

Characterization of seasonal pattern of drought stress using modeling approach. I. An environmental characterization reveals that water stress is currently not a major constraint to sorghum production in Mali

M. Diancoumba, ...

Abstract

Drought stress is a prevalent feature of semi-arid tropical climates but when, where, how much and how often drought stress reduces yield remains largely an unanswered question. In West Africa, there is indeed significant concern that the large genotype x environment interactions remain a limitation for targeting genotypes to their appropriate environments. Assessing the extent and nature of the complexity of such interactions over time and space remains a challenge that this study tackles using crop simulation modelling. In the present study we i) parameterized two genotypes representative of the sorghum types typically grown in Mali sorghum production belt into the APSIM-sorghum platform, ii) identified and analyzed the type and frequency of each drought stress patterns experienced by these two genotypes during their life cycle, iii) and evaluated the effect of each drought stress type identified on grain yield. Two genotypes (CSM63E and CSM335) representing the sahelian and sudano-sahelian production belt in Mali were parameterized in the APSIM sorghum-platform, , i.e. novel functions were introduced into the APSIM and coefficients describing the growth and development of these two genotypes were computed using several years of observed data. Thereafter the validated model was used to characterize the seasonal variation in drought stress index (water supply/demand ratio) occurring at 22 weathers stations selected across Mali. The observation period varied greatly across sites. The seasonal water deficit patterns identified in each plant type, site, soil and year were then clustered in order to determine the major drought scenarios occurring during the cropping cycles. The frequency of occurrence of the identified environmental scenario and their impact on sorghum production was estimated for each geographical location across the sorghum production belt.

The clustering analysis revealed 3 major stress scenarios were occurring across the locations; namely a scenario with no-stress (73%, 83%), an early pre-flowering terminal stress (19%, 9%) and a flowering stress (9%, 7%) respectively for CSM335 and CSM63E. The no-stress scenario was the most dominant independently of the years and agro-ecological zones. For CSM63E and CSM335 genotypes, the early pre-flowering stress caused yield loss of 58% and 64% with respect to the no-stress scenario while the flowering stress caused only 8% and 19% yield loss. The higher frequency of occurrence of the no-stress scenario particularly in the southern region indicates that water deficit is not the major limiting factor to

sorghum production in this region. Also, the still relatively low yield under the no-stress scenario implies that other factors (e.g. plant density, sowing date, N application) are limiting the productivity of sorghum in Mali. The established framework can be further elaborated to assist sorghum improvement programs to set priorities for guiding agri-interventions.

Keywords: interaction, parameterization, characterization, drought stress pattern, sorghum

Introduction

Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor Moench*) is one of the most important multi-purpose and staple-food crops for many rural communities in the drier regions of Sub-Saharan Africa. In Mali, sorghum, the second major crop, is cultivated with millet on 80% of cereals grown surfaces with an average grain yield stagnating at ~923 kg/ha since 26 years (USAID 2011). Sorghum low yields are explained by the existing limitations of production environments where this crop is being cultivated; i.e. rain-fed conditions in marginal land with low input management practices. In addition, the weather conditions vary largely across time and geographical scale, making the breeding of improved genotypes for these regions a difficult task.

Initial attempts to improve crop adaptability to different environmental conditions and so crop production, has primary concentrated on the elimination in modern sorghum varieties of photoperiod sensitivity character. This choice was motivated by the shortening of plant height and crop life cycle and the enhancing of cultivars' general adaptation to different environments. However, the removal of the photoperiodicity has led to a non-adaptation of the new varieties to West African conditions and thus to their non-adoption by farmers (Summerfield et al. 1991; Vaksman et al. 1996; Folliard et al. 2004). Photoperiod sensitivity has been found to be a particular adaptation trait of West African genotypes to an environment characterized by its seasonal variability and its climatic risk. It is one of the key driver of sorghum cultivation that allows the synchronization of genotypes flowering time with the end of the growing period (Vaksman et al. 1996). Understanding the importance of responsiveness of Malian genotypes to photoperiod has been a major advance in breeding. However, adaptation to drought requires more than photoperiod sensitivity and a thorough understanding of the set of adaptive traits and their interactions with varying scenarios of drought is needed to overcome the penalty in yield potential in this region (Blum 2005). And first of all, the question of how much drought is an issue in the region

needs to be answered to better target breeding efforts, addressing especially its frequency and intensity across time and geographical scales.

To guide breeding efforts towards the development of environment-adapted genotypes fitted to the most likely weather conditions, crop modeling tools can be used to capture the highly fluctuating GxE interactions (Chapman 2000b; Hall and Richards, 2013; Grassini et al., 2015). Soumare et al. (2008) carried out a characterization study where they used a simple water balance model and considered that a genotype was adapted to an environment when it flowered 20 days before the average date of cessation of the rains. They showed that early maturing genotypes had a wider geographical adaptation but were more sensitive to when the sowing start. They also showed that photoperiod sensitive genotypes were adapted to specific climatic regions but tolerated a wider range of sowing dates.

Several other studies have been conducted in characterizing the production environment of maize, sorghum, rice, and wheat in different parts of the world using the proposed approach and models such as APSIM. One such study in India (Kholová et al. 2013) simulated sorghum phenology, yield and the type of stress scenarios experienced by this crop across each growing season studied using available weather data. They identified 5 main stress scenarios and among them, a severe stress type that occurred in 25% of the studied seasons and resulted in a considerable reduction of grain yield but not in biomass yield. We use this approach to estimate the production potential in Mali, to understand major stress scenarios and then guide on the most suitable breeding interventions that would more likely lead to a production lift by testing these GxE combinations in-silico (van Oosterom et al., 2013; Anderson et al., 2016).

This paper uses the sorghum-APSIM model to characterize drought scenarios in Mali. Specifically, we aim to i) parameterize the representative genotypes of sorghum grown in the main production regions of Mali into the APSIM model, by extracting coefficient characterizing the growth and development of these two genotypes using data from several years of trials ii) identify the type and frequency of water deficit patterns experienced by these genotypes during their life cycle across the sorghum production belt in Mali, iii) evaluate the effect of each drought stress type identified on grain yield.

Materials and Methods

1) Overview:

Two representative genotypes grown in Mali (CSM63E and CSM335), respectively predominant in the Sahelian and the Sudano-Sahelian agro-ecological zones of Mali, and differing in life cycle duration and

response to photoperiod (Pp) were parameterized in the improved sorghum crop model within the APSIM platform (version 7.6). Crop canopy growth data and total grain and biomass yield used in this study were extracted from unpublished reports (Benoit Clerget, unpublished data).

The sorghum production area of Mali was divided into 5 isohyets based on average annual rainfall. In each of these isohyets, 1 to 7 locations (making a total of 22 locations) were selected for the characterization. In each location, weather data was available for a range of 10-72 years, giving a total number of 1059 year-location combinations. The APSIM crop model was used to perform simulation for each of these 1059 combinations with 2 representative soil types for two genotypes (CSM63E and CSM335). For these simulations, the model was set to decide on the optimal sowing time within a window of time between 1st June and 15th July, which is representative of farming decisions for sowing sorghum. The criteria for sowing were accumulation of 20mm rainfall over a 7-days period and a stored soil moisture of at least 10mm. A characterization of seasonal drought patterns experienced by CSM63E and CSM335 at each location was performed using a water status index (water supply/demand ratio) output by the model, which provides a daily calculation of the ratio of how much water is available to the crop to how much water is needed by the crop for each location-year combination. Values of the S/D ratio equal or above 1 indicate the crop experiences no stress whereas values below 1 indicate some stress. A clustering analysis was thereafter undertaken to group these S/D ratio profiles into distinct scenarios experienced by each genotype through their life cycle. Finally, we evaluated the effect of these drought patterns on sorghum grain yield.

2) Sorghum production regions in Mali, main characteristics

In Mali, sorghum is produced in the cotton-cereal production basins and belongs to the traditional cotton-cereal farming systems (Kaminski et al. 2013). The climate in this area is characterized by a long dry season and a rainy season whose duration increases from north to south (Lys 2010). Average annual rainfall varies from an average of 200 mm in the Northern part of the production belt to more than 1100 mm in the south. Generally, the rainy season stretches from June to October with the peak rains falling around July-August. The average annual maximum and minimum temperature varies from 30.6°C to 37°C and from 18.6°C to 22.7°C respectively.

The long term daily weather (rainfall, maximum and minimum temperatures, solar radiation) records for 22 stations selected across 3 agro-ecological zones within the country, were used. The agro-ecological zones were divided into 5 isohyets on annual average rainfall-basis (Table 1). This daily weather data were obtained from Mali-Meteo. In the case only rainfall data was collected, the other parameters were

generated from the neighboring using NewLocclim (Grieser et al. 2006) data. The number of observed years during the period 1935-2015, varied across sites from 10 to 72 seasons (table 1). These sites were selected first to span a North-South gradient of rainfall conditions covering the whole sorghum production area in Mali, on the basis of consistent data availability at potential locations.

The soil data of “Projet Inventaire des Ressources Terrestres au Mali” (PIRT, 1986) combined with Wise soil database (Batjes, 1995, 2002) were used in this study. The major soil types on which each site is located were determined and reclassified into 2 main soil types based on the level of soil water holding capacity (SWHC) (Loffler et al, 2005; Chapman et al, 2000), the lower SWHC (62mm) being for the Plinthaquic Kandustalf soil and the higher SWHC (156mm) being for the Alfisols soil. These 2 soils were mainly characterized by a low organic carbon content (0.2% for the lower SWHC and 0.37 for the higher SWHC) with a soil depth of 101cm and 120cm respectively for the lower and higher SWHC.

3) Crop information

Comprehensive and reliable phenological data sets, handy for crop models parameterization in Mali, are rare and sometimes difficult to access. The crop data used in this study were obtained from thoroughly conducted experiments by the ICRISAT sorghum program (data not published) over consecutive years at ICRISAT, Samanko (12°32N, 8°04W) and at the research center of Cinzana (13°15N, 5°57W) in Mali. The complete description of the experiments is available in reports provided by ICRISAT sorghum program (2000-2008; available upon request and loaded on the Dataverse database at ICRISAT). Data such as final grain (kg/ha) and total biomass yield (kg/ha), planting date, growth stages dates and number of green leaves for 8 years and for about 20 genotypes covering the period of 2000-03 to 2005-08 were compiled in a structured excel file and used for the model parameterization.

This study focused on two (CSM63E and CSM335) of the overall genotypes evaluated by the ICRISAT sorghum program. These genotypes are guinea type landrace and originated from Mali. They were selected in this study because of the differences in their phenological characteristics, their contrasting response to photoperiod (Pp) in addition to their adaptation to different agro-ecological zones. CSM63E named “Jacumbe” by farmers, (meaning “drought avoidance” due to its short life cycle), is an early maturing genotype less sensitive to Pp, identified to be well adapted to the sahelian region. CSM335 also called “Tieblen” (meaning “red man” due to the redness of its glumes) is an average maturing genotype, averagely photosensitive known to perform well in the soudanian-sahelian region.

Data on about ten agronomic trials, extracted from the structured excel file mentioned above, were used for CSM335 and CSM63E parameterization in APSIM - sorghum model. A separated dataset collected from field experiment conducted in ICRISAT, Samanko, Mali in 2013 was used for model evaluation. The experiment was laid out as a randomized complete block design with 4 replications. The experiment was sown on June 14, July 9 and August 5 at 67, 000 hills/ha. Details on the overall experiment are given by (Akinseye et al. 2017).

4) Crop model description

APSIM is a crop growth and development model that combines, individual module (crops, soils, N, carbone) to simulate biophysical processes in a cropping system context. The Sorghum module that was developed in the APSIM cropping system simulation model operates on a daily time step.

Phenology in Sorghum module is calculated using a thermal time approach through developmental stages (emergence to flowering phase, flowering to maturity phase). The duration of each stage is estimated by cumulating the daily thermal time from the beginning to the end of each of those stages. The thermal time of each day was calculated from a broken linear function of temperature (Hammer et al., 1993):

$$Dtt = T - T_b \quad \text{if } T_b < T < T_{opt} \quad (1) \quad (1)$$

$$Dtt = (T_{opt} - T_b)[1 - (T - T_{opt}) / (T_{max} - T_{opt})] \quad \text{if } T_{opt} < T < T_{max} \quad (2)$$

Where T_b is the base temperature, T_{opt} the optimum temperature and T_{max} the maximum temperature. Values for these cardinal temperatures were the default values existing in APSIM sorghum-model: T_b (11°C before flowering and 5°C from flowering to maturity), T_{opt} (30 °C before flowering and 22.5°C from flowering to maturity) and T_{max} (42°C).

The duration from flowering to maturity has been shown to be relatively constant in many cereals crops including sorghum. The time from emergence to flowering is influenced by two main factors: temperature and photoperiod and can be divided into two phases: emergence to end of juvenile phase and end of juvenile to panicle initiation (end-juv to PI) phase. The duration of end-juv to PI is controlled by the genotype sensitivity to photoperiod and depended on the positive deviation of daylength from a critical daylength (P_{pcrit1}) value (Abamu et al, 2001). Photoperiod sensitivity is then defined as the derivative of the function relating time to flowering to daylength in non-optimal photoperiod conditions and will have units of days delay per hour increase of daylength. Photoperiod (P_p) was computed by APSIM-sorghum module using the latitude, day of the year, and twilight time, i.e. when the sun is 2.2° below the horizon (Keating et al. 2003), which was used to calculate the daily photoperiod.

Crop response to photoperiod was estimated from a triple broken-linear response function which defines the response to photoperiod in terms of a minimum (Ppcrit1) photoperiod and maximum (Ppcrit2) photoperiod, above and below which, respectively increase in photoperiod delays flowering and the slope between these values defines plant sensitivity to photoperiod (Ppslope) (Roberts and Summerfield 1987; Hammer et al. 1989, 1993 cited by Crauford et al, 1999). The default Ppcrit1&2, existing in the model within which sorghum reacts to Pp (11.5 and 13.5) were used in this study (Hammer and Muchow, 1991). The Ppslope as well as the thermal time from end-juv to PI (tt_end-juv-ini) phase were derived using a linear relationship between time from end of juvenile phase to panicle initiation (end-juv to PI) and the average Pp for that period was derived (Van Oosterom et al. 2001):

$$(tt_end-juv-ini) = Ppcrit1 \times Ppslope - a \quad (3)$$

Where Ppslope is the slope of the regression and a the intercept of the regression.

Leaf area index (LAI) was computed as the product of daily increase in individual plant leaf area and plant density and was manually adjusted as to fit the simulated values obtained with the observed.

Commonly APSIM-sorghum model simulates the leaf area development using the total plant leaf area (TPLA) approach, but in this study we used an improved version (not yet released) of APSIM-sorghum that applies an individual leaf area approach that functions as follows.

In the first place, it calculates the relationship between the position and the area of the largest leaf using the function:

$$Y_0 = \text{MaxLNo} \times a_{\text{MaxSlope}} + a_{\text{MaxIntercept}} \quad (4)$$

Where Y_0 is the area of the largest leaf, a_{MaxSlope} is the largest leaf area factor and $a_{\text{MaxIntercept}}$ the intercept for largest leaf calculation.

Then based on that relation, it uses a bell-shaped function to relate the size of each leaf to its ordinal position on the main stem using the equation:

$$Y = Y_0 \times \exp(a \times (X - X_0)^2 + b \times (X - X_0)^3) \quad (5)$$

Where X_0 is the position of the largest leaf, Y_0 the area of the largest leaf, X the position of each individual leaf, 'a' is an empirical constant determining the breadth of the bell-shaped curve and 'b' is an empirical constant determining the skewness of the bell-shaped curve. Each of the parameters X_0 , Y_0 , a, and b used for ILA parameterization are function of total leaf number.

$$X_0 = a_{X_0} \times \text{MaxLNo}; \quad (6)$$

217 $a=a_0-\exp(a_1*\text{MaxLNo})$, (Birch 1998) (7)

218 $b=b_0-\exp(b_1*\text{MaxLNo})$, (Birch 1998) (8)

219 empirical constants: $a_0=-0.009$; $a_1=-0.2$; $b_0=0.0006$; $b_1=-0.43$ (Birch et al 1998)

220 Data on grain size and weight were obtained for CSM63E from an Indian genotypes already parameterized
221 in APSIM for which observed detailed data were available. For CSM335, the standard values of the model
222 were used.

223 5) *Genotype parameterization and evaluation*

224 Data on the ten agronomic trials were used for genotypes parameterization. After informing the model
225 with the needed available mentioned above, it was run and checked whether the results were in
226 agreement with the observed data. The approach used to estimate model parameters that differ between
227 genotypes and to which the model is sensitive was to derive genotype-specific parameter estimations
228 when experimentally measured data were available followed by an automated adjustment of parameters
229 that varied between genotypes. Observed variables were regressed against variables simulated by the
230 model. The parameterization process was divided into 2 steps: phenology and photoperiod
231 parameterization, and canopy size and development parameterization. The grain parameters (grain
232 number and size) were used from previous studies with Indian genotypes with similar characteristics to
233 ours in terms of duration. Equation (1), (2) and (3) were used to estimate the phenology, photoperiod
234 sensitivity and canopy parameters respectively.

235 The evaluation of the model consisted in drawing a scatter plot of simulated phenology, grain and biomass
236 yield, leaf number and LAI output by the model versus the observed phenology, yield, leaf number and
237 LAI measured in the trials. For the parameterization as well as for the evaluation of the model, a 1:1 line
238 was added to the scatter plot to test the robustness of the model. If the predictions were closed to the
239 measurements, all the data would lie next to the line otherwise they would be scattered around the line
240 (Soltani et Sinclair 2012). A regression line was also included in the plot along with the R^2 in order to
241 determine the correlation between simulated versus measured results. The root mean square of error
242 was used to evaluate the goodness of fit between observed and predicted data.

243

244 6) *characterization of stress patterns*

245 Once the parameters for the two genotypes were obtained, the APSIM-sorghum model was run to
246 characterize the 22 sites selected for seasonal patterns of water deficit analysis using an approach
247 reported earlier (Muchow et al, 1996; Chapman et al, 2000; Chenu et al, 2011; Kholova et al, 2013). In this

study, simulations were performed for two soils (low and high level of soil water holding capacity) for all locations and years and for CSM63E and CSM335 genotypes to set up a baseline of stress scenario.

To represent the real farming conditions in the study area, the soil moisture in the model was re-set to 20% of the fractional available soil water (Chenu et al. 2013; Chauhan et al. 2014) at the beginning of each growing season, representing an almost dry soil. This initial condition was reset each year of the simulation in order to homogenize the yearly onset conditions occurring in each location. Planting was estimated to occur within a constant sowing window, 1 June – 15 July, for all locations during each season observed. Nevertheless, this planting was assumed to take place if an accumulative rainfall of 20mm were received within 7days and the stored soil moisture was at least 10mm. The latter was set to 10mm in order to mimic the “empty soil profile” in these areas at the beginning of the rainy season after a long dry period. The sowing was set to start the last day of the window even though the defined conditions were not met. All other parameters including plant population (5.7plants m⁻²) and row spacing (86 cm) were held constant throughout the simulations. The plant population and row spacing values were obtained from data collected from farmer’s fields (unpublished data). Also the fertilizer was applied as per the recommendation (100 kg ha⁻¹ DAP at sowing, 50 kg ha⁻¹ Urea 45 days after sowing) and was the same for all simulations.

7) Identification of the type and frequency of water deficit patterns

A stress index was used to identify seasonal drought stress patterns in the study areas. This index, computed as the ratio of canopy water demand to root supply capacity (Chenu et al. 2011; Kholová et al. 2013) was output by the model on a daily basis along with crop growth and development, grain yield and thermal time. It was averaged for each 100°Cd from 400°Cd before flowering to 400°Cd after flowering over different growing seasons. The main drought stress patterns were then determined by clustering the seasonal simulated patterns using partitioning clustering K-means (Partitioning Around Means) and PAM (Partitioning Around Medoids) in R software (R Development Core team, 2008).

In order to enable the comparison of similar stress patterns experienced by different genotypes within and between locations, CSM335 and CSM63E simulations clustering were first combined and then the results of the clustering were disaggregated for individual genotype to study the potential differences between the 2 genotypes. Additional information regarding this approach can be found in Chapman (2000); Chenu et al. (2011); Kholová et al. (2013).

The clustering of each year-site combination was performed using unbalanced data (different number of years observed from site to site) of historical weather data. This can lead to a bias estimation of the

frequency of occurrence of the different environment types. Therefore, the occurrence of each stress patterns types was quantified based on weighted frequency correlated with the number of seasons observed in the entire production belt. The following equation was used for the weighting frequency calculation:

$$\chi = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N w_i(x_i - \mu^*)^2}{V_1 - 1} \quad (4)$$

Grain yield evaluation

The effect of the main stress patterns on grain yield was evaluated per agro-ecological zones/isohyets and across seasons.

Results

1. Genotypes parameterization

The comparison of model output versus the observed variables (Fig.1A) showed that the model was able to simulate flowering time as a response to photoperiod for the 2 genotypes with a R^2 ranging from 0.7 for CSM63E to 0.9 for CSM335 with the RMSE for both genotypes less than 10% of the overall flowering estimated time. The parameterized thermal time accumulated from the end of juvenile phase to panicle initiation varied from 166°Cd for CSM63E to 116°Cd for CSM335. The linear relationship between average Pp from end-juv to PI and the accumulated thermal time of that period showed Ppslope ranging from 31 for CSM63E to 198 for CSM335, i.e. CSM63E was less photoperiod-sensitive than CSM335.

The duration from flowering to maturity was also well captured ($R^2=0.7$ for CSM63E and 0.9 for CSM335) with an accumulated thermal time for that period ranged from 434°Cd to 518°Cd for CSM63E and CSM335 respectively.

Fig.1B illustrated the goodness of fit for the total leaf number and the LAI, across a range of years and environmental conditions with $R^2 > 0.90$ in both cases. The close agreement between fitted, simulated and observed leaf number and LAI dynamics indicated that individual leaf area approach used to estimate the leaf area development was effective.

In general, the model simulated the trend of total leaf area and biomass yield (Fig1.C) reasonably well. But for biomass yield, the model performed well in a range of field measurements and demonstrated some well below or above the 1:1 line respectively for CSM63E and CSM335. Although this reflected the

prediction of total leaf number particularly with CSM335, the coefficient of determination (R^2) in all cases exceeded 0.90. The RMSE for CSM63E and CSM335 biomass are 2303 and 1959 respectively.

As a whole, the distribution of the grain yield data around the 1:1 line indicated that there was an agreement between observed and simulated yield (Fig1.D). The model predicted the grain yield of CSM63E and CSM335 with an RSME of 646 and 858 respectively.

2. Genotypes evaluation

The sorghum module in APSIM was evaluated for its ability to closely reproduce general trend of growth duration, total biomass and grain yield of CSM63E and CSM335 that matched the observed. Fig.2 showed an agreement between predicted and observed days from sowing to flowering and maturity (Fig.2A), leaf number (Fig2B), leaf area index (Fig2.C) and grain and biomass yield. In general, the model simulated the days to flowering for both genotypes with an R^2 above 0.85. The model prediction of total leaf number and LAI was good with an R^2 of 0.78 and 0.71 for CSM63E and 0.88 and 0.64 respectively for CSM335. A good correlation was also observed between simulated and observed biomass yield this in spite the low number of field measurements data. The negative correlation observed with the fitting of the grain yield data may be due to the low observed values reported particularly for CSM63E.

3. Water deficit patterns

a. Stress patterns and frequency occurrence

Simulations and thermal time computation at every 100°Cd from (to) 400°Cd before (and after) flowering revealed all water deficit scenarios simulated from each season (Fig.3).

The clustering of these water deficit scenarios across all locations and years resulted in 3 major and distinct water deficit scenarios for CSM63E and CSM335 (Fig.4:A&B). The first cluster (Water supply and demand ratio: WSD 1) was denominated as a “no-stress” scenario for both genotypes and could be described as a condition where plants mostly did not experienced water stress. The second cluster (WDS 2) was called an “early pre-flowering terminal stress” and represented conditions where water stress started around -300°Cd before flowering for CSM63E and from the beginning of the cycle for CSM335, without any recovery till the end of the season. The third cluster (WDS 3) was defined as “flowering

terminal stress” scenario for both genotypes and stress began around 0 °Cd which corresponded to flowering time and continued until physiological maturity.

For both genotypes CSM63E and CSM335, the dominant stress pattern was WSD1 with a frequency of occurrence of 83% and 73% respectively. The less dominant stress that occurred with both genotypes was WSD3, with a frequency of 8% and 9%, followed by WSD2 with a frequency of 9% and 19%, for CSM63E and CSM335 respectively (Fig.4).

b. Stress patterns occurrence across agro-ecological zones

Among the three stress scenarios, only WSD1 was observed in all five agro-ecological zones. All scenarios occurred in the northern region characterized by a low rainfall combined with poor sandy cropping soils. In that region, the frequency of occurrence of WSD3 was higher for CMS63E compared to CSM335 with 32% and 20% of occurrence, respectively. There was 75% of chance to have WSD2 stress in that same region when growing CSM335. For both genotypes, WSD3 did not occur in the far southern region where the annual average rainfall was higher (Fig. 5), and WSD2 was not observed for CSM335 and marginally present for CSM63E (0.4%). With CSM63E, WSD2 was not observed in the central regions considered as the main sorghum production belt (600-1000mm) (Fig.6).

Figure 6 shows the trajectory, in the 5 agro-ecological zones, of (A) WSD1, (B) WSD2 and (C) WSD3 for CSM335 and CSM63E. The response of the different genotypes greatly varied from one isohyet to another. However, for both genotypes, the three stress scenarios were more severe in the <400 isohyet compared to others. For instance CSM63E suffered from mid-season stress under WSD1 with a recovery at 200°Cd after flowering. Conversely, CSM335 experienced a late season stress under the same WSD1 in the same region. In the 600-800 isohyet and with CSM63E, WSD3 tended to be a post-flowering stress as it started at 200°C after flowering. Similarly, with CSM335 genotype, WSD3 started at 300°Cd after flowering in the 800-1000 region and didn't recover until the end of the observed period. In that same region and with CSM335, the WSD2 started far later at -200°C before flowering compared to the other regions where it was observed. With CSM63E, WSD3 occurred more precociously in the 800-1000 region compared to the other areas and slightly recovered from flowering time to the end of the season.

c. Stress patterns occurrence across seasons, sites and soils

The two soil types that were used in the simulation represented a range of possible agricultural soils in Mali and had large differences in the soil water holding capacity.

Fig.7 showed that the 39% larger soil water holding capacity in the lower SWHC resulted in the WSD2 scenario disappearing in Kenieba for CSM63E, in Samanko, Segou, Nioro and Mopti for CSM335. Higher water holding capacity in the alfisol also resulted in the WSD3 scenario disappearing in Mopti and Nioro with CSM63E. It also caused a reduction of the frequency of occurrence of WSD2 in the <400 isohyet for the benefit of WSD3 that increased from 19% to 45% for CSM63E and from 3% to 38% for CSM335.

4. Grain yield

a. Grain yield variability across stress scenarios

The difference in average grain yield was mostly significant between genotypes. The highest grain yield was obtained from far with CSM63E and across all scenarios. For both genotypes, the WSD 1 showed the highest average yield: 1229 kg ha^{-1} and 806 kg ha^{-1} for CSM63E and CSM335 respectively, (Fig 8). WSD3 showed the second highest yield for CSM63E (1125 kg ha^{-1}) and for CSM335 (654 kg ha^{-1}). The lowest average yield for CSM63E (520 kg ha^{-1}) and CSM335 (290 kg ha^{-1}) was observed under WSD2. CSM335 has a wider variability for WSD2 than for WSD3, while CSM63E shows the opposite behavior (Fig.8).

B. grain yield across agro-ecological zones

Water deficit effect on yield was observed across all isohyets. For CSM63E and CSM335, the highest yield was observed under no-stress in all isohyets except in 600-800mm isohyet where CSM63E performed well under WSD3. The lowest average yield was observed under early pre-flowering terminal stress in the far northern region for CSM63E (430kg ha) and in the southern isohyet 800-1000mm for CSM335 (113 kg ha^{-1}) (Fig.9). Even though CSM335 was not exposed to any stress type in the far southern isohyet, probably due to an excess in rainfall, the highest yield was not observed in that region. Overall, results showed that drought was not the major limiting factor to sorghum production in this region. In addition, it showed that overall the yield of CSM63E were above those of CSM335 across isohyets and environmental scenarios.

b. Grain yield variability across sites and soils

The 39% higher soil water holding capacity of the Plinthaquic Kandustalf soil resulted in a yield advantage of about 50% (Fig.7) in Cinzana, Samanko and Kenieba while it caused a yield loss of 29% in Gao with CSM335. Comparatively, the positive effect of a higher SWHC on the yield of CSM63E was more marked

in southern regions than in northern areas. For instance in Kenieba, about 43% yield increase was observed with CSM63E grown on higher soil water holding capacity. The location mean yield variability was higher for CSM63E compared to CSM335 even though the highest yield were observed with that genotype. And the average yield of CSM335 tended to be more stable on the higher SWHC.

Discussion

- Identified drought patterns, their frequency and their occurrence across agro-ecological zones in Mali

The characterization results revealed three major stress scenarios with the no stress dominating with 83% and 73% for CSM63E and CSM335 respectively. This point out that drought is not the major factor limiting sorghum production particularly in the Southern region where the frequency of occurrence of WSD2 and WSD3 were very low (WSD2 and WSD3: 3%, 1 to 2% and 1 to 9%, 8 to 9% for CSM63E and CSM335). It also confirm the drought resistant character of CSM63E previously reported by Smale et al (2016). The 10% difference observed between the frequencies of both genotypes can be confer to the relatively longer duration of CMS335 compare to CSM63E. WSD3 was shown to be less dominant for both genotypes in all year-locations combinations but its frequency was higher for CSM63E in the northern region compare to CSM335. This means that the avoidance of stress reported for CSM63E might be only due to its faster maturity but not its physiological ability to avoid or escape stress. Nevertheless, CSM335 was revealed to be more exposed to WSD2 in that same region with 75% of chance most of which is observed on the lower SWHC. Chapman et al (2000b) reported in a characterization study conducted in Australia that the low unreliable rainfall associated with the lower SWHC can result to a higher frequency of occurrence of severe terminal stress. Both genotypes when sown at the same moment in the same site, the reproductive stage of CSM63E will coincide with the vegetative stage of CSM335; CSM63E being a fast-growing, non-photosensitive genotype will extract and consume the soil available moisture and thus avoid WSD2 and most likely mature before WSD3 become more severe that is in the case WSD3 occurred later in the season. In the case WSD3 is early, CSM63E will be affected as the extracted moisture will be consumed. In contrast CSM335, that is averagely photosensitive will grow comparatively slowly, extract and consume probably less water during vegetative stage and end up being exposed to WSD2 when that occur early in its growth cycle. And in the case it escaped, part of the extracted moisture will be consume during reproductive stage which will allow it to outstand the at-flowering stress. Chapman et al (2008) observed

a late maturing hypothetical genotype to perform well in regions dominated by early pre-flowering stress in Australia with water conservative traits such as transpiration efficiency.

Our results also delimited an optimal performance region of CSM63E between 400-800mm, which was found to be slightly different from its adaptation zone previously defined by Soumare et al (2004) that stated that CSM63E could perform well only in isohyets below 700mm.

In any case, the fact that drought was not a major issue across Mali for sorghum production suggest that the requirement for photoperiod sensitive material may not have been driven by a “drought-related” need, but rather by the need to have crops maturing at a similar time to avoid bird attacks if the crops matures too early and ahead of photoperiod sensitive lines, or to avoid the potential effect of nursing midge pest that would affect later maturing crops. In our case, further physiological evaluation are needed in order to assess the response of contrasted genotypes response to photoperiod under the 3 stress scenarios.

- ***Grain yield variability across stress scenarios, ecological zones and Soil type***

Results reported here showed that yield of CSM63E were above those of CSM335 across all zones and always higher under WSD1 than WSD2&3 except in isohyet 600-800mm where CSM63E performed well under WSD3. This could be related to the late occurrence of that stress type (200°Cd after flowering) in this region. Seyoum et al (2017) found similar results with maize in Eastern and Southern Africa and they explained that the post-flowering stress while occurring after a good flowering and silking synchronization, would have a slight effect on the grain as the seed set would have been secured due to the absence of stress around flowering time. In contrast, yield of CSM335 were lower compare to CSM63E but least variable particularly in isohyet 400-1000mm particularly on higher SWHC. CSM335 was reported by Akinseye et al, (2017) to produce more biomass but less grain yield. This could be benefic for animal feeding in regions where the mobile animal husbandry is disappearing due to extensive farming. The higher biomass production trait can be used in the development of dual purpose genotypes.

In general WSD2 affected substantially the grain yield of both genotypes. The yield reduction caused by this stress type is still lower than that reported by Seyoum et al (2017) in East-South Africa on maize (80%) but higher than that obtained by Heinemann et al. (2016) (36%) in a drought characterization study across the rice upland in Brazil.

The low yield of both genotypes observed in the southern region, this, in spite of the recommended fertilizer applied can be explained by the fact that first, they are out of their defined adaptation region

and second because a high rainfall can lead to a rapid mineralization of soil nitrogen and eventually to its leaching. Further, soil pH also decreases with increasing rainfall (Clerget, 2000 unpublished data).

The stability of CSM335 grain yield across sites on higher SWHC can guide breeding efforts to focus more on the management of soil water available for the plant during the growing season.

It should be noted that this study was conducted with a limited number of varieties over a limited number of sites. This study has to be repeated with more set of varieties that have contrasted phenology and yield characteristics over a larger scale.

- Conclusion

The use of this approach was important as it helps:

- Identifying the type and dynamic of the 3 major drought stress scenarios experienced by 2 representatives sorghum genotypes in Mali
- Delimitating the area of performance of each genotype studied
- Evaluating the impact of each stress type on yield across ecological zones

That will not only help crop breeding programs in guiding in their selection process but also agronomists in the phenotyping strategies

This approach can be used under future climate conditions in order to help in predictions.

Other stresses rather than drought should be taking into account in further studies.

References

- Akinseye, F.M. et al., 2017. Field Crops Research Assessing crop model improvements through comparison of sorghum (*sorghum bicolor* L . moench) simulation models : A case study of West African varieties. *Field Crops Research*, 201, pp.19–31. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.10.015>.
- Blum, A., 2005. Drought resistance, water-use efficiency, and yield potential—are they compatible, dissonant, or mutually exclusive? *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 56, pp.1159–1168. Available at: www.publish.csiro.au/journals/ajar [Accessed March 29, 2017].
- Batjes N.H. (Ed.) 1995. A homogenized soil data file for global environmental research: a subset of FAO, ISRIC and NRCS profiles (version 1.0). Working Paper and Preprint 95/10b.
- Batjes, N.H. 2002. A homogenized soil profile data set for global and regional environmental research. (WISE, version 1.1). Report 2002/01. International Soil reference and Information Centre (ISRIC), Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Chapman, S.C., 2000. Genotype by environment interactions affecting grain sorghum. II. Frequencies of different seasonal patterns of drought stress are related to location effects on hybrid yields. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 51, pp.209–21.
- Chauhan Y.S., R.R.C.N., 2014. Defining agro-ecological regions for field crops in variable target production environments: A case study on mungbean in the northern grains region of Australia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 194, pp.207–217. Available at: http://ac.els-cdn.com/S0168192314001075/1-s2.0-S0168192314001075-main.pdf?_tid=9f727f92-67c7-11e7-bf7e-00000aab0f6c&acdnat=1499949455_3a0c7337cfb13e4a8694e0b84c48ce5f [Accessed July 13, 2017].
- Chenu, K. et al., 2011. Environment characterization as an aid to wheat improvement: Interpreting genotype-environment interactions by modelling water-deficit patterns in North-Eastern Australia. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 62(6), pp.1743–1755.
- Chenu, K., Dehifard, R. & Chapman, S.C., 2013. Large-scale characterization of drought pattern : a continent- wide modelling approach applied to the Australian wheatbelt – spatial and temporal trends. *New Phytologist*, 198, pp.801–820.
- Folliard, A. et al., 2004. Modeling of sorghum response to photoperiod: A threshold-hyperbolic approach. *Field Crops Research*, 89(1), pp.59–70.
- Grieser, J., Gommers, R., Bernardi, M., 2006. New LocClim -the Local Climate Estimator of FAO. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 8(08305). Available at: http://www.juergen-grieser.de/publications/publications_pdf/EGU06-J-08305.pdf [Accessed September 7, 2017].
- Kaminski, J., Elbehri, A. & Samake, M., 2013. An assessment of sorghum and millet in Mali and implications for competitive and inclusive value chains*. *Rebuilding West Africa's Food Potential*, A. Elbehri (ed.), FAO/IFAD. Available at: <http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3222e/i3222e15.pdf> [Accessed September 7, 2017].
- Keating, B. et al., 2003. An overview of APSIM, a model designed for farming systems simulation. *Europ. J. Agronomy*, 18, pp.309–332.
- Kholová, J. et al., 2013. Drought stress characterization of post-rainy season (rabi) sorghum in India.

522 *Field Crops Research*, 141, pp.38–46. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.10.020>.

523 Lys, P., 2010. The Current State of Cost of Production Estimates A review of Country Practices in
524 Ethiopia, Mali and Zambia. Available at:
525 [http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/ess/ess_test_folder/Workshops_Events/production_cost](http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/ess/ess_test_folder/Workshops_Events/production_cost/Lys_Report_on_country_case_studies_on_CoP.pdf)
526 /Lys_Report_on_country_case_studies_on_CoP.pdf [Accessed September 7, 2017].

527 Van Oosterom, E.J., Carberry, P.S. & O’Leary, G.J., 2001. Simulating growth, development, and yield of
528 tillering pearl millet I. Leaf area profiles on main shoots and tillers. *Field Crops Research*, 72(1),
529 pp.51–66.

530 Soltani, A., Sinclair, T.R., 2012. *Modeling Physiology of Crop Development, Growth and Yield*,

531 Soumare, M. et al., 2008. Pr vision de l’aire de diffusion des sorghos photop riodiques en Afrique de
532 l’Ouest Mamy. *Cahiers Agricultures*, 17, pp.160–164.

533 Summerfield, B.R.J., Roberts, E.H. & Ellis, R.H., 1991. Towards the reliable prediction of time to flowering
534 in six annual crops. I. The development of simple models for fluctuating field environments. , 27,
535 pp.11–31.

536 USAID, 2011. *Etude Nationale sur les Bassins de Production des Speculations Cerelieres au Mali*,

537 Vaksmann, M., Traore, S.B. & Niangado, O., 1996. Le photop riodisme des sorghos africains. *Agriculture*
538 *et developpement*, (No9), pp.13–18.

539

540

Table 1: Description of the site chosen across the five agro-ecological zones and used in the characterization analysis, providing location, range of rainfall in each site, geographical coordinates, start and end of years when weather data were collected, mean rainfall observed at these site during the observation period, rainfall range during the cropping season.

Agro-ecological zones	Isohyets	Sites	Long (°W)	Lat (°N)	Start	End	No. of years	Mean Rainfall (mm)	Range in growing season rainfall (mm)
Sahelian zone	< 400	Gao	0.05	16.27	1950	1980	30	243	128-430
		Nara	7.28	15.17	1950	2003	53	426	198-648
	400-600	Mopti	4.10	14.52	1950	2005	55	492	281-963
		Nioro	9.60	15.23	1950	1980	30	567	298-965
Soudanian zone	600-800	Kayes	11.43	14.43	1950	2004	54	651	358-936
		Segou	6.15	13.40	1950	2008	58	661	391-954
		Cinzana	5.95	13.25	1961	2010	49	697	522-1006
		Tominian	4.60	13.28	1950	1990	40	715	421-977
		Bafoulabe	10.83	13.80	1971	1980	9	734	469-858
		Kolokani	8.03	13.58	1935	2006	71	753	381-1225
	800-1000	Ntarla	5.75	12.70	1967	1983	16	846	639-1139
		Dioila	6.80	12.48	1939	2008	69	860	429-1285
		Samanko	8.07	12.53	1968	2015	47	920	538-1366
		Koutiala	5.47	12.40	1950	2008	58	922	516-1433
		Sotuba	7.93	12.66	1951	2015	64	942	591-1425
		Massigui	6.77	11.87	1960	1990	30	943	639-1489
		Kita	9.45	13.07	1938	2008	70	986	398-1515
Guinean zone	> 1000	Kangaba	8.42	11.93	1940	2006	66	1040	422-1674
		Fakola	6.90	10.53	1966	1992	26	1054	639-1542
		Kenieba	11.35	13.10	1950	1997	47	1159	256-1913
		Bougouni	7.50	11.42	1950	2007	57	1161	718-1661
		Sikasso	5.68	11.35	1950	2010	60	1170	754-1558

550 Table 2. Frequency of occurrence of the 3 stress scenarios across isohyets

Genotypes	Stress scenarios	<400	400-600	600-800	800-1000	>1000
CSM335	1	4.8	61.1	99.0	98.8	1.0
	2	74.2	18.2	0.2	0.3	
	3	21.0	20.7	0.9	1.0	
CSM63E	1	25.8	90.4	99.8	99.0	99.6
	2	41.9	3.2			0.4
	3	32.3	6.4	0.2	1.0	

551

552