

NACP / AmeriFlux Meeting, New Orleans, 3 Feb. 2011



Early Guidance and Objectives

- Guidance for new scenarios, from 25th IPCC Session (2006)
 - Consistency between scenarios used to study climate change, climate change impacts and adaptation, and climate change mitigation (Working Groups I, II, and III)
 - Compatibility of scenarios (comparable definitions and assumptions)
 - Transparency of development process

Outcomes of Aspen workshop

- Identified new ESM components in play for AR5
 - Established communication among WCRP, IGBP, IPCC, Integrated Assessment Modeling (IAM), Impacts Adaptation and Vulnerability (IAV) communities.
 - Proposed experimental design for 21st century climate change experiments
 - Specified forcing requirements for new models (time series of constituents)

Summary of new capabilities in ESMs

- Prognostic carbon cycle (some with prognostic nitrogen)
- Ocean biogeochemistry, micronutrient limitation, trophic structure
- Emerging capability for land use change and dynamic fire modeling
- Emerging capability for biogeography and successional processes
- Expanded treatment of aerosols and atmospheric chemistry
- (Interactive ice sheets)

CMIP5 Objectives

- Agreement on design (September 2008)
- Address outstanding scientific questions arising from IPCC AR4
- Improve understanding of climate
- Provide estimates of future climate change of use to those considering its possible consequences
- Not intended to be comprehensive other experiments will emerge along the way

From "A summary of the CMIP5 Experiment Design", Taylor, Stouffer, and Meehl, 2011

CMIP5 Objectives (cont'd)

- Evaluate model simulated climate for the recent past
- Provide projections of future climate on two time scales:
 - Near term (2005-2035)
 - Long term (2005-2100 and beyond)
- Understand differences in model projections, including quantification of cloud and carbon cycle feedbacks

From "A summary of the CMIP5 Experiment Design", Taylor, Stouffer, and Meehl, 2011 Also, Hibbard et al. (2007): A strategy for climate change stabilization experiments. EOS, 88, 217,219,221

CMIP5 Design Summary



All models perform CORE experiments (basis for intercomparison)

Models perform Tier 1 and Tier 2 experiments as interests and resources dictate: these explore specific aspects of model forcing, response, and process

Near term (decadal) experiments additional prediction: Assess model skill on Initialized in '01, '02, '03 ... '09 time scales where initial state may exert some influence * 10-year hindcast & tion ensembles ed 1960, 1965, ... 2005 At least 3 ensemble members 0-year hindcast and ediction ensembles: nitialized 1960, 1980 & 2005 for each run Assess model skill on time scales where GHG forcing expected to exert AMIE some influence

Green font indicates experiment performed only by models with carbon cycle representation

Pre-industrial control (ca. 1850) and 20th century, forced by concentrations and by **emissions**

Future scenarios (RCPs) forced by concentrations and by emissions

"Diagnostic" runs to assess transient climate response, equilibrium climate sensitivity



	#	Experiment	Core	Tier 1	Tier 2			
[3.1	Coupled model, pre-industrial control	≥500			h All models		
	3.2 & 3.2-E	historical (1850- at least 2005) ensemble	≥156	(≥2)x (≥)156		Control		
	3.3 & 3.3-E	AMIP ensemble (1979- at least 2008)	≥30	≥2 x (≥30)		historical.		
	3.4	Mid-Holocene (6 kyr ago)		≥100		and poleo		
	3.5	Last Glacial Maximum (21 kyr ago)		≥100		and paleo		
	3.6	Last Millennium (850-1850)			1000	J		
	4.1, 4.2, 4.3, & 4.4	Projected responses to concentrations based on RCPs 4.5 & 8.5 (core) and RCPs 2.6 & 6 (tier 1)	2x95	2x95		Future		
	4.1-L	Extension of RCP4.5 through year 2300		200				
	4.2-L & 4.3-L	Extension of RCP8.5 and RCP2.6 through year 2300			400	(RCPs)		
- [6.1	Idealized 1%/yr simulations	140			5		
IODELS	6.2 a&b	Prescribed SST expts. to diagnose "fast" responses to 4x pre-industrial CO ₂	2 x (≥30)					
	6.3	Diagnosis of climate system "slow" responses to abrupt quadrupling of CO ₂	150					
ALLA	6.3-E	Ensemble of 5-year simulations to diagnose "fast" responses to abrupt 4x pre-industrial CO ₂ increase.		11x5		Diagnostic		
	6.4a & 6.4b	Prescribed SST expts. to diagnose "fast" responses to all anthropogenic aerosols and to sulfate aerosols alone (for the year 2000)		≥2 x 30		 simulations (feedbacks) 		
	6.5, 6.6 & 6.8	Prescribed change in CO ₂ concentration (tier1), and "patterned" (tier1) and uniform (tier 2) changes in SST for diagnosing cloud responses.		2x≥30	≥30			
	6.7a&b&c	Aqua-planet cloud responses (control, 4xCO ₂ and +4K experiments)		3x5		J		
	7.1 & 7.2	historical runs with only natural forcing and only GHG forcing		2 x (≥)156		Attribution runs		
	7.3	historical runs forced by individual agents			≥1x≥156	≻ (single and		
	(7.1-7.3)-E	Additional ensemble members of 7.1-7.3			(≥1)x(≥2)x (≥)156	multi-factor)		
		SUBTOTALS:	>1226	>1592	>1898			

Long term experiments

Simulations only performed by ESMs...

ESMs	5.1	Pre-industrial control with CO ₂ concentration determined by model	≥251		
	5.2 & 5.3	Emission-driven historical and RCP8.5 simulations.	251		
	5.4 & 5.5	Diagnosis of carbon-climate feedback components in prescribed CO ₂ experiments (following "idealized" or more "realistic" pathways) in which CO ₂ surface fluxes are saved and allowable emissions computed.		140 or 251*	140 or 251*
		TOTALS:	≥1718	≥1727	≥2038

Forced by fossil fuel emissions and land use changes, as opposed to concentrations

RCPs (from Integrated Assessment Models)



http://www.iiasa.ac.at/web-apps/tnt/RcpDb/dsd?Action=htmlpage&page=compare

"Vanguard" components and experiments

- CMIP5 defines the common experiments
- Many other focused model components and simulations are anticipated, e.g.
 - Model evaluation efforts at multiple spatial and temporal scales
 - Feedback analysis at multiple time scales
 - Model uncertainty estimation
 - Nutrients, biogeography, fire
 - Integrated IAM + ESM (explore consistency issues)

Connections to NACP

- CMIP5 provides raw material, but AR5 depends on assessment:
 - Robust process understanding
 - Observational constraints at site, regional, and continental scales
 - Offline evaluation of participating models
 - Assessment of coupled model uncertainties
 - Synthesis of policy-relevant information from multi-model x multi-scenario database