

RTB POTATO SCALING FUND PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Increasing Scaling up and Adoption of Potato in Africa through
Combining Market-driven, Climate Resilient, Novel Potato
Varieties and Seed Systems Innovation



RESEARCH
PROGRAM ON
Roots, Tubers
and Bananas



©2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| ACRONYMS | iv |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | v |
| Background..... | 1 |
| OUTPUT 1: AT LEAST 50,000 POTATO FARMERS, AMONG WHICH 30% ARE FEMALE FARMERS, WILL BE REACHED IN KENYA AND UGANDA WITH HIGH QUALITY SEED OF NEW VARIETIES..... | 2 |
| Activity 1.1: Creating awareness and demand for rooted apical cutting and new varieties | 2 |
| Sub-activity 1.1: Training of ToTs..... | 2 |
| Sub-activity 1.2. Promotion of rooted apical cuttings and new varieties..... | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Demos during farmer training | 3 |
| 1.2.2 Distribution of rooted apical cuttings to farmers | 3 |
| 1.2.3 Field days, trade fairs and exhibitions | 4 |
| 1.2.4. Sales of apical cuttings during field day and training events..... | 6 |
| Sub-activity 1.3. Evaluation of potential demand for new varieties..... | 7 |
| 1.3.1. Survival rate of the apical cuttings distributed to the farmers | 7 |
| 1.3.2 Tuber numbers per plant..... | 8 |
| 1.3.3. Tuber weight per plant | 9 |
| 1.3.4 Multiplication rates..... | 10 |
| 1.3.5. Effect of source of cutting and gender on survival rate and tuber yield | 10 |
| 1.3.6. Evaluation of cultivar preferences | 11 |
| Concluding remarks | 12 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Number of persons trained as ToTs on RAC production and management. | 2 |
| Table 2: Farmers and actors reached through training events in Kenya and Uganda. | 3 |
| Table 3: Cuttings distributed to farmers and seed multipliers in Uganda and Kenya. | 4 |
| Table 4: Farmers and actors directly reached through field day events in Kenya and Uganda. | 6 |
| Table 5: : Quantity of cuttings purchased by farmers and project during the project phase. | 7 |
| Table 6: Survival rate of the apical cuttings sampled from farmers in Kenya and Uganda. | 8 |
| Table 7: Tuber numbers per plant recorded from the cuttings distributed to the farmers. | 8 |
| Table 8: Mean tuber weight per plant recorded from the cuttings distributed to the farmers. | 9 |
| Table 9: Basic seed produced by farmers with rooted apical cutting as the starter material. | 10 |
| Table 10: Effect of source of cutting and gender on survival rate and tuber yield. | 11 |
| Table 11: Farmer most preferred potato cultivar by county and overall rank in Kenya. | 12 |
| Table 12: Farmers' rating of six cultivars (Wanjiku, Shangi, Unica, Chulu, Nyota, Konjo) based on nine most important traits. | 12 |

LIST OF PLATES

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Plate 1: Training event for the ToTs: giving a theoretical background (a); and a practical training (b); and further visit at vegetative phase (c). | 2 |
| Plate 2: ToTs training farmers on planting and harvesting of apical cuttings in Kenya and Uganda respectively. | 3 |
| Plate 3: A group of ToTs visiting a farmer (a); a farmer attending to her demo plot (b). | 4 |
| Plate 4: CEC Agriculture and KEPHIS Officials (a), Governors of Meru (b) and Kiambu (c) Counties visiting the potato apical cutting demos and display booths during Field Day and Trade Fair Events. | Error! |
| Bookmark not defined. | |
| Plate 5: Farmers visiting demo plots during a trade fair event (a) and are motivated, thus purchasing cuttings (b). | 6 |
| Plate 6: Farmers guided by a team of ToTs harvesting apical cuttings in Uganda. | 9 |

ACRONYMS

| | |
|-----------|--|
| ATC | Agricultural Training Centre |
| BugizARDI | Buginyanya Zonal Agricultural Research and Development Institute |
| CIP | International Potato Center |
| FIPS | Farm Input Promotions Africa Ltd |
| GIZ | German Society for International Co-operation |
| HCDA | Horticultural Crops Development Authority |
| KALRO | Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization |
| Ka-ZARDI | Kachwekano Zonal Agricultural Research and Development Institute |
| KEPHIS | Kenya Plant Health Inspectorate Services |
| NGO | Non-Governmental Organization |
| NPCK | National Potato Council of Kenya |
| PCPB | Pest Control Products Board |
| RACs | Rooted apical cuttings |
| RMTs | Rapid Multiplication Techniques |
| RTB | Roots, Tubers and Bananas (a CGIAR Research Program) |
| SFSA | Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture |
| SRK | Stokman Rozen Kenya Ltd |
| TC | Tissue culture |
| TOT | Training of Trainers |
| USD | United States dollar |
| WAO | Ward Agricultural Officer |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The International Potato Center and partner institutions through scaling fund project endorsed the use of rooted apical cuttings (RAC) as a rapid seed multiplication technique to accelerate scaling up and adoption of robust potato varieties in Kenya and Uganda. The project was implemented from the year 2017–2021 and aimed to reach at least 50,000 potato farmers with high quality seed of new varieties. Farmer group training model combining field demonstrations, seed distribution and knowledge exchange was adopted. Awareness creation through field days, national media and agricultural shows formed a major component of RAC/variety dissemination. This was achieved through engagement of existing digital platforms such as use of short messages and the NPCK Viasi soko App, use of televisions and newspaper prints, as well as application of secondary sources of information and promotional campaigns e.g., distributions of variety catalogues, manuals, and information leaflets. The project mainstreamed gender and particularly paid attention to empower women and youths. An endline survey was carried out to determine farmers’ preferences and demand for new varieties and seeds.

Collectively, capacity development of 250 trainers of trainees (ToTs), who subsequently trained more than 2,000 farmers (of which 48% were women and 12% youths) was attained. These farmers were reached directly with at least one new potato variety. In total, 142,205 rooted apical cuttings of new varieties were distributed to over 2,000 farmers. Distributions occurred at or after training events. The yields produced on-farm from the cuttings distributed to the farmers is estimated to have generated over 1000 MT basic seeds of new varieties. Farmers reached indirectly through field days, trade fairs, agricultural shows, television and print media is estimated to be over 500,000. Farmers’ varietal preference varied according to the agroecology, with farmers in mid-altitudes preferring cultivars which are high yielding and are heat and drought tolerant, and those in the traditional potato-growing highlands preferring varieties which yield high, have ready market, and can tolerate late blight disease.

1. BACKGROUND

Potato sector in Kenya and Uganda is dominated by smallholder farmers whose production efforts are largely constrained by limited access to quality seeds of robust, climate adapted and high yielding varieties. In Kenya, for instance, the informal sector, which is dominated by farmer saved seed, provides >90% of seed for planting, a case which is common in most developing countries. Therefore, strengthening the informal sector through farmer participation, working with farmer groups, researchers and extension agents becomes necessary to undertake local-level seed production and distribution.

Through the project, *“Market-driven scaling up and adoption of potato in Africa through a technology package combining market-driven, climate resilient, novel potato varieties with a seed system innovation”*, the International Potato Center and partner institutions adopted a farmer training model that brought together a team of researchers, extensionists and farmers. The aim was to strengthen the linkage between the actors and support the dissemination of climate smart, consumer-demanded potato varieties to 50,000 farmers. A rapid multiplication system based on the use of rooted apical cuttings and novel improved varieties was disseminated to the farmers using this approach.

OUTPUT 1: AT LEAST 50,000 POTATO FARMERS, AMONG WHICH 30% ARE FEMALE FARMERS, WILL BE REACHED IN KENYA AND UGANDA WITH HIGH QUALITY SEED OF NEW VARIETIES

Activity 1.1: Creating awareness and demand for rooted apical cutting and new varieties
Sub-activity 1.1: Training of ToTs

Capacity development formed a major component of the project activities, aiming at strengthening the capacity of farmers and promoting strategic partnerships. Training of ToTs on field production and management of rooted apical cutting was conducted at the county and subcounty levels.



Plate 1: Training event for the ToTs: giving a theoretical background (a); and a practical training (b); and further visit at vegetative phase (c).

The purpose was to build a pool of competent instructors who would then build the capacity of farmers to produce clean seed on-farm. The approach involved both public and private institutions and promoted women and youth engagement. County extension agents constituted majority of the ToTs trained, followed by the village-based advisors. Youths (persons less than 30 years of age) constituted 15% of the ToTs and women 41% (Table 1).

Table 1: Number of persons trained as ToTs on RAC production and management.

| Country | Groups trained | Total | Gender disaggregation | | Youth | Proportions (%) | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | | Men | Women | | Women | Youth |
| Uganda | National research institutions | 5 | 5 | - | 3 | - | 60 |
| | Sub county extension agents | 73 | 62 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 15 |
| | Total | 78 | 67 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 18 |
| Kenya | County extension agents | 129 | 55 | 74 | 18 | 57 | 14 |
| | Village-based advisors | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | 40 | 0 |
| | Total | 139 | 61 | 78 | 18 | 56 | 13 |
| Overall | | 217 | 128 | 89 | 32 | 41 | 15 |

Sub-activity 1.2. Promotion of rooted apical cuttings and new varieties

1.2.1 Demos during farmer training

Participatory learning was adopted with farmer group model to introduce the apical cutting technology and new varieties to the farmers. With this model, each ToT was tasked to mobilize and train 2 to 5 farmer groups, each group comprising of 5 to 30 farmers. Through this approach, the number of participants trained (in Kenya and Uganda) was 17,151 of which 16,918 (99%) were farmers (Table 2). Women constituted greater percentage of the farmers trained (52%) while youths made up 27% of the total participants trained.



Plate 2: ToTs training farmers on planting and harvesting of apical cuttings in Kenya and Uganda respectively.

Table 2: Farmers and actors reached through training events in Kenya and Uganda.

| Country | Groups reached | Total | Gender disaggregation | | Youth | Proportions (%) | |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | | Men | Women | | Women | Youth |
| Uganda | Potato farmers | 2,017 | 841 | 1,176 | 428 | 58 | 51 |
| | Seed multipliers | 56 | 40 | 16 | 7 | 29 | 18 |
| | Total | 2,073 | 881 | 1,192 | 435 | 58 | 49 |
| Kenya | Potato farmers | 14,901 | 7,124 | 7,777 | 2,218 | 52 | 31 |
| | Government officials | 59 | 32 | 27 | 7 | 46 | 22 |
| | Private seed business | 78 | 36 | 42 | 11 | 54 | 31 |
| | NGOs | 40 | 21 | 19 | 9 | 48 | 43 |
| | Total | 15,078 | 7,213 | 7,865 | 2,245 | 52 | 31 |
| Overall | | 17,151 | 8,094 | 9,057 | 2,680 | 53 | 33 |

1.2.2 Distribution of rooted apical cuttings to farmers

Free promotional packs of rooted apical cuttings and basic seeds of different potato varieties were distributed to the farmers trained. The aim was to introduce farmers to a wide range of robust potato varieties and ultimately promote the rooted apical cutting technology. Each farmer trained (except where low production limited the supply) received 2 to 3 potato varieties, 10

cuttings per variety. Cumulatively, 322,326 cuttings (220,760 in Kenya and 101,566 in Uganda), and about 2 tons of basic seeds were distributed to a total of 10,995 farmers (Table 3). In Uganda, the project leveraged with the GIZ piloting project to support the distribution of cuttings of different varieties. Shangi, Unica, Wanjiku, Chulu, Nyota, and Konjo were the main varieties distributed to the farmers in Kenya. In Uganda, Naropot 1, Victoria, Rwangume, and Naropot 2 were the main varieties distributed.

Table 3: Cuttings distributed to farmers and seed multipliers in Uganda and Kenya.

| Country | Variety | #Farmers |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Uganda | Kachpot 1 | 6,502 |
| | Kachpot 2 | 2,852 |
| | Kinigi | 3,543 |
| | Naropot 1 | 15,507 |
| | Naropot 2 | 7,306 |
| | Naropot 3 | 7,938 |
| | Rwangume | 12,495 |
| | Victoria | 7,812 |
| | Total | 63,955 |
| Kenya | Shangi | 51,250 |
| | Unica | 58,870 |
| | Wanjiku | 25,100 |
| | Chulu | 7,740 |
| | Nyota | 3,860 |
| | Konjo | 5,230 |
| | Lenana | 670 |
| | Sherekea | 140 |
| | Mayan Gold | 50 |
| | Dutch Robjn | 5,475 |
| | Total | 158,385 |
| Overall | 222,340 | |



Plate 3: A group of ToTs visiting a farmer (a); a farmer attending to her demo plot (b)

1.2.3 Field days, trade fairs and exhibitions

Seventeen (17) field day events organized by public and private partners were held in Kenya with a total of 2,159 participants (1,134 men-53% and 1,025 women-47%), representing various actor types (farmers-1,953; government officials-94; private business-77; and NGOs-35). In Uganda, 389 farmers (280 men-72% and 109 women-28%) were reached through field day events (Table 4). Youths represented 46% of the total participants. Some of these events were aired on national televisions and covered in print media. This platform is estimated to have reached audience of

over 500,000 farmers. The field day and trade fair events were attended by top country officials who in their speech and field observations well, recognized the potential of apical cuttings as a technology to revolutionize seed system and contribute to increased seed supply in the country (see Box 1 for the case of Kenya).

Box 1. Rooted apical cuttings recognized by leaders in Kenya as a technology to revolutionize seed system

The KEPHIS Quality Assurance General Manager, Mr. Simeon Kibet at a KEPHIS Field Day Event held in West Pokot County acknowledged the high yield from apical cuttings. “It is amazing to observe tuber numbers of up to 30 from a single apical cutting compared to 7–10 tubers observed with the basic seeds”, he stated. He further reiterated that, “This technology offers a great option to secure clean starter material and can readily go into outgrower scheme to ramp up seed production in remote areas”. In the same event, the Minister for Agriculture, West Pokot County Mr. Godfrey Lipale stated that “I am particularly impressed with the high level of late blight disease tolerance shown by these new varieties”.

Similarly, the Governor for Kiambu County Hon. James Nyoro in a Farmers’ Field Day Event acknowledged the use of rooted apical cuttings as a technology that can rapidly make available high-quality seeds at a cheaper cost. He said, “This technology rapidly multiplies clean seeds at a cheaper cost and has the potential to create jobs to youths and women, thereby raising their rural incomes.” He concluded, “I direct the minister for Agriculture to work with CIP in building the capacity of farmers and seed multipliers to adopt this technology”.

The Governor for Meru County Hon. Kiraitu Murungi during a Potato Trade Fair Event also stated, “Rooted apical cutting has a tremendous capacity to transform the seed potato system in the country.” He called upon the relevant stakeholders and projects to endorse the technology by seeking active participation of grower organizations to ensure sufficient production of RAC starter materials. The Governor urged farmers to form producer organizations and farmer cooperative societies which he described as key to leveraging a competitive advantage, giving farmers opportunity for business registration and higher farmgate prices via direct linkages to buyers of their seed produce.



Plate 1: CEC Agriculture and KEPHIS Officials (a), Governors of Meru (b) and Kiambu (c) Counties visiting the potato apical cutting demos and display booths during Field Day and Trade Fair Events.

The public institutions that partnered with CIP in these events include the County Governments, the national seed regulatory and research institutions (e.g., KEPHIS, HCDA, PCPB, KALRO, KaZARDI, BugiZARDI), and the various national Agricultural Training Centers (e.g., ATC Nakuru, ATC Chebara and ATC Chebororwa). Some of the private partners include the specialized private nursery producers and seed merchants (e.g., Stockman Rozen Kenya Ltd, Grace Rock Farm Ltd,

Agromax Ltd, AGT Laboratories, Fresh Crop Ltd, Agrico East Africa Ltd, Kisima Farm Ltd), as well as the farm-input and knowledge exchange providers (e.g., Corteva Agrisciences, Yara East Africa, Cropnuts, NPCK, FIPS, Self Help Africa).

Table 4: Farmers and actors directly reached through field day events in Kenya and Uganda.

| Country | Groups reached | Total | Gender disaggregation | | Youth | Proportions (%) | |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | | Men | Women | | Women | Youth |
| Uganda | Potato farmers | 389 | 280 | 109 | - | 28 | - |
| | Total | 389 | 280 | 109 | - | 28 | - |
| Kenya | Potato farmers | 1,953 | 1,016 | 937 | 498 | 48 | 49 |
| | Government officials | 94 | 62 | 32 | 18 | 34 | 29 |
| | Private business | 77 | 37 | 40 | 8 | 52 | 22 |
| | NGOs | 35 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 46 | 16 |
| | Total | 2,159 | 1,134 | 1,025 | 527 | 47 | 46 |
| Overall | | 2,548 | 1,414 | 1,134 | 527 | 42 | 46 |

1.2.4. Sales of apical cuttings during field day and training events

Sale of apical cuttings by the seed businesses was monitored at the nursery production units, and at field day and training events. The objective was to test the demand arising from the rooted apical cutting campaigns, especially the sales that occurred at or after training and field day events. From the tracked



Plate 4: Farmers visiting demo plots during a trade fair event (a) and are motivated, thus purchasing cuttings (b).

sales records, the quantity of cuttings sold to a total of 1,122 buyers in Kenya and Uganda was 495,779 with a value of USD 59,992 (Table 5). Of this, a total of 81,841 cuttings was purchased by the project and distributed to the farmers trained. The rest (413,938) was bought by the farmers. Majority of the sales (90%) occurred at the nursery sales units, demonstrating potential capacity and emergence of these nurseries as local seed suppliers. A significant quantity of sales (18,389 cuttings worth USD 184) occurred at the field day and training sites, thus indicating high demand generated by trainings and exhibition campaigns.

Table 5: Quantity of cuttings purchased by farmers and project during the project phase.

| Country | Business | Buyer | Point/event of sale | | | Total cuttings sold | Value of sales (USD) | Number of buyers |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | | Field day | Training | Nursery sales units | | | |
| Uganda | Private seed businesses | Farmers | - | - | 140,258 | 140,258 | 24,370 | 49 |
| Kenya | Meru satellite nurseries | Farmers | 11,655 | - | 187,997 | 199,652 | 19,965 | 787 |
| | | FIPS | - | - | 37,871 | 37,871 | 3,787 | 1 |
| | Potato Empire* | Farmers | 3,205 | 2,397 | 9,456 | 15,058 | 1,506 | 87 |
| | | Farmers | 589 | 543 | - | 1,132 | 113 | 47 |
| | Grace Rock Farm Ltd* | Farmers | - | - | 57,838 | 57,838 | 5,784 | 150 |
| CIP | | - | - | 43,970 | 43,970 | 4,397 | 1 | |
| Overall | | | 15,449 | 2,940 | 477,390 | 495,779 | 59,922 | 1,122 |

*The two seed businesses, Potato Empire and Grace Rock Farm Ltd were linked to CIP by World Food Program and KALRO, respectively for technical backstopping and market linkage support.

Sub-activity 1.3. Evaluation of potential demand for new varieties

We evaluated yields and qualitative attributes that could potentially affect farmers' uptake rate of apical cuttings and new varieties. A random sample was drawn from farmers who received, planted, managed, and recorded harvest yields from the apical cuttings of different varieties. A complementary structured survey questionnaire was used to record the qualitative data. A total of 492 respondents drawn from different sub counties in Uganda and Kenya participated in the quantitative survey (187 in Uganda and 305 in Kenya). A further xxx participated in the mobile short code surveys administered by the regional mobile network providers (this plus the qualitative data from Uganda is not reported here).

1.3.1. Survival rate of the apical cuttings distributed to the farmers

Survival rate of field grown apical cuttings was generally higher in Kenya than in Uganda, averaging 91% and 62% respectively (Table 6). Proportion of farmers recording less than 50% survival rate was notably greater in Uganda (20%) than in Kenya (0%). This low survival rate in Uganda was largely attributed to poor handling and packaging of cuttings during long-distance transport, resulting in apical cutting damages and loss of vigor. Nevertheless, more farmers in Uganda (37%) recorded greater proportion of tuber number per plant >20 compared with only 4% of farmers in Kenya. Most of farmers in Kenya faced a period of unusually low rainfall and high temperatures during the production cycle, thus contributing to the low yields.

Table 6: Survival rate of the apical cuttings sampled from farmers in Kenya and Uganda.

| Country | Survival rate (%) | Proportion of farmers | #Of tubers per plant | Proportion of farmers (%) |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| Uganda n=187 | 95% and above | 14.4 | ≥25 | 28.9 |
| | 90 – 94% | 8.6 | 20-24 | 8.0 |
| | 80 – 89% | 22.5 | 16-19 | 11.2 |
| | 70 – 79% | 14.4 | 12-15 | 17.1 |
| | 60 – 69% | 11.2 | 9-11 | 11.2 |
| | 50 – 59% | 9.1 | 5-8 | 17.1 |
| | Below 50% | 19.8 | <5 | 6.4 |
| Kenya n=305 | 95% and above | 59.3 | ≥25 | 2.0 |
| | 90 – 94% | 13.1 | 20-24 | 2.0 |
| | 80 – 89% | 11.5 | 16-19 | 8.5 |
| | 70 – 79% | 7.5 | 12-15 | 40.7 |
| | 60 – 69% | 4.3 | 9-11 | 34.8 |
| | 50 – 59% | 4.3 | 5-8 | 11.8 |
| | Below 50% | 0.0 | <5 | 0.3 |

1.3.2 Tuber numbers per plant

Rwangume, Kachpot1 and Naropot 2 recorded the most tuber number per plant in Uganda and Wanjiku in Kenya (Table 7).

Table 7: Tuber numbers per plant recorded from the cuttings distributed to the farmers.

| Country | Cultivar | Tubers number per plant | | | | | | Total | %Seed #tubers basis |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| | | < 20 mm | 20-30 mm | 30-45 mm | 45-60 mm | > 60 mm | ≥ 20 mm | | |
| Uganda | Kachpot 1 | 5b | 6b | 8b | 2a | 0a | 17b | 23c | 79a |
| | Kachpot 2 | 1a | 1a | 2a | 1a | 0a | 4a | 5a | 80a |
| | Kinigi | 3ab | 4ab | 4ab | 2a | 1a | 11b | 13b | 71a |
| | Naropot 1 | 4ab | 4ab | 5ab | 2a | 0a | 12b | 16b | 76a |
| | Naropot 2 | 5ab | 6b | 6ab | 3a | 1a | 16b | 21b | 75a |
| | Naropot 3 | 4ab | 5b | 4ab | 3a | 1a | 14b | 18bc | 79a |
| | Rwangume | 6ab | 6b | 7b | 3a | 1a | 17b | 23c | 73a |
| | Victoria | 4ab | 5b | 6ab | 3a | 0a | 14b | 18bc | 75a |
| | Average | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 19 | 75 |
| Kenya | Chulu | 2ab | 3a | 3a | 2a | 0a | 9a | 11a | 79ab |
| | Konjo | 3ab | 3a | 2a | 3a | 0a | 8a | 11a | 74ab |
| | Lenana | 5b | 4a | 2a | 2a | 0a | 8a | 13a | 64a |
| | Nyota | 2ab | 3a | 3a | 2a | 0a | 8a | 10a | 83ab |
| | Shangi | 3ab | 3a | 3a | 2a | 0a | 9a | 12a | 75ab |
| | Unica | 1a | 3a | 3a | 3a | 1a | 9a | 10a | 86b |
| | Wanjiku | 4ab | 3a | 4a | 3a | 0a | 10a | 14a | 75ab |
| | Average | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 76 |
| Overall | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 76 |

Kachpot 2 significantly recorded the lowest number of tubers per plant in Uganda. All the cultivars attained higher percent of seed tuber size fractions, ranging between 71–80% in Uganda and 64–86 in Kenya.

1.3.3. Tuber weight per plant

No significant cultivar effect was found on tuber weight per plant among the varieties, except for Kachpot 2 which recorded significantly low tuber weight per plant in Uganda and cultivar Lenana in Kenya (Table 8). Rwangume and Naropot 2 showed the greatest mean tuber weight per plant and had the greatest tuber yield per hectares. All the cultivars attained greater percent seed size on weight basis, > 80%, both in Kenya and Uganda.

Table 8: Mean tuber weight per plant recorded from the cuttings distributed to the farmers.

| Country | Cultivar | Mean tuber weight per plant (gms) | | | | | | Total | %Seed Wt. basis | Average yield tons/ha |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | | < 20 mm | 20-30 mm | 30-45 mm | 45-60 mm | > 60 mm | ≥ 20 mm | | | |
| Uganda | Kachpot 1 | 28b | 75b | 246b | 141b | 55ab | 516b | 543b | 94a | 24b |
| | Kachpot 2 | 1a | 12a | 65a | 54a | 21a | 152a | 153a | 99a | 7a |
| | Kinigi | 20b | 79b | 151ab | 135b | 77ab | 441b | 461b | 88a | 20b |
| | Naropot 1 | 31b | 64b | 164ab | 131b | 59ab | 418b | 449b | 90a | 20b |
| | Naropot 2 | 31b | 75b | 195ab | 175b | 142b | 587b | 618b | 92a | 27b |
| | Naropot 3 | 32b | 85b | 150ab | 175b | 156b | 566b | 599b | 94a | 27b |
| | Rwangume | 35b | 81b | 223b | 199b | 91ab | 593b | 627b | 91a | 28b |
| | Victoria | 35b | 92b | 199ab | 181b | 48ab | 519b | 554b | 86a | 25b |
| | Average | 31 | 79 | 192 | 165 | 84 | 520 | 551 | 90 | 24 |
| Kenya | Chulu | 21a | 56a | 68ab | 75ab | 22a | 221a | 242ab | 91a | 11ab |
| | Konjo | 25a | 50a | 57ab | 81ab | 8a | 196a | 221a | 88a | 10ab |
| | Lenana | 36a | 57a | 45a | 55a | 9a | 166a | 202a | 82a | 9a |
| | Nyota | 14a | 41a | 65ab | 83ab | 26a | 214a | 228ab | 93a | 10ab |
| | Shangi | 29a | 51a | 76ab | 84ab | 22a | 234ab | 263ab | 89a | 12ab |
| | Unica | 13a | 45a | 69ab | 110b | 96b | 320b | 333b | 95a | 15b |
| | Wanjiku | 34a | 59a | 87b | 94ab | 19a | 259ab | 292b | 88a | 13ab |
| | Average | 27 | 53 | 75 | 87 | 27 | 243 | 270 | 89 | 12 |
| Overall | | 28 | 63 | 120 | 117 | 48 | 348 | 377 | 90 | 17 |



Plate 5: Farmers guided by a team of ToTs harvesting apical cuttings in Uganda.

1.3.4 Multiplication rates

With the support of the ToTs in Kenya, we tracked yields of rooted apical cuttings multiplied to generation I of seed production. A sample size of 33 farmers who kept proper records were considered.

Table 9: Basic seed produced by farmers with rooted apical cutting as the starter material.

| Cultivar | Rooted apical cutting | | | | | Generation I | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | #Of cuttings planted | #Of tubers harvested | Wt. of tubers harvested (kg) | Tuber wt. per plant (g) | #Of tubers per plant | Wt. of tubers replanted (kg) | Wt. of tubers harvested (kg) | Tuber wt. per plant (g) | Multiplication rate |
| Chulu | 152 | 1,414 | 81 | 535 | 9.3 | 52 | 432 | 656 | 8.3 |
| Konjo | 119 | 1,002 | 49 | 411 | 8.4 | 29 | 223 | 522 | 7.6 |
| Lenana | 19 | 108 | 3 | 140 | 5.7 | 1 | 3 | 267 | 5.0 |
| Nyota | 79 | 1,051 | 63 | 793 | 13.3 | 33 | 281 | 847 | 8.6 |
| Shangi | 330 | 3,659 | 222 | 672 | 11.1 | 108 | 896 | 769 | 8.3 |
| Unica | 143 | 1,241 | 124 | 869 | 8.7 | 73 | 587 | 1,013 | 8.0 |
| Wanjiku | 219 | 3,214 | 217 | 992 | 14.7 | 85 | 779 | 867 | 9.2 |
| Total | 1,060 | 11,690 | 758 | 630 | 10.2 | 381 | 3,201 | 706 | 7.9 |

Farmers sampled were 33

From the 1060 cuttings collectively planted by the 33 farmers, 0.8 tons of basic seed reflecting a multiplication rate of 10 was produced (Table 9). About 40% (0.4 tons) of this seed was replanted, of which the harvest yielded 9.6 tons of basic seed, reflecting a multiplication ratio of 1:8. The recovery rate was generally low as more than half of the seeds harvested was not replanted. Averagely, the multiplication rate reduced from 10 with cuttings to 8 with basic seed. Basic seed produced tubers with greater weight per plant (706 g) compared with the apical cuttings (630 g). Differences in varieties were evident with Wanjiku recording the most tuber numbers per plant, and Unica the greatest tuber weight per plant. Lenana produced both the fewest tuber number per plant and the least tuber weight per plant.

1.3.5. Effect of source of cutting and gender on survival rate and tuber yield

We tested the effect of source of cutting, gender and management type on survival rates, tuber numbers per plant, mean tuber weight per plant and average tuber yield per ha of field grown rooted apical cutting. The sources of apical cuttings were the satellite nurseries and highly

specialized commercial cutting producers. The demo plots were managed by individual farmers or farmer groups. No significant cutting-source or gender effect was found on survival rate, tuber numbers per plant, tuber weight per plant or on average tuber yield (Table 10). Thus, the suppliers under consideration produced apical cuttings of similar quality, and both male and female farmers had comparable good knowledge and technique of apical cutting production. However, cuttings managed by group had significantly greater tuber numbers per plant, tuber weight per plant and average tuber yield. As a group, farmers were able to share knowledge, and basic resources such as land, irrigation water, storage facilities etc., leading to greater yields.

Table 10: Effect of source of cutting and gender on survival rate and tuber yield.

| Element | Country | Category | Survival rate (%) | Tuber numbers per plant | | | Tuber wt per plant (gms) | | Average yield (tons/ha) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| | | | | < 20 mm | ≥ 20 mm | Total | <20 mm | ≥ 20 mm | |
| Cutting source | Kenya | Grace Rock | 92 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 21 | 232 | 11 |
| | | Satellite nursery | 96 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 26 | 256 | 13 |
| | | SRK | 88 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 33 | 253 | 13 |
| | Uganda | Agromax | 74 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 30 | 544 | 25 |
| | | Satellite nursery | 65 | 4 | 13 | 18 | 31 | 510 | 24 |
| | F statistics, p value | | | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.09 |
| Gender | Kenya | Female | 90 | 3 | 9 | 12 | 26 | 238 | 12 |
| | | Male | 92 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 242 | 12 |
| | Uganda | Female | 0.6 | 5 | 16 | 20 | 32 | 512 | 24 |
| | | Male | 0.7 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 29 | 531 | 25 |
| | F statistics, p value | | | 0.78 | 0.94 | 0.78 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Management type | Uganda | Individual | 0.7 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 31 | 520 | 24 |
| | Kenya | Individual | 91 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 238 | 12 |
| | | Group | 88 | 7 | 12 | 19 | 64 | 288 | 16 |
| | F statistics, p value | | | 0.67 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 |

1.3.6. Evaluation of cultivar preferences

A participatory evaluation of different cultivars was conducted in Kenya and Uganda (data reported here is only for Kenya). Farmers' preferences were dependent on the production sites. Most farmers in Kiambu preferred Wanjiku, while farmers in Nakuru preferred Wanjiku and Shangi. Most farmers in Uasin Gishu preferred Unica (Table 11). Overall, the ranking order was Wanjiku> Shangi> Unica> Chulu> Nyota> Konjo.

Table 11: Farmer most preferred potato cultivar by county and overall rank in Kenya.

| Cultivar | Kiambu n=92 | Nakuru n=66 | Uasin Gishu n=15 | Overall n=173 | Rank |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|------|
| | Percent farmers | | | | |
| Wanjiku | 23.9 | 43.9 | 26.7 | 31.8 | 1 |
| Shangi | 13.0 | 54.5 | 26.7 | 30.1 | 2 |
| Unica | 37.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 23.1 | 3 |
| Chulu | 19.6 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4 |
| Nyota | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 5 |
| Konjo | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 6 |

Higher yields, disease and drought tolerance, ready market, early maturity, and good table qualities were in that order, the most important quality traits preferred by farmers in Kenya (Table 12).

Table 12: Farmers' rating of six cultivars (Wanjiku, Shangi, Unica, Chulu, Nyota, Konjo) based on nine most important traits.

| Attributes | Kiambu n=92 | Nakuru n=66 | Uasin Gishu n=15 | Overall n=173 | Overall rank | Prob>X* |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|
| | Percent (%) of farmers | | | | | |
| Higher yields | 27.2 | 24.4 | 26 | 27.2 | 1 | 0.001 |
| Disease tolerance | 13.3 | 8.1 | 19.2 | 12.1 | 2 | 0.012 |
| Drought tolerance | 11.8 | 11.8 | 9.4 | 11.6 | 3 | 0.031 |
| Easy to sell/has ready market | 16.2 | 5.5 | 8.8 | 10.4 | 4 | 0.002 |
| Early maturity | 13.8 | 5.5 | 8.1 | 11 | 6 | 0.038 |
| Good for table | 8.8 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 5 | 0.042 |
| Vigorous growth | 5.5 | 14.4 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 7 | ns |
| Short dormancy | 2.2 | 14.4 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 8 | ns |
| Long dormancy | 1.2 | 5.5 | 9 | 1.2 | 9 | ns |

*Kruskal-Wallis rank test, where 1 is most important

1.4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The high yield obtained by most farmers from rooted apical cuttings provided evidence that on-farm quality seed production using RAC as a rapid multiplication technique at farmer-level is an alternative to the costly certified seeds. Farmers preference for varieties with higher yield and tolerance to diseases was evident, indicating increasing demand and good market potential for improved varieties. However, through stakeholder workshops and farmer feedbacks, higher prices of rooted apical cuttings and non-availability of cuttings of preferred varieties were cited as the possible constraints to effective adoption of rooted apical cuttings. In addition, the low quantity of seeds replanted for second round of multiplication was an indication of poor planning.

Often, planning by most farmers was based on first round multiplication without planning for the land and storage required for the second multiplication. Those well experienced and progressive farmers, who worked as a group, sharing basic resources such as land, irrigation water, storage facilities, prior experience with crop production etc., achieved greater replanting rates and greater yields. Such farmers can easily form producer organizations enabling them to collectively procure land, acquire inputs, lobby for credit, and generally benefit from economies of scale.

Agroecology influenced choices of varieties grown in different areas. For example, Unica, Rwangume and Wanjiku showed a greater potential in terms of adaptability to different regions, indicating their resilience to different environmental conditions. This offers options to farmers in terms of climate-smart consumer-demanded potato varieties in Kenya and Uganda.