service fee to State	44	50	40	38




Figure 9. Irrigation Service fee collection rate in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

This brings to the question whether WUA has a system for punishment mechanisms for those who don't pay either WUA membership fee as well as Irrigation service fee to the State. Figure 10. Compares based upon collected data from WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha and WUA X. Olimov that there is basically absent punishment system with WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha or it exist but nobody respects and follows it. Majority respondents from WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha don't see any proper existence of penalty system in place.




Figure 10. Existence of penalty system for no-payment of WUA membership and irrigation service fee

Table 6. Main water users of WUA X. Olimov

#	Land users	Quantity, number, pcs	Area, ha
1	Deqkhan farmers	58 ⁴	1,600
2	Presidential land owners	150	180
3	Kitchen-gardens	300	34
4	Other water users		72
Total			1,886

WUA has in its balance two mechanisms: a) excavator, b) bulldozer. The total length of on-farm irrigation infrastructure is 60 km. There are 65 pcs of water measurement devices in the form of hydoposts SANIRI. Below you can see the crop pattern in the WUA. The second crop which is used extensively after wheat is maize (corn) and mug bean.

Table 7. Crop pattern in WUA X. Olimov

#	Crop pattern	Area, ha
1	Cotton	815
2	Winter wheat	445
3	Summer wheat	162
4	Alfalfa	92
5	Orchards	106
6	Others	40
Total		1,660

⁴ Need to mention that in Tajikistan the process of dismantlement of deqkhan farmers is still going on. WUA X. Olimov is expecting to have around 150 deqkhan farmers after accomplishment of the process of dismantlement.

Results of field survey with water users of WUA X. Olimov:

As was stated above, approach to survey water users was based upon head and tail water users not only within one main canal Khojabakirgan but also to get views of water user from inside WUA. Research has chosen respondents also based on head and tail within WUAs. Totally has been interviewed 40 water users within WUA X. Olimov (table 8).

Table 8. Category of interviewed water users

#	Category of water users	Number of interviewed people	Location of water user in WUA		
			Head	Middle	Tail
1	Deqkhan farmers	29	10	9	10
2	Presidential land owners	9	3	3	3
3	Head of Makhalla Committee representing kitchen-garden plot owners	2			
Total		40			

Below analysis demonstrate water governance aspects of WUA X. Olimov and its strengths and weaknesses for effective water management at WUA level. Below analysis are based mainly on responses of deqkhan farmers who are basically owners of WUA X. Olimov, who are basically maintaining (funding) it and who are basically occupying the major land area within WUA.

Research has revealed (figure 12) that the main violations in WUA is stealage of water 44% of respondents responded that it happens often within WUA and non-payment for WUA membership as well as irrigation service fee.




Figure 12. Main violations in WUA X. Olimov

From the figure 12, it is clear that water stealage also exists in WUA X. Olimov, it is not so high as in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha however, figure shows all deqkhans participate in the khashars and it seems collective action is more present in this WUA.

Water users of WUA X. Olimov blame upper WUAs including WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha as the stealer of the water and consider them as the main rule-breakers. But question was also directed internal procedures therefore they have also indicated that there is also persist problem of head and tail but it is not so widely disseminated. The interesting finding was that some of water users accuse head of main Khojabakirgan canal saying that he is the main person who brings to the rule-breaking inside the WUA.




Figure 13. Main Rule Breakers in WUA X. Olimov

There is need to mention that in WUA X. Olimov nobody indicated that there is external forces which obliges WUA Directorate to serve differently some water users who have connections or relatives within local administration. In opposite, water users indicated that they would not allow happening such a process inside the WUA.

Table 9. Budget of WUA X. Olimov 2010-2014, thou somoni

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Planned	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Actual	10,060	11,810	12,000	14,000




Figure 14. Percentage of WUA membership fee collection inside WUA X. Olimov

From above table 5 and figure 14, it is clear that WUA membership fee was increasing steadily over the last 4 years. This is due to fact that people started more rely on the work of WUA. Table 10

illustrates that there were discrepancies initially between actual versus planned water use however situation is much stabilized in 2013 and upcoming 2014 year due to the fact that leadership and governance of the WUA started to work properly.

Table 10. Actual versus planned water use within WUA X. Olimov

	2010	2011	2012	2013
Planned water supply, mln m3	69850	72730	56588	48063
Actual water supply, mln m3	50990	51980	38280	45524




Figure 15. Illustration of actual versus planned water use at WUA X. Olimov

Furthermore, research revealed that tail end WUA X. Olimov has different mechanisms of its WUA governance. Especially, if there is a conflict with farmer and WUA, they approach either WUA Council first to solve it, if not they approach to so called Local elder men council, it is called court of Aqsakals. It is also one of the important body for punishing the rule-breakers inside the WUA. Figure 16 illustrates the answer of deqkhan farmers with approaching different entities to resolve local conflict.




Figure 16. Main entities who punishes the rule breakers within WUA X. Olimov

Respondents replied that they would like to pay any price in order to make sure that there is water when it is needed in WUA. It was clear that starting from 2014, water users of WUA has increased

their membership fee to WUA from 30 Tajik somoni per hectare up to 70 Tajik Somoni per hectare. Figure 17 illustrates the responses of survey that they are basically paying membership fees to WUA. WUA X. Olimov is planning to get 90% of ISF as well as WUA membership in 2014.




Figure 17. Payment of membership fee to WUA X. Olimov

And finally figure 18 shows that WUA X.Olimov has in place penalty system for those who don't follow accepted rules with WUA and in particularly, who don't pay irrigation service fee as well as membership fee to WUA. Almost 70% of respondents indicated that there is a system of penalty. The system works in the following way, if somebody breaks it, he or she will not get in his/her turn water and will be fully monitored by the system.




Figure 18. Comparison of existance penalty system in WUA X. Olimov vs WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha

B: Uzbekistan

There have been selected as case-study three WUAs along South Ferghana Main Canal (SFC). One of the reason for selection of three WUAs because SFC is the long canal, totally with 114.9 km long length and irrigated area of more than 94,000 ha. So basically, there were selected one WUA in the head part, second in the middle part and third in the tail part of SFC. The head part WUA is called Tomchi-Kuli which is based in Markhamat district, Andijan Province, middle WUA is called Kodirjon A'zamjon based in Quva district, Ferghana Province and tail WUA is called Komiljon Umarov which is based in Toshloq District, Ferghana Province. In all WUAs, there have been interviewed key informants, collected background data using specifically developed data collection sheet as well as available local materials. In addition, there have been able to conduct survey among farmers of WUAs.

Survey has been conducted among 53 farmers as well as rural settlement chairs (makhalla) in Tomchi-Kuli WUA, among 31 individual farmers of WUA Kodirjon A'zamjon and among 30 individual farmers of WUA Kodirjon Umarov. Field methodology accomplished to collect intensive data collection in order to draft case-study of each WUA. There have been also explained in detail the research project objective and outcome to the WUAs leaderships. Local consultant in each WUA has been identified and hired for the conduction of extensive questionnaire. Jointly with WUA leadership and local consultants identified and selected different category of water users as well as their numbers to interview based upon research approach. Local consultants have been trained on each questions specific aims and approach how to ask each question of the questionnaire. There have been interviewed totally 114 water users in three WUAs using the questionnaire. The survey started in the mid of May, 2014 and accomplished in December, 2014.

WUA Tomchi-Kuli, Markhamat District, Andijan Province:

WUA has been formed as non-governmental non-commercial organization on 07.02.2006 in Qora-Kurgon Rural Settlement, Markhamat district, Andijan Province. Total irrigated area of the WUA is 3,381.7 ha of land. WUA has totally 70 water users in the territory of WUA. The average size of farmer in the WUA is 45 ha. Total number of population who live in the WUA is 28,600 people. The annual planned water use within WUA is 30,3 mln m³. There are 10 people who work for WUA. WUA has differentiated irrigation service fee for different crops:

Table 11. Different rates of irrigation service fee in WUA

#	Crops	ISF per 1 ha, Uzbek Sum
1	Cotton	20,000
2	Wheat	20,000
3	Vegetables and melons	25,000
4	Fruits and orchards	50,000
5	Livestock	30,000
6	Makhalla/kitchen-garden per 0,01 ha	500

So from the above table, one can observe, that WUA has farmers who specialized in cotton/wheat, orchards, vegetables, livestock and others.

WUA has its Office. Total length of secondary on-farm canals is 35,6 km and tertiary canals length is 74,4 km. WUA has totally 31,12 km of drainage canals. WUA Tomchi-Kuli gets water from Sharqansoy irrigation system authority, Noryn-Qaradaryo Basin Water Management Authority, former Andijan Provincial Water Management Organization (ObIVodKhoz). WUA has totally 7 on-farm secondary canals.

The highest body of the WUA is considered the General Assembly. Totally, there are 60 people who participate each year in General Assembly. WUA has also WUA Council where sit totally 7 people which was before 2014, however starting from 2014 and based upon the latest order #293 on re-registration of WUAs in the country, there is abolished the work of WUA Council. Director name is Urinov Abdurakhim.

WUA Kodirjon A'zamjon, Ouva district, Ferghana Province:

WUA has been created in 2006 but officially passed registration in 09.12.2010. WUA serves territory of five villages. There are totally 16 makhallas where 19,500 people live there. WUAs total irrigated area is 3,405 ha. The total length of irrigated network is 29,5 km. There are 47 hydroposts in the territory of WUA. WUA has totally 9 people of staff (director, accountant, agronomist, two hydrotechnicians, technical person as well as three mirobs). There are no assets in the balance of WUA.

The established irrigation service fee (ISF) for 1 ha of cotton and wheat is 15,000 Sum; ISF for 1 ha orchards is 40,000 Sum; the population/kitchen-garden 0,01 ha = 200 Sum.

Table 12. Different crops structure within WUA

Crops	Area, ha
Wheat-cotton	1,174
Orchards	250
Livestock	22
Others (mix)	215
Makhall	2,172
Total	3,405

WUA has in its territory 3 canals: Nayman, Quqon Kishloq and Bobomirza. According to the latest order #293 on re-registration of WUAs in the country, there is abolished the work of WUA Council. Director name is Jamol Akhmedov. His background is agroprocessing.

WUA Komiljon-Umarov, Tashloq District, Ferghana Province:

WUA has been founded in 2 February 2005 as non-governmental non-commercial organization. Total WUA area is 3,553 ha.

WUA has in its territory: 36 farmers specialized in cultivation of cotton and wheat, 12 farmers specialized in orchards, 5 farmers specialized in vegetables, 3 farmers specialized in livestock and 2 farmers specialized in fishing. In addition, WUA provides water to 16 villages within 3 Rural Settlements. Totally, WUA has 97 water users within its territory. WUA provides water totally to 58,115 people who live in 3 rural settlements and 16 villages. The highest body of WUA is considered the General Assembly of WUA water users. The Governance body is considered WUA's Council which comprised of 6 people.

The irrigation service fee for 2014 has been following per 1 ha of land: cotton/wheat farmers should pay 26,900 Sum; farmers who use pumped water should pay 13,450 Sum; orchards as well as vegetable growing farmers should pay 30,000 Sum and orchards as well as vegetable growing farmers who use pumped/lifted water should pay 15,000 Sum. Kitchen-garden plot owners should pay per 0,01 ha 200 Sum.




Figure 19. Membership fee tendency in WUA K. Umarov for cotton and wheat farmers

Director of the WUA is Adkhamjon Kurbonov. Director background is agronomist. There are 53 hydroposts available in the territory of WUA.

Table 13. Different crop structure within WUA

Agricultural Crop	Area, ha
Cotton	1,084.5
Wheat	1,052.2
Orchards	175
Vegetables	21
Others	125,5
Kitchen-garden	1,095
Total	3,553.2

WUA has only one source of water – South Ferghana Magistral Canal. WUA has a good system of water demand/request. WUA has totally 34 km length of on-farm canals. There are 5 secondary canals within the WUA, they are Akhshak, Besarang, Yangi-Soy, Varzak as well as Kapallik canals. K. Umarov WUA gets water from Isfayram-Shakhimardonsoy irrigation system authority.

Results of field survey in WUA Tomchi-Kuli and WUA Komiljon Umarov:

There is need to mention that below were processed data collection from two WUAs. The data from WUA Kodirjon A’zamjon arrived late due to busy period of farmers.




Figure 20. Main violations in WUA Tomchi-Kuli

As it seen in WUA Tomchi-Kuli, the main violations directed towards the water stealage, as well as not payment of WUA membership fee. WUA is located in the head part of South Ferghana Main Canal. It is negatively affects the water management inside the WUA and also inside WUA, the main violators are head tail water users.




Figure 21. Main violations in WUA Komiljon Umarov

In contrary in WUA Komiljon Umarov there is no violation with regard to non-payment of WUA membership fee however still exist water stealage within WUA. So it means water stealage from head tail water users persist in two WUAs. However, non-payment of irrigation service fee is absent in WUA K. Umarov. The figure 22 shows the tendency of total planned budget of WUA K. Umarov. It is clear in comparison to WUA Tomchi-Kuli, the budget of the K. Umarov is much higher especially over the last 3 years.




Figure 22. Tendency of total planned budget of WUA K. Umarov (2007-2014)

Another interesting moment which was revealed is that WUA Tomchi-Kuli has strong management as well as good relation with State Water Inspectorate see figure 23. It was clear, water users indicated that they approach WUA management to punish the rule breakers within WUA. But also people indicated that Republican WUA inspection play an important role. Need to mention that State Water Inspection unit was mentioned more in the response of water users of WUA Tomchi-Kuli.




Figure 23. Main entities who punishes the rule breakers within WUA Tomchi Kuli

The role of State Water inspectorate has been also mentioned in survey results of WUA Komiljon Umarov. The role of WUA directorate has been less important by the answers of water users of WUA Komiljon Umarov in comparison to WUA Tomchi-Kuli. One might conclude that management of WUA Tomchi-Kuli is much organized in comparison to WUA K. Umarov.




Figure 24. Main entities who punishes the rule breakers within WUA Komiljon Umarov

In WUA Komiljon Umarov, it seems water users consider WUA directorate as governance and management body.




Figure 25. Total planned budget of WUA Tomchi-Kuli 2011-2014

VI. RESULTS FROM THE FIELD STUDY

These results are based upon survey conducted among WUA directorate, first initial focus group discussion with key informants and observations. Key informants are water users such as deqkhan farmers, individual farmers, kitchen-garden plot owners represented by chairmen of local rural settlements, Canal Management Organization employees. There is expected to provide more comprehensive results and recommendations after the processing and analysing quantitative data which were collected via questionnaire from different type of water users and staff of five selected WUAs. Totally, there were surveyed 194 farmers in two countries.

Tadjikistan:

- Important role is playing Djamoats, i.e. Rural Settlements in organizing collective action in the territory of WUA, such as social khashars (collective action to clean on-farm canals) in WUA X. Olimov;
- Due to deqkhan farmers dismantlement process, the process of collective action is becoming more difficult and complex. WUAs are facing challenge organize farmers into the governance body of WUA;
- More and more there is appearing the need to establish Water user groups in order to unite water users along tertiary canals for the collective action within WUAs;
- A question of on-farm irrigation and drainage network ownership is becoming more and more important;
- The content of contract between WUA and water users as well as WUA and District Water management organization or Canal Management Organization (KhBC) is the same for the majority of WUAs;
- The main actors in main canal water allocation and use are followings: Management of Khojabakirgan Main Canal (CMO), WUAs, deqkhan farmers with its Association of Deqkhan farmers (ADKh Khojabakirgan), lessees from deqkhan farmers, presidential land owners, kitchen-gardens and other water users, such as Djamoats/makhalas;
- The formal and in-formal structure of WUA governance is better organized in the tail WUA along KhBC. In majority of WUAs, the chairman of WUA Council is working on voluntary basis however in WUA X. Olimov (previous Gulyakandoz), water users decided to pay salary for Chairman of WUA Council work. They have realized and understood the importance of this body operation;
- There is also high interference by Water Unit of Rayvodkhozoes for the work of WUA;
- Furthermore, there is systemic and organized work of WUA Council and its Board of Governors in WUA X. Olimov (former Gulyakandoz) with proper protocols, minutes of meetings. These aspects directly relate to the improved water management inside WUA incomparision to WUA Obi-Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha.
- Farmers, i.e. deqkhan farmers in the tail WUA X. Olimov are more adhere to follow the accepted rules and regulations within WUA in comparison to head WUA. The leadership of WUA including its farmers strongly confident that they don't allow massive water stealage, violation the rules accepted in WUA and if it happens they could handle it within WUA;
- In contrast, there is high water stealage, break of rules and regulations in water allocation among farmers of WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha. The leadership of WUA couldn't handle the issue due to absence of WUA mechanisms to punish, mainly due to absence of real WUA governance body. Low acceptance by water users that WUA it is their organizations, more and more there are deqkhan farmers who are concluding contract directly with Canal Management Organization for the supply of water to the territory of farmer, basically ignoring WUAs main mission and task. This was basically happened the year 2013-2014. The leadership of WUA is responding that there was quick shift from former collective farm system to the individual farmer system with all farmer service providing organizations. WUAs have been created with zero assets, even on-farm irrigation systems have not been transferred to the balance of WUAs without mentioning any heavy mechanisms. This condition has created among farmers bad impression about WUA and its potentials;

- In both WUAs there are exist external interferences in water allocation to the deqkhan farmers however, extend of interferences is hugely different in head WUA in comparison to tail WUA. More external interference to the work of WUA is occurring in WUA Obi Ravoni Ovchi Qalacha in comparison to WUA X. Olimov (former Gulyakandoz), mainly and due to presence of WUA governance in tail WUA. The external interferences are basically followings: Local authorities such as Governors (Xokims), Prosecutor and other authority of District call and ask WUA management to provide water first to his/her relatives, friends or to his/her lands;
- In general, one can conclude that governance is better organized in WUA X. Olimov (tail ender) in comparison to WUA Komiljon Umarov (head tail). WUA X. Olimov has better collective action, existence of penalty system, governance structure such as court of Aqsakals (eldermens), all these contribute to the success of WUA governance;
- In both WUAs, Deqkhan farmers mentioned that there is need to revise the formal organizational structure of WUAs with its governance and management bodies. Both WUA deqkhan farmers agree that there is need to be WUA governance body but not in current organizational structure content. It should be more real and not just on the paper;
- There is low level of State support to the work of WUA.

In both WUAs, Deqkhan farmers indicated that they use other different water governance mechanisms in contrast to accepted one in order to find solutions for the different problems related to water allocation, such as work closely with Djamoat leadership and Association of Deqkhan Farmers leadership. It is highly recommended to revise proposed WUA governance structure taking into consideration local context and indigeneous knowledge. There is potentially reconsider current governance structures in WUAs taking into consideration important informal institutions. It is also expected to provide more in-depth findings and recommendations for WUA governance improvement.

Uzbekistan:

- All water users consider that it is important to have WUA Governance and its meetings. Specifically, during the General Assembly of farmers there are discussed the water use situation, the contractual relationships between WUA and water users, the irrigation service fee collection rates, preparedness of irrigation and drainage networks for the upcoming vegetation season as well as get reporting of executive as well as governance body such as WUA Directorate as well as WUA Council accordingly.
- There is need to mention that in all WUAs there is symbolic payment for the use of water by kitchen-garden plot owners. Individual farmers basically compensate the cost of provision of irrigation water to kitchen-garden plot owners.
- There is agreement within WUA that water first delivered to the fields of farmers starting from 06:00 – 21:00 and later from 21:00 – 06:00 water is provided for kitchen-gardens.
- The important role plays as well the leadership of WUA. Water users stressed that it is important to have a good leader who could adhere the order as well as discipline in the WUA.
- Interesting finding in WUAs of Uzbekistan, especially in WUA Kodirjon A'zamjon starting from 2013 based upon Governmental order 293, WUAs have passed re-registration. According to this order, especially WUA Kodirjon A'zamjon basically abolished WUA Council. Basically, everything is now concentrated in the executive body, i.e WUA Directorate. This process is bringing more or less back former collective farm organizational structure.
- There are also situations where external forces affecting to the process of water allocation within WUA, some farmers who are the relatives of some people in the governmental bodies do not oblige to the rules and regulations which have been accepted within WUA.
- One of the most spread methods of getting irrigation service fee paid by WUA, is the closure of the outlets and not provision of water by WUA directorate.
- One of the issues in Uzbekistan WUAs is the typical form of agreement/contract which is disseminated in all WUAs to make a contract between WUA and farmers.

- There is also high interference to the work of WUA by State water management organizations, specifically for allocation and distribution of water within WUA. Local District Water Authorities influence in allocation of water while the State Water Inspectorate looks to the allocated water limit use.
- Majority of farmers within WUAs are cotton and wheat producers. These two crops are considered State quota crops, therefore State purchases cotton and wheat from the farmers. This process sometimes takes long time therefore, there is delay to payment for irrigation service to WUAs.
- Because people live within one society, they would like to solve conflicts and disputes within their societies.
- Need to mention that although WUA Tomchi-Kuli is based in head of Canal and ideally WUA management shouldn't be active but in WUA Tomchi-Kuli management is better organized due to its leadership.
- Survey has revealed that leadership plays important role in governing and managing water resources inside WUA. According to survey, WUA Tomchi-Kuli is better organized in comparison to WUA K. Umarov. Water users are would like to approach more directorate of WUA Tomchi-Kuli to resolve the conflicts at least.
- In both WUAs, farmers indicated that there is interference of State Water Inspection especially with regard to on-farm infrastructure maintenance and water allocation based on limit.
- However, in both WUAs there is need to revise the governance structure taking into consideration local indigenous knowledge and informal institutions.

After discussion with water users, it is clear that WUAs are still demanded organization which should exist and almost a single agricultural organization that operates by farmers themselves. Farmers gradually understand that it is their organization and that they need to support. However, State interference is high to the work of WUA, starting from making sure that WUAs have in place all documentations (contract with farmers; demand, supply and limit documentations; day-to-day water allocation schedule, water use planning as well as water scheduling), control the proper operation and maintenance of irrigation and drainage infrastructure within WUAs and others. There is basically less problem with regard to difference between head and tail WUA. There is similarities of State interference in all three WUA and revision of current Governance structure. If there will be disappear Governance body, WUAs could not operate in the viable conditions.

Below table shows the initial comparison of design principles of common pool resource institutions application in three countries of Ferghana Valley via case-studies of above WUAs. Need to mention that Kyrgyz case-study WUAs have been outside of Ferghana Valley part of Kyrgyzstan. With support of University of Bern, there have been also analysed two WUAs, one in head and one in the tail part of Big Western Chuy Canal in Chuy Province, Kyrgyzstan.

Initial Comparison of Design principles CPR institutions application in three countries

Principles of Institutions	Kyrgyzstan	Tajikistan	Uzbekistan
1) <i>Clearly Defined Boundaries</i>	- Territ vs Hydro; Canal vs local source; Small farmers	- Still process of Reform – towards KG	+ Re-registration, optimization of farms
2) <i>Proportional Equivalence between Benefits and Costs</i>	+ No-State Quota; contractual arrangement	+ Highest ISF in the region	- State Quota + Contracts
3) <i>Collective-Choice Arrangements</i>	+ General Assembly, Meeting of Aqsakals	- Tail end WUAs active	- Once GA in a year
4) <i>Monitoring</i>	- Inadequate Capacity	- Inadequate Capacity	+ Strong Govt
5) <i>Graduated Sanctions</i>	+ Collectively: Court of Aqsakals	- Interference Irrigation Auth, debt	+ State Org interference
6) <i>Conflict-Resolution Mechanisms</i>	+ Zonal representat; Court of Aqsakals	- Not recognition int-rules. External costly	+ State Authority interference
7) <i>Minimal Recognition of Rights to Organize</i>	+ Strong Leaders, Ayil Okumety linkage	- LA don't recognize	+ Strong Government
8) <i>Nested Enterprises</i>	+ Zonal Representation	- Towards Kg case	- Optimization of farmers
9) <i>Bargaining Power</i>	Less practiced	Between	High interference

Table 26. Initial Comparison of CPR design principles applications in three countries

From comparison of nine principles, table shows that Kyrgyzstan WUAs are more community based organization in comparison to Uzbekistan WUAs. There is less Government interference to the work of WUA in Kyrgyzstan & Tajikistan however there is issues such as hydrographic versus command-territorial water management. Tajikistan is leading in terms of rate of irrigation service fee, however it doesn't guarantee that Tajikistan WUAs are better off in terms of financial resources. Kyrgyz WUAs directly relate their activity based upon direct payment of irrigation service fee by water users in comparison to Uzbek WUAs where Government guarantees payment of state quota agricultural crops's irrigation fee. In all three countries there is indigenous knowledge as well as informal institutions that are more active and helpful versus official formal ones. Among such structures in Kyrgyzstan is Court of Aqsakals, in Tajikistan Djamoats, in Uzbekistan Qishloq Fuqaroral Yigini. It is clear that there are institutional aspects which could be exchanged and learned between WUAs in the region such as collective action of Kyrgyz WUAs, State support and state positive interference of Uzbek WUAs and from Tajik WUAs setting the irrigation service fee.

Finally, there is need futher research to come up with proper governance structure to each country of Ferghana Valley.

Annex 1.

Questionnaire

[For questioning of the WUA's leadership]

--	--	--

 N° of the questionnaire

Questioning date: ___/___/2013

Respondent's name: _____

Name of WUA: _____



1. Respondent's post in the WUA: _____

2. Sex: Male(1) Female(2) 2.1. Age: _____ years old

2.2. Education:

Higher (1) Incomplete higher (2) Specialized secondary (3)

Secondary (4) Primary (5) No education (6)

3. How many farms form the WUA: _____ farms

4. How many farms are there on the territory served by the WUA: _____ farms

CROPS AND YIELDS IN 2013

5. Total area of the WUA's irrigated lands: _____ ha

6. Total area of the irrigated lands of the WUA's members: _____ ha

VEGETATION PERIOD 2013

7. WUA's crops in 2013:

Name of the crop	Area, ha	Crop productivity, centner/ha	% for sale	Receipts, ths. sums
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

WATER SUPPLY

8. How many water sources have WUA and please indicate the names: _____

9. Who and which organization deliver water from each source to WUA

10. Volume of water supplied to the WUA in 2013 during the vegetation period: _____ m³

11. Total volume of water demand by the water users for 2013: _____ m³

12. Total volume of water obtained by the water users during the vegetation period 2013: _____ m³

13. Number of the water demands from water users for the vegetation period 2013: _____

14. Number of the water demands executed on-time: _____

15. Number of the days of water supply in the tail ends of the canals (30% canal length): _____ days

16. Number of days of the sufficient water supply (according to the demands) in the tail ends of the canals: _____ days

17. What tariffing does the WUA use for the water supply payments?

	Payment	Tariff
	for a cubic meter of water supplied	diram/m ³
	for a hectare of the irrigated area	somoni/ha

18. What part of the fees do the farmers pay in kind? _____%

19. What kind of measure is taken in WUA if farmer does not pay irrigation service fee? Plz, indicate: _____

20. Do farmers sanctioned who don't pay for irrigation service fee? Yes(1) No(2)

21. If YES then how are they sanctioned?

22. Which extra sources of water do the farmers use in WUA?

	Water sources	Volume, m ³
	ground waters	
	collector-drainage waters	
	discharge waters	
	rainwater	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE CANALS

23. Total canals length in the WUA: _____ km

24. Total length of the canals in bad condition: _____ km

25. Total number of the hydraulic/water measurement units installed on the canals: _____ units

26. Total number of the hydraulic/water measurement units in bad condition: _____ units

27. Number of the hydraulic installations renewed in 2013: _____ units

28. Number of the farms equipped with water measuring installations: _____ units

29. How many times was the monitoring of the condition of the canals and hydraulic installations held in 2013? _____

30. How often was the training on the use of the water measuring facilities held in 2013?

31. How often was the training on the water saving cost-effective irrigation methods held in 2013?

WUA'S ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

32. Full name of WUA and when it passed official registration _____

33. WUA's legal status:

Commercial (1)

Non-governmental, non-commercial (2)

Governmental (3)

Non-governmental (4)

34. How many people work in the WUA? _____ persons

35. How many employees have diplomas in their field of work? _____ persons

36. Which body in WUA is considered the highest governance body?

- General Assembly of Water users (1) WUA Council (2)
- Board of Governors (3) Directorate (4)

37. Was the Annual General Assembly Meeting of all the WUA's members held in 2013?

- Yes (1) No (2)

38. If YES then how many WUA members took part in the AGM? _____ persons

39. Does there exist WUA Council, if yes, please indicate how many members are sitting there:

	Quantity of WUA Council members
Yes, WUA Council exists	
No, WUA Council doesn't exist	
Exists another form	

40. If WUA Council exist, please, indicate who are the members of WUA Council, which category of professionals _____

41. How many WUA Council meetings were held in 2013? _____ meetings

42. Does WUA have Board of Governors, if yes, please indicate how many members are sitting there:

	Quantity of WUA Council members
Yes, BoGs exists	
No, BoG doesn't exist	
Exists another form	

43. If WUA BoG exists, please, indicate who are the members of WUA Board of Governors _____

44. How many WUA BoG meetings were held in 2013? _____ meetings

45. Was the BoG election held in 2012-2013? Yes(1) No(2)

46. How many women are in the WUA Board of Governors? _____

47. Could you plz, indicate shortly what kind of questions are addressed in the meetings of WUA Council and in the meetings of WUA Board of Governors, in addition indicate what kind of functions they have:

Questions addressed in WUA Council meetings	Questions addressed in WUA Board of Governors meetings

48. Could you, please, indicate in shortly why there is need to have WUA Governance bodies (WUA General Assembly, Meetings of WUA Council and Board of Governors meeting if such exists)?

49. Does the WUA settle disputes between the water users?

	Never(1)
	Sometimes (2)
	Always(3)

50. Which body of WUA or entity usually settles the disputes?

51. Do the farmers know about the water supply schedule and in what turn and in what amount the WUA's water users get the water?

	No one knows (1)
	A part knows (2) (how many persons? _____)
	Everyone knows (3)

52. If farmers know about the water delivery schedule, is there an applied sanction against farmers who break or violate the irrigation schedule?

Yes No

If yes, could you indicate what kind of sanctions are applied _____

53. Is the WUA as a nonprofit organization released from debts? Yes(1) No(2)

54. With how many water users has the WUA a contract for the irrigation services? _____

55. Are all the contracts executed?

	No one executed(1)
	Partially executed(2)
	All executed(3)

55.1. If not all of the contracts are executed what is the reason?

56. Is there applied any sanctions in relation to farmers who broke the contractual obligations according to contract? yes no

If yes, indicate what kind of sanctions _____

57. Could you please, indicate why water users break the rules, norms and regulations which are accepted and agreed inside WUA? Could you, plz, indicate the reasons?

COMMON PROBLEMS

58. Which problems related to the water in the WUA must be solved in the short run (please assess their importance from 1 till 3, 3 – the most important):

- ___ lack of the water for land irrigation
- ___ lack of the potable water and water for daily living needs
- ___ quality of the water for land irrigation
- ___ quality of the potable water and water for daily living needs
- ___ high waters and waterlogging
- ___ sinking of the ground water table
- ___ raising of the ground water table
- ___ soil degradation (salinization of soil etc.)
- ___ OTHER: _____

59. Are the fees collected from the water users always transmitted in time into the WUA's bank account? Yes(1) No(2)

59.1. If NO what is the reason? _____

	Increased(1)
--	--------------

60. The ISF rates in 2013 (versus 2012):

	Remained the same(2)
	Decreased(3)

60.1. If there were changes in the ISF rates what is the reason?

61. How do you think, which problems in the WUA influence most its efficiency (please assess their importance from 1 till 3, 3 – the most important)

	Problems with the even water supply to the water users	
	Problems with the technical equipment and rehabilitation of the canals	
	Financial problems	
	Low irrigation service fees collection rate	
	Social problems and disputes between the water users	
	Organizational problems within the WUA, WUA Governance	
	Low competence of the WUA's employees	
	Low awareness of the famers about water saving technologies	
	Low participation of the water users in the WUA's direction	
	OTHER: _____	

62. How do you think, what is the strength of the WUA's activity?

(Please assess their efficiency from 1 till 3, 3 – the most efficient)

	Even water supply to the water users	
	Good technical equipment and timely rehabilitation of the canals	
	Financial welfare	
	High irrigation service fees collection rate	
	Social interaction and absence of the disputes between the water users	
	Successful organization of the WUA's work	
	High competence of the WUA's employees	
	Awareness of the water users about water saving technologies	
	Active participation of the water users in the WUA's work	
	OTHER: _____	

63. What do you think, need to be taken in order to make sure that all water users in WUA adhere to the accepted and agreed rules, norms and regulations within WUA?

(Please assess their efficiency from 1 till 3, 3 – the most efficient)

	Increase the sanctions on violation of rules, norms and regulations
	Equip WUAs with water measurement devices
	Revisit, restructure the WUA organizational structure
	Reconsider the work of WUA Council
	Reconsider the work of WUA Arbitrage Commission
	Reconsider the work of WUA Revision Commission
	Stimulate, provide incentives for water users to use water rationally
	Others: _____

QUESTIONNAIRE

[for interview different types of water users]

--	--	--	--

No

Date of survey: ___/___/ 2014

Name of the surveyor: _____

Rural Settlement where respondent lives: _____

Village where respondent lives: _____

Location of respondent land in the territory of WUA: head, middle or tail end.

1. THE BASIC INFORMATION

1. The main status of the respondent (head of the family) _____

2. Gender: Male (1) Female (2)

2.1. Age: _____

2.2. Education:

Higher (1) Non accomplished higher (2) Secondary specialized (3) Secondary (4) Primary (5) without education (6)

3. Type of farming: Farmer (1) Deqkhan (2) Kitchen-garden (3) Peasant (4) Other (5) _____

4. Specialization of the farming:

Grain crops (1) Cotton (2) Orchards (3) Livestock (4) Others (5) _____

4.1. How many totally people work in your farming: _____ people

5. Are you member of WUA? A) Yes ____, I am full member of WUA and have right to participate in the work of WUA.

B) No ____, I am not member of WUA, just receive water from WUA. Don't have any right to participate in the work of WUA.

6. How long do you live in the village? _____

7. How many years and why are you involved in irrigation (deqkhan, farming)? (Plz, explain).

8. Household composition (HHC): Main data and occupation of the current members of the household

Relationship to the head of HHC	Gender	Age	Marital Status	Level of education	Main activity	Additional activity
1. Head HHC; 2.Wife; 3. Parent; 4.Son/daughter; 5.Grandson/Granddaughter; 6. Brother/Sister; 7. Daughter-in-Law; 8. Others	Male (M) Female (F)	Age	1. Married 2. Divorced 3. Widow 4. Single	1. Without education; 2. Primary; 3. Secondary; 4. Secondary Special; 5. Not completed higher; 6. Higher; 7.Others	1. Kindergarten; 2. Pupil; 3. Student; 4. Unemployed; 5 Housekeeper; 6. Farmer; 7. Service in the army; 8. Hired worker; 9. Entrepreneur (trade); 10. State employee; 11. Working for NGO; 12. Pensioner; 13. Working abroad; 14. Other	

2. LIVELIHOODS

9. What are the main sources of your family income? (Plz, indicate based upon the level of importance): a. State service/Army; b. Remittances from abroad; c. Agriculture/farming; d. Trade; e. Hired worker (for example. Construction and etc); f. Non-governmental organization; g. Pension. h. Other sources, plz, indicate:

10. Could you approximate indicate, how much funds in the total income of your household comes directly from agriculture (sale of grains, vegetables, fruits, livestock and etc) just circulate the answer?:

- a) ≤ 10% b) 10% - 30% c) 30% - 50% 4) ≥ 50%

11. How do you think, over the last ten years, your non-agricultural income *increased, decreased or remained unchanged?* (Could you underline your answer and explain below)

3. LAND AND FARMING (AGRICULTURE)

12. Total irrigated area in your farming: _____ ha

13. Name of the canal secondary or tertiary order from which respondent is getting water (if respondent knows it):

14. Location of the respondent along secondary or tertiary level of canal, i.e. where is respondent land is based:

- Head Middle Tail

15. Please indicate the quantity of harvest obtained the 3 most major crops of those crops, vegetables, fruits, food, etc. grown in the last year?

Crops	Aim of growing 1 Earn means. 2.For the household consumption. 3. Fodder for livestock; 4. Others (indicate)	Cultivation area (ha)	Yield (hundreds kilograms per hecta)	Yield (<i>Plenteous; Average; Bad</i>)	Revenues, thousand somoni per ha
Commercial crops: Cotton, wheat	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
Vegetables: Potato, onion, carrot, tomato, cucumber, pepper, cabbage, etc.	1.				
	2.				
	3.				
Fruits: apple, pear, apricot, peach, mulberry, cherry, nuts and etc.	1.				
	2.				
	3.				

Fodder/forage: alfalfa, grass, etc.	1.					
	2.					
	3.					
Others						

16. Is there changes in the types of cultivated crops over the last ten years (indicate)
 Yes _____ No ___ (if yes) (Plz, explain) _____

17. Indicate the caucuses which are mainly impede or prevent you to get maximum yields in your irrigated area and evaluate based upon the importance from 1 to 3 (1 is the most important one):

- scarcity of water for irrigation
- big losses in the canals, late delivery of water resources
- weather conditions
- fertilizers: scarcity bad quality
- agrochemicals: scarcity bad quality
- shortage of necessary agricultural equipment and mechanisms
- shortage of funds for purchase of necessary resources and equipment
- bad land ameliorative conditions (for example, salinity)
- lack of agronomic knowledge
- lack of knowledge with regard when to irrigation and how long irrigate

agricultural crop

- absence of incentives (for example, low prices, absence of market and etc)
- Others: _____

4. INSTITUTIONS

18. Are you member of any committee/association in the village (mark) Yes ___ No ___

Name of committee/association	Conditions of participation: a) living in the community; b) membership fee; c) others (write what is the conditions of participation)
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	

19. Do you or your relatives/neighbours help each other in such works like construction, food provision or funds?

Help the majority part of time seldom help Never help, write the reasons

20. What do you think, the mutual help to each other increased, decreased or remained in the same level for the last ten years? (Plz, underline and explain)

21. In general, do you think you could trust to the majority inhabitants of this village?

Yes ___ No ___ (PLz, explain)

22. Could you, plz, indicate the name of WUA whom you are member?

23. Do you have any position or responsibility on irrigation in the village? (for example, collect funds, mobilize people for the maintenance of canals, monitoring and etc) (plz, indicate)? Yes ___ No ___ (if yes) (plz, explain)

24. Do you participate in the General Assembly of WUA? (indicate)? Yes___ No___ (if yes) How often over the last three years ____? (if not) _Why not?_____

25. If Yes, do you make a proposal for improvement the work of WUA? Yes(1) No(2)

26. If Yes, are your proposals incorporated in the work of WUA? Yes(1) No (2)

27. Plz, indicate, what kind of service (work) you should contribute into the work of WUA as the member of it?

	Mainly, you spend more time in the participation of WUA meetings
	Annually participate in the Khashars
	Just pay irrigation service fee
	Annually, provide information about the agricultural crop structure
	Others, indicate:

28. Plz, indicate why there is need to conduct WUA General Assembly?

	None of the WUA members are aware about it
	This is the way the things are done
	In order to accept strategic decisions in WUA
	For the annual reporting
	Other, plz, indicate:

29. Do you participate in the meetings of the village which is organized by Djamoat (Rural Settlement)? (indicate): Yes___ No_ (if yes, how often)_____? (if not, why)?_____

30. How the group decisions are taken during the meeting of WUA? (mark only one)
100% agreement By majority One-man

31. How are the group decisions taken during the meeting of the village? (mark only one)
100% agreement By majority One-man

32. Do you participate in the decision making process of WUA? (mark it) Yes___ (if yes), in which way (i.e., you are the member of WUA Council or other?). Indicate who is also member of WUA Council? Who is making the decisions? (Plz, explain),_____

No ___(if not), plz, tell who is participating in the decision making process in WUA? Who is the mainly participating in the decision making process? Who is making the decisions? (Plz, explain)_____

33. Do you participate in the decision making process in the village level? (mark it)

Yes___ No ___(if yes), In which way? Who is making the decisions?

(Plz, explain)_____

34. How and from whom you get to know about the accepted decisions at WUA? (for example, is there any written notices, protocols, the decisions of the General Assembly or the board of announcement, newspaper, bulletins and etc) (plz, explain)_____

35. Are there conducted the election of WUA Chairman? Yes No

36. Could you, plz, tell what is the strength of the WUA Chairman which was the criteria for his or her election? (for example, experience to work with community, knowledge of the sector, education and etc):_____

37. Have there been conducted election of WUA Director or he/her was appointed by the WUA Council or other body? Plz, indicate:_____

38. Could you, plz, tell what is the strength of the WUA Director? (for example, experience to work with community, knowledge of the sector, education and etc)::_____

39. How do you think, the decisions which are taken at the WUA level, are also accepted, agreed and respected by the leadership of the village, Rural Settlement and District authority? Yes No, plz, indicate why_____

5. WATER MANAGEMENT AND ALLOCATION

40. Do you know all water users in your WUA? (mark)

Yes___ No ___(Plz, explain)_____

41. In what way, the water is allocated in your village? (Mark, everything is applicable)

After particular interval of time	Based on crop types
In the limited volume	On Household level
In accordance with established procedure (in turn)	Based on land size
Other_____	Other_____

42. Do you think current rules of the water allocation and water use in WUA is fair and corresponds to the local conditions? (mark it) Yes ___ No ___ (if yes), explain how? (if not), why not? (Plz, explain)_____

43. Irrigation types and water availability?

<u>Infrastructure type</u>	<u>Local name of the canal</u> (or other indication)	<u>Location of the land from the canal</u> (1.Head; 2.Middle; 3.Tail)	<u>Water Sufficiency</u>
1. Channel from the valley			1. More than half of necessary amount (<50%)
2. Spring			2. About the half of requested (≈50%)
3. Private pump (a. pumps spring water; b. pumps from river)			3. Sufficient (100%)
4. Common pump (a. spring water; b. river)			
5. from water pipe			
6. Other			

44. How often are you irrigating your crops during the following seasons? (mark it)

Spring Once a week Two times a week Once in two weeks

 Once in three weeks Once in month

Other_____

Summer Once a week Two times a week Once in two weeks

 Once in three weeks Once in a month

Other_____

Autumn Once a week Two times a week Once in two weeks

 Once in three weeks Once in a month

Other_____

45. What kind of obstacles exist to get sufficient amount of water?

(check all that apply) (What is the significance of the three most important from 1 to 3, the most important and 1-least 3)

- | | |
|---|---|
| Location of the village (head, middle, tail) | Absence of the information about the land sizes |
| Location of land (head, middle and tail) | Non equal input of the labour force into the maintenance of canals |
| Not fair water distribution schedule | Non-sufficient carrying capacity of canals |
| Uncontrolled water use | Water losses due to leaking and break of canals |
| Just, scarcity of water in the sources, because of climate (<i>spring, summer and autumn</i>) | Use of water by other users (use of water mainly for construction and etc.) |
| Absence of the adherence to the rules | Other _____ |
| Conflicts with other farmers | |
| Absence of the punishment for the break of rules | |

46. Do you submit application to get water in the beginning of 2013 into WUA, indicating how much water you would require during the vegetation season? Yes
No

47. If Yes, how do you calculated the necessary amount of water you need? Plz, indicate

48. Have you participated in the development of the schedule of the water allocation in WUA? Yes No

6. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE IRRIGATION SYSTEM

49. Do you participate in the khashars for maintenance of the irrigation canals (cleaning, construction) (mark)? Yes__ No __ (If yes), Do you participate in the voluntary basis or compulsory (forced) way? (plz, mark)

50. (If yes) in which basis there is distributed the work? (mark it):
Based on size of the land Based on households Others (indicate): _____

51. (If yes), approximately, how many days you work for khashars? _____

52. Is there any system of punishment or compensation for non-participation in khashar? (mark it) Yes__ No __ (If, yes), In what type? _____ How much?

53. Have you participated in the discussion of the setting the rate of the WUA irrigation service fee, i.e. membership fee for 2014?
Yes No, plz, indicate why
not _____

54. Do you pay the annual membership fee? (Mark it) Yes__ No __ (If yes), How much? _____ Do you pay as well separately for water? Yes__ No __ (If yes), How much? _____

55. In your opinion, in general, what was the level of WUA irrigation service fee rate in 2013?

(Mark your response)

Very high High Normal Low Very low

56. Have you paid for the water and WUA irrigation service fee, i.e. membership fee in 2013? (Mark you response)

Yes Partly No

56.1. If «No» or «Partly», plz, indicate why? _____

57. Is there a penalty for non-payment? Yes__ No __ (If yes), How much? _____

58. Do you think that all the efforts that you make on the issue of water use (eg, payment water charges and participation in khashar) return you benefits from it? Yes__ No __ (Plz, explain) _____

7. MONITORING, PUNISHMENT AND CONFLICT RESOLUTION

59. Do you participate in the observations/monitoring of the water resources inside the WUA? (If yes, mark all which are applicable in your participation)

Water allocation Water use Conditions of water infrastructure
Others _____
(If yes) Individually in Groups (Plz, explain) _____

(if not) Why not? (Plz, explain) _____

60. Could you indicate what kind of rules and regulations which are accepted inside WUA you are aware of? (for example, например, rotational water use, participation in khashars, don't break irrigation infrastructure, advance payment for the irrigation service fee and etc).

61. What violations mostly occur in WUA? (mark all which are applicable)

Overuse of water Theft (stealage) of water Non-participation in khashars
Channel damage Water pollution with livestock Non-payment for WUA service
Others, indicate _____

62. Please specify who and what type of water users group are the major breakers of rules within WUA?

Water users who are based in the head part of canal, outlet
Water users who are the relatives of people who are the decision-makers or influencing to decision makers inside the WUA, plz, also indicate where their lands are located along canals

Other category of water users, plz, indicate _____

63. Is there any sanctions/punishments for the breakers of rules and regulations accepted inside the WUA or village? (Mark it)

Yes___ No ___ (If yes) What kind of? (Plz, explain) _____

64. (If yes) Who punishes the rules breakers? (mark everything which is applicable)

Djamoat Traditional leaders (Aqsakals) WUA Council (including Arbitrage and Revision Commissions)
WUA (Director, Mirob) Court Other, indicate _____

65. (If not) What should be done in order to stop the break/violations of rules? (Plz, explain and give your proposal)

66. How often do you confront with the water conflicts inside the WUA over the last 3 years? (mark only one option)

Never Only once Twice Three times More than three times Many, difficult to count

67. What are the main reasons of water conflicts in your village? (mark, everything which is applicable)

Inequitable distribution of water	Untimely delivery of water
Violation watering queue (theft) canals	Bad cleaning or maintenance of canals
Bad performance of WUA	Absence of water accounting
Use of water by outsiders (for other purposes)	Others _____

68. Whose role is the main in the resolution of disputes and conflicts in your village? (Plz, mark all which is applicable in the scale from 1 up to 3;

1- most important, 3- less important)

Djamoat (Rural settlement)	Traditional Leaders (Aqsakals)	WUA Council
(Arbitrage and Revision Commission)	WUA (Director, Mirob)	Court
Others (Indicate)_____		

69. How quickly resolved disputes, punishment inside the WUA?

Very quick inside the WUA (in each outlet) among water users
Quickly Mirob and WUA Director act on it and solve it
Long time via WUA Council, then it is given to Court and etc
Others, indicate_____

8. RESULTS AND OUTCOMES

70. Can you list the types and frequency of natural disaster occurrence over the last ten years? (mark it)

<i>Drought</i>	Never	Once	Twice	Three times	More than three times
	Many times, difficult to count				
<i>Floods</i>	Never	Once	Twice	Three times	More than three times
	Many times, difficult to count				
<i>Others___</i>	Never	Once	Twice	Three times	More than three times
	Many times, difficult to count				

71. What are the major socio - economic and environmental problems associated with the use of water in your village? (mark what is applicable)

<input type="checkbox"/> Conflicts among local residents	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of the traditional relations
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor life	<input type="checkbox"/> Problems with the food
<input type="checkbox"/> Bad conditions of health	<input type="checkbox"/> Degradation of land resources
<input type="checkbox"/> Bad quality of water and its amount	
<input type="checkbox"/> Others _____	

9. FARMERS AWARENESS

72. Do you know about the existence of WUA water supply schedule? Yes No

73. Do you know always:

Ye	No	Not sure
----	----	----------

- how many times there is need to irrigated your crops in order to get good yields? - when there is need to irrigation your crops in order to get good yields? - do you know how much m3 of water you get during the vegetation period	S		

74. Do you want to know actually how much m3 of water you are getting to your field during the vegetation period? Yes () or No ()

75. If yes, why you need to know it, plz, write it down

10. QENDER EQUALITY

76. From the total number of registered workers how many men and how many females in your farm?

77. How many people in average work in your deqkhan farm during the peak of agricultural works? Out of them which are percentage of women?

78. What kind of agricultural workers/employees work in your fields?

(you may chose several options)	What kind of work they accomplish?
1. <input type="checkbox"/> family =	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> share holder =	
3. <input type="checkbox"/> hired workers =	
4. <input type="checkbox"/> tenant =	
5. <input type="checkbox"/> mirab =	

79. How much in average the salary of the agricultural workers

Women	Men
<input type="checkbox"/> shareholder =	<input type="checkbox"/> shareholder =
<input type="checkbox"/> hired workers =	<input type="checkbox"/> hired workers =
<input type="checkbox"/> tenant =	<input type="checkbox"/> tenant =
<input type="checkbox"/> mirab of the deqkhan farm =	<input type="checkbox"/> mirab of the deqkhan farm =
<input type="checkbox"/> mirab of the household =	<input type="checkbox"/> mirab of the household =

Annex 3. Basic data collection sheet from WUAs

Name of WUA: _____ Date of survey: _____
 District: _____ Name of Respondent _____
 Province: _____ Position of Respondent _____
 Name of Rural Settlement: _____ Education of Respondent _____
 Year of WUA creation: _____ Tel: _____
 Registration number: _____
 Legal Status of WUA _____
 Name of Director of WUA _____
 Name of Chairman of WUA _____
 With whom WUA has signed contract for water supply of water to WUA territory: _____

№	Indicators	Legends	2011			2013			2014		
BACKGROUND & WATER DEMAND											
1	Administrative-territorial ("a") or hydrographic ("h") WUA	<i>h or a</i>									
2	Total irrigated area	<i>ha</i>									
3	Actual irrigated area	<i>ha</i>									
4	Unused area, territory	<i>ha</i>									
5	Reasons for not using the area	<i>in words</i>									
6	Quantity of water users/members of WUA	<i>pcs</i>									
	<i>в том числе:</i>										
	> total farms	<i>pcs</i>									
	> farms in the end of outlets (WUAs)	<i>pcs</i>									
	> farms in the head of outlets (WUAs)	<i>pcs</i>									
	> farms in the middle of outlets (WUAs)	<i>pcs</i>									
	farms, where woman is the head of farm	<i>pcs</i>									
	> rural settlements/makhallas	<i>pcs</i>									
	> kitchen-gardens	<i>ha</i>									
	> others (school, children-garden and etc)	<i>pcs</i>									
7	Average size of farms	<i>ha</i>									
8	Total number of population	<i>people</i>									
	> including women	<i>people</i>									
9	Quantity of homesteads (peasants)	<i>pcs</i>									
10	Average size of kitchengardens	<i>ha</i>									
WATER SUPPLY											
11	Based on water sources, % from total water supply, including:										
	>canals	<i>%</i>									
	>water wells	<i>%</i>									
	> return water (drainage)	<i>%</i>									
	>Others (indicate)	<i>%</i>									
12	Based on types of water supply, including										
	>gravity flow	<i>in %</i>									
	>pumped/lift irrigation	<i>in %</i>									
13	Planned water supply in WUA	<i>mln.m3</i>									
14	Volume of water received based on request of water users	<i>mln.m3</i>									
15	Actual water supply in WUA	<i>mln.m3</i>									
16	How much of water has been supplied for main crop cultivation?	<i>mln.m3</i>									
17	How much of water has been supplied for second crops?	<i>mln.m3</i>									
18	Who has developed water use plan (1- WUA itself, 2 - not WUAs, Rayvodkhoz)	<i>1 или 2</i>									
WUA INFRASTRUCTURE											
19	Quantity of canals of 1st order	<i>pcs</i>									
	% of lined canals of 1st order	<i>%</i>									
20	Quantity of outlets of 2d order	<i>pcs</i>									
	% of lined canals of 2d order	<i>%</i>									
21	Quantity of canals of 3d order (outlets)	<i>pcs</i>									
	% of lined canals of 3d order	<i>%</i>									
22	Average coefficient of efficiency of canals of 1st order (out of 100%)	<i>%</i>									
23	Average coefficient of efficiency of canals of 2d order (out of 100%)	<i>%</i>									
24	Average coefficient of efficiency of canals of 3d order (out of 100%)	<i>%</i>									
25	Total length of on-farm irrigation system	<i>km</i>									
26	How many km of length is required the repairment?	<i>km</i>									
27	In how many km of on-farm irrigation system, there have been done repairment	<i>km</i>									
28	Quantity of planned khashars (voluntary cleaning) for cleaning canals	<i>times</i>									
	including,										
	> before the vegetation season	<i>times</i>									
	> during the vegetation season	<i>times</i>									
	>after vegetation season	<i>times</i>									
29	Actual conducted khashars	<i>times</i>									
30	Availability of water measurement equipments in the off-farm irrigaiton system in %	<i>%</i>									
31	Required quantity of water measurement devices/hydroposts	<i>pcs</i>									
32	Actual quantity of water measurement devices/hydroposts at WUA	<i>pcs</i>									
33	Ownership of irrigation system, is irrigation infrastructure transferred to the balance of WUA?	<i>yes/no</i>									

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF WUA					
34	Does WUA have General Assembly Meetings of its members	<i>yes/no</i>			
35	Number of men in the General Assembly of WUA	<i>men</i>			
	> including women	<i>men</i>			
36	Does WUA Council exist	<i>yes/no</i>			
	<i>Or there another form of Governance, plz, indicate</i>				
37	Number of members in WUA Council	<i>men</i>			
	> including women	<i>men</i>			
38	Principle/approach of representation in the General Assembly (1-hectar based, 2-outlet based, 3-all users are included, 4- zonal/area based)	<i>1,2,3 or 4</i>			
39	Principle/approach of representation in the WUA Council: (1-hectar based, 2-outlet based, 3-all users are included, 4- zonal/area based)	<i>1,2,3 or 4</i>			
40	Number of zonal representatives	<i>men</i>			
41	Indicate who are the members of WUA Council?	<i>men</i>			
42	How many times there have been scheduled General Assembly Meetings of WUA	<i>times</i>			
43	How many times actually there have been conducted General Assembly meetings?	<i>times</i>			
44	How many times there have been scheduled WUA Council meetings?	<i>times</i>			
45	How many times actually there have been conducted WUA Council meetings?	<i>times</i>			
46	Does WUA Council Chair has been elected or has been appointed?				
47	How many times there have been conducted monitoring of Revision Commission?	<i>times</i>			
48	How many times there have been conducted meetings of Conflict Resolution Commission?	<i>times</i>			
FINANCE					
49	What is the principle of payment for WUA irrigation service? (1 - hectar based; 2 - crop and area based; 3 - crop and volume based; 4 - volume based)	<i>1,2,3 or 4</i>			
50	Amount of membership fee in WUA (separate from payment for water)?	<i>som/ha or som/M3</i>			
51	Amount of payment for the irrigation service to Rayvodkhoz?	<i>som/ha or som/M3</i>			
52	Total annual planned budget of WUA	<i>thoz.som</i>			
53	How much funds actually have been collected from water users	<i>thoz.som</i>			
54	Total cost of main assets?	<i>thoz.som</i>			
55	Costs for the payment salaries for the staff of WUA	<i>thoz.som</i>			
56	Sum of water users debt in front of WUA	<i>thoz.som</i>			
57	Sum of WUA debt in front of Rayvodkhoz	<i>thoz.som</i>			
58	Paid amount of funds from water users:				
	In cash	<i>%</i>			
	In-kind	<i>%</i>			
	Labour	<i>%</i>			
59	Repayment of any loan which was invested to WUA	<i>%</i>			
WUA STAFF					
60	Number of WUA staff which was set in its Charter based on staff schedule	<i>men</i>			
61	Number of WUA staff today actually	<i>men</i>			
	including:				
	>hydrotechnicians	<i>men</i>			
	>hydrometers	<i>men</i>			
	>mirabs	<i>men</i>			
	>land amelioration improvement specialists	<i>men</i>			
	>women	<i>men</i>			
	>seasonal employees	<i>men</i>			
62	How many of staff have diploma on their speciality	<i>men</i>			
63	Average salary of WUA staff (monthly)	<i>som/month</i>			