

Date Palm Pests and Diseases

Integrated Management Guide

Date Palm Pests and Diseases - Integrated Management Guide



M. El Bouhssini and J.R. Faleiro (Editors)



مركز خدمات المزارعين بالموطن
ABU DHABI FARMERS' SERVICES CENTRE



ICARDA
Science for resilient livelihoods in dry areas



خدمات المزارعين بأبوظبي
ABU DHABI FARMERS' SERVICES CI

Date Palm Pests and Diseases

Integrated Management Guide

M. El Bouhssini & J.R. Faleiro (Editors)

© 2018 International Center for Agriculture Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)

All rights reserved. ICARDA encourages fair use of this material for non-commercial purposes with proper citation.

Suggested Citation

El Bouhssini, Mustapha, and Jose Romeno Faleiro. *Date Palm Pests and Diseases Integrated Management Guide*. Beirut, Lebanon: International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), 2018.

ISBN13: 978-92-9127-505-2

All responsibility for the information in this publications remains with ICARDA. The use of trade names does not imply endorsement of, or discrimination against, any product by the Center. Maps have been used to support research data, and are not intended to show political boundaries.

Address

Dalia Building, Second Floor, Bashir El Kasser St, Verdun, Beirut, Lebanon 1108-2010.
www.icarda.org

Foreword

Date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) is a major fruit crop in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA). The crop's tolerance to high temperature, drought, and salinity makes it suitable to the harsh environment in the MENA region. Date palm is currently cultivated in nearly 30 countries on the Asian, African, American, and Australasian continents. There are over 100 million date palms worldwide, of which 60% are in the MENA region. Dates provide rural livelihood security to millions of farmers in the arid regions of the world and are of significance to human nutrition, due to their high content of essential nutrients. The world production of dates has increased from 1.8 million tons in 1962 to over 8.0 million tons at present.

Climate change due to global warming has impacted the flora and fauna worldwide, especially in arid zones. This has significantly changed the pest and disease complex of date palm, calling for the implementation of climate resilient pest and disease management programs. It is estimated that over 50% of the date palm plantations are young, below the age of 20 years offering an ideal situation for pests like red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* Olivier to establish and proliferate. On the other hand, in older plantations, where irrigation may be scarce the long horn beetle, *Jebusea hamerschmidtii* Reich is emerging as a challenge. Bayoud is considered the most serious disease of date palm, especially in Morocco and Algeria, where it has destroyed millions of date palms. Another major disease that is fast emerging is Al Wijam in the Gulf region.

Integrated Pest Management, which has an ecological base, focuses on the use of a wide range of pest control options instead of relying only on the use of pesticides. Developing or implementing an IPM program for a crop involves a systematic application of knowledge about the crop and the pests involved. This guide on date palm IPM is a comprehensive overview on the biology (life cycle, damage, losses, geographical distribution, and host range) and management of major pests and diseases of date palms, besides addressing issues related to farming practices in relation to pest and disease management.

This guide also addresses important topics of date palm IPM programs, including the concept of threshold-based pest management. Furthermore, this publication highlights the guidelines and methodologies for pest surveillance, design and analysis of common IPM experiments, application of geoinformatics in developing distribution and risk maps for the management of pests and diseases.

The IPM program on date palm should be based on real, field-specific situations and feasible solutions. This IPM guide on date palm offers a sustainable and scientific approach to managing date palm pests and diseases. The approach proposed in this guide to control major pests and diseases of date palm is flexible enough to accommodate the changing demands of agriculture, commerce, and society and will be useful to farmers, pest managers and others involved in the date palm sector.

The publication of this guide is a result of the fruitful collaboration on date palm production system for the last decade between the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, Abu Dhabi Food Control Authority (ADFCA) and the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA). This book also benefited from the results of the IFAD funded project in Iraq on “improved livelihoods of small farmers in Iraq through integrated pest management and organic fertilization”.

Mr. Abousabaa Aly, Director General, ICARDA

H.E. Mr. Said Al Bahri Salem Al Amri, Director General, ADFCA

H.E. Mr. Khalifa Saeed Al Abri, Assistant Secretary-General for Economic Affairs and Development, GCC

Date: November 2018

Preface

Date palm *Phoenix dactylifera* L. production has a significant share in the food security particularly for rural communities in the arid regions of the world, mainly in the Middle East and North Africa. Date production in these regions has witnessed increasing importance as it makes a substantial contribution in enhancing food security, reducing unemployment, and strengthening income generation in rural areas. There are over 100 million date palms with an annual production of nearly 8.0 million tones. The crop also contributes to crop diversification, land reclamation, and control of desertification. Increasing cultivation of date palm in the recent years as a monocrop has resulted in new challenges, including the emergence of pests and diseases, which requires the development of sustainable pest and disease management programs. This guide presents the latest information on date palm Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs by leading authorities in the field. The topics covered include the basic principles and concepts of IPM, guidelines and methodologies for pest and disease surveillance, design and analysis of common IPM experiments, application of geoinformatics in mapping of pests and diseases, management of key insect pests, mites and diseases, besides addressing the importance of date palm field operations in reducing pest and disease losses. Increasing trade and rapid transportation has resulted in invasive species being detected and reported at a scale like never before. In this context, surveillance and quarantine programs are becoming increasingly important. This field guide on date palm IPM describes the distribution, host range, damage symptoms, economic importance and biology of major insect pests, diseases and mites of date palm. Furthermore, the guide also presents recent innovative and novel pest management techniques in date palm, including population monitoring, cultural control, host plant resistance, biological control, chemical control, role of semiochemicals in date palm IPM and also highlights emerging strategies in combating major diseases and mites of date palm.

We wish to record our deep appreciation for the support provided by the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), Abu Dhabi Food Control Authority (ADFCA), and the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) in publishing this guide on date palm IPM.

Editors

Mustapha El Bouhssini

Jose Romeno Faleiro

Acknowledgements

The financial support provided by Abu Dhabi Food Control Authority (ADFCA) and Abu Dhabi farmers' Services Centre, through the project "Promoting Agricultural Research for Development and Smart Transfer of Technologies in Abu Dhabi" and the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), through the Project "Development of Sustainable Date Palm Production Systems in the GCC Countries", in publishing this IPM guide on date palm is greatly appreciated.

This publication also benefited from the results of the IFAD funded project in Iraq "improved livelihoods of small farmers in Iraq through integrated pest management and organic fertilization", and this is acknowledged.

The help extended by Mr. El Fakhouri Karim, Mr. Sabraoui Abdelhadi and Dr. Nejatian Arash at various stages of the preparation of this publication is also appreciated. The design of the cover page by Dr. Biradar Chandrashekhar is acknowledged with thanks.

Contents

FOREWORD	3
PREFACE	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
CONTRIBUTORS	9
ABOUT THE EDITORS.....	11
CHAPTER I :INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT: ECONOMIC THRESHOLD AND ECONOMIC INJURY LEVEL	14
CHAPTER II :STATISTICAL DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF DATE PALM INSECT PEST MANAGEMENT EXPERIMENTS	21
CHAPTER III :GEOINFORMATIC APPLICATIONS IN MANAGEMENT OF PESTS AND DISEASES.....	41
CHAPTER IV :MANAGEMENT OF KEY INSECT PESTS OF DATE PALM	51
1. IPM OF RED PALM WEEVIL	51
2. IPM OF DATE PALM BORERS.....	75
3. IPM OF DUBAS BUG	94
4. IPM OF LESSER DATE MOTH	105
5. IPM OF TERMITES IN DATE PALM	114
CHAPTER V : MANAGEMENT OF MITES OF DATE PALM	125
CHAPTER VI : MANAGEMENT OF DISEASES OF DATE PALM	139
1. FUNGAL DISEASES	139
1.1. BAYOUD DISEASE, FUSARIUM WILT.....	139
1.2. BLACK SCORCH DISEASE	150
1.3. <i>DIPLODIA</i> DISEASE.....	154
1.4. LEAF SPOTS DISEASE.....	157
1.5. BENDING HEAD DISEASE	161
1.6. HEART AND TRUNK ROT DISEASE	164
1.7. BELAAT DISEASE	167
1.8. APICAL DRYING OF LEAVES.....	170
1.9. GRAPHIOLA LEAF SPOT.....	172
1.10. KHAMEDJ-INFLORESCENCES ROT.....	174
1.11. <i>OMPHALIA</i> ROOT ROT	177
1.12. FRUIT ROT.....	180
2. PHYTOPLASMIC DISEASES	184
2.1. LETHAL YELLOWING DISEASE.....	184

2.2. <i>AL-WIJAM</i> DISEASE	188
3. DISEASES WITH UNDETERMINED CAUSAL AGENTS.....	192
3.1. FAROUN DISEASE	192
3.2. BRITTLE LEAF DISEASE	195
CHAPTER VII : FIELD OPERATIONS IN DATE PALM AND THEIR IMPORTANCE FOR REDUCING PEST INFESTATION	210

Contributors:

Salim Al-Khatiri, *Plant Protection Research Centre, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman.*

Abdul Moneim Al-Shawaf, *Centre of Date Palm and Dates, Ministry of Environment, Water and Agriculture, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia*

Layal Atassi, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Cairo, Egypt*

Abdul-Sattar A. Ali, *Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Al-Anbar University, Al-Anbar, Iraq*

Azaiez Belgacem, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Dubai, UAE*

Mohamed Ben Salah, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Muscat, Oman*

Chandrashekhar Biradar, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Cairo, Egypt*

Maged Elsayed Ahmed Mohammed, *Agricultural Engineering Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, Egypt*

Mustapha El-Bouhssini, *International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Rabat, Morocco*

Khaled El-Shamaa, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Beirut, Lebanon*

Hamadttu A. F. El-Shafie, *Date Palm Research Center of Excellence, King Faisal University, Al- Ahsa, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*

Jose Romeno Faleiro, *Ex Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Goa, India*

Mohammed Zaidan Khalaf, *Integrated Pest Control Research Center, Agricultural Research, Directorate, Ministry of Science & Technology, Baghdad, Iraq*

Abdoul Aziz Niane, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Beirut, Lebanon*

Arash Nejatian, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Dubai, UAE*

Khalid Omer, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Cairo, Egypt*

Moulay Hassan Sedra, *Ex-director of Research at National Agricultural Research Institute, Marrakech, Morocco*

Murari Singh, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Amman, Jordan*

Abdul Nasser Trissi, *Aleppo University, Faculty of Agriculture, Aleppo, Syria.*

Claudia Toscano, *International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA), Cairo, Egypt*

About the Editors



Dr. Mustapha El Bouhssini completed his **B.S. in Plant Protection** (1980) at the National School of Agriculture, Meknes, Morocco. After working for three years at the National Institute of Agricultural Research, he joined **Kansas State University**, Manhattan, USA, where he earned his **M.S.** (1986) and **Ph.D** (1992) degrees. In 1992, he returned to Morocco and worked as an Entomologist until 1996 at the Dryland Agricultural Research Center in Settat, Morocco. In 1996 Mustapha joined the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), where he has been leading integrated pest management (IPM) program in North Africa, West and Central Asia (CWANA). Mustapha also serves as Adjunct Professor at the Entomology Department, Kansas State University, since December 2005. About two decades of dedicated work has yielded significant contributions to the development of IPM options that are now being increasingly used in CWANA. The outcomes from this IPM work have been documented in refereed publications (110), *proceedings* (30), *newsletters and extension publications* (15), *books* (5) and *book chapters* (8), and *voluntary and invited presentations* (150 +) at international and regional meetings worldwide. He also serves as a reviewer for a number of entomology and plant protection journals. He has been heavily involved in human resources development, including giving short-term training courses, mentoring individual trainees, and supervising graduate students work (20 MSc. 16Ph.D). Over 500 junior scientists and technicians benefited from these training courses, either at ICARDA headquarters or in mandate countries. He has also participated in preparing teaching and practical educational materials, including lecture notes, manuals, leaflets, and field guides in English, French and Arabic. Based on his scientific achievements in the area of entomology, Mustapha has been recognized with a number of awards, including *Lifetime Achievement Award* in plant resistance to insects from the International Association of Plant Resistance to Insects (2018), Distinguished Scientist Award from the Entomological Society of America-International Branch (2014), Distinguished Alumnus Award from the Entomology Department, Kansas State University (2014), International Plant Protection Award of Distinction from the International Association for the Plant Protection Sciences (2007) and the ICARDA Scientist of the Year (1998).



Dr. Jose Romeno Faleiro obtained his **Ph.D** in Entomology from the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi during 1985 and specializes in tropical insect pest management. He began his professional career as Scientist (Entomology) during May, 1985 with the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR). He is renowned for his work on the Red Palm Weevil (RPW), which goes back over two decades, when he was deputed as a member of the Indian Technical Team on the control of RPW during 1993 for a period of five years by Government of India to the Ministry of Agriculture in Saudi Arabia, where he assisted in planning, implementing and supervising the first area-wide control program against RPW.

Over the years Dr. Faleiro has led Research Projects on IPM/RPW in India (ICAR) and Saudi Arabia (Food and Agriculture Organization of the UN and King Faisal University). He has also widely published his research on diverse aspects of IPM in internationally renowned peer reviewed Journals besides contributing book chapters, and presenting invited talks on RPW in several countries.

Since 2008, he has completed several consultancy assignments for FAO on RPW in different date producing countries of the Near East and North Africa, including Saudi Arabia, UAE, Yemen, Morocco, Libya, Tunisia, Mauritania, and Egypt. In recognition of his work on RPW in the date palm sector, Dr. Faleiro received the prestigious “Khalifa International Date Palm Award” from the Government of the United Arab Emirates during 2015.

Chapter III
**Geoinformatic Applications in Management of Pests
and Diseases**

Chapter III

Geoinformatic Applications in Management of Pests and Diseases

Chandrashekhar Biradar, Layal Atassi, Khalid Omer, Khaled El-Shamaa, Mustapha El-Bouhssini, Claudia Toscano, Arash Nejatian, and Azaiez Belgacem

International Center for Agricultural Research in Dry Areas (ICARDA)

Email: c.biradar@cgiar.org

1. Introduction

Global climate variability and change (and farming system) pose a serious threat to food and to nutritional security, with increased concerns of agricultural risks and vulnerability to pests and diseases. The nature and magnitude of the risks and threats to the vulnerability of crops to insect pests and diseases are not yet well-defined and uncertain in terms of spatio-temporal distributions under ever changing bio-physical and plant physiological conditions. This hinders the effective implementation of the pest management strategies and planning of integrated pest management (IPM). One of the foremost preventive measures is to map the vulnerability of crops to specific pests and diseases. The spatial and temporal hotspots are used to curb and to mitigate the risks in advance and/or to take action on already prevailing outbreaks in order to prevent further spread. At the larger scales, fundamental ecological concepts that address factors governing species distribution were often the foundation for the

development of pest risk and vulnerability maps at regional and global scales (Biradar et al., 2014). However, at local scales, such as farm level management of pests, the use of the regular and in-situ monitoring protocols is required to better understand the risks for the proper management at field scales. The spatial model combined geo-spatial climate data, crop and host phenology, persistence of pests and similarity conditions in conjunction with in-situ observations. This type of risk analysis helps to measure the persistence of the pests at a given time and location and the potential risks, vulnerability, and subsequent epidemic outbreaks in a given location.

Geoinformatics tools and technology (GIS, RS, GPS, modeling, web apps) can be used to collect, archive, analyze, and visualize pests and diseases efficiently and economically for the integrated pest and disease management practices. In this chapter, we outline the geoinformatics technology and its potential application in mapping, monitoring, and management of the pest risks to help with the effective implementation of the IPM. Managers/decision makers at farm level need to understand the variability of the risks at spatio-temporal scales and decide when and where to use IPM practices and relevant control measures to reduce the risk and economic damages.

2. Geoinformatics

Geospatial science, technology, and applications have become indispensable tools for modern day research, especially in studies on natural resources and sustainable agro-ecosystems. The recent proliferation of spatial data in agricultural research is due to: a) recent advances in satellite sensor technology, b) guaranteed availability of quality time-series data, c) open (free) access to high quality satellite sensor images, d) advances in processing and handling of large amounts of data, e) rapid increases in computational power, processing chain, and storage/archiving mechanisms, f) decreases in cost of proprietary software, and g) ease and increasing expertise in handling these complex datasets.

Over the last five years, there has also been an increase in the releases of high quality datasets into the public domain, resulting in greater use of spatial data and the development of machine learning algorithms for thematic research. This trend is likely to increase in the coming years and has ushered in a new era of ‘open access.’

2.1. Increased resolution

The recent development of advanced sensor technology (e.g., specific bands, red-edge, yellow bands), platforms (e.g., spaceborne, airborne, UAVs), satellite constellation, (e.g., increased orbital speed (WorldView2), multiple-clone satellites (RapidEye)), onboard capacity and grounding stations, etc. has opened a new era of remote sensing applications. Just three years ago, it was a dream to get detailed high-resolution images on a daily basis. Today, one can get satellite imagery on a near-real time basis at sub-meter level (<60cm) each day for any given location. The quality and details of the imagery and therefore of the inherent information has increased dramatically (Biradar et al., 2013). Simultaneously, software companies and open-access platforms are developing the necessary calibration and processing tools to make such information easily available to a range of end users.

2.2. Improved processing

Armed with increased computational power for faster image processing, better GIS infrastructure, and a host of tools, including new algorithms for modeling, the geoinformatics community can now study and characterize agricultural production systems at scales ranging from the field to global levels. One of the primary objectives of the system research is to develop detailed, baseline databases for different “action sites” in order to characterize and understand the current status and the extent of different production systems, in terms of land use and land cover types, as well as various processes such as land degradation, water use, etc. These databases will allow researchers and stakeholders to track the progress and assess the impact of various program interventions. For example, the capability to identify different land management units or production systems through their associated spectral properties is a major step forward in our ability to classify and monitor dryland systems.

Ameliorated computational storage, processing power, and automated machine learning algorithms have been playing a greater role in enhancing pixel-based image analysis of high resolution data acquired over complex and highly variable agro-ecosystems. Of course, there are still certain limitations associated with time-variant identical spectral characteristics among different land use and land cover types. However, the combined use of higher spatial, spectral, and temporal resolution images has enabled us to produce better thematic maps with higher classification accuracy.



Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the geoinformatic application in pest management (Biradar et al., 2017).

2.3. Decreased cost of operations

In the past, the operational cost of Geoinformatics was one of the major bottle necks in adopting the technology for a wide array of applications. A major portion of the cost used to be associated with the acquisition of satellite images, followed by the cyber infrastructure for processing and handling the satellite data, and the high prices of major software packages and expertise. However, such overhead costs have been declining in the last few years due to increased open access to data, open source programs and algorithms, decreasing costs of mass storage and increased computational efficiency.

This drastic reduction in the operational costs has led researchers to use geoinformatics tools and technology across wide areas of applications in agricultural research, starting from molecular level research to landscape level assessments, ensuring food and environmental security.

3. Approaches

There are several ways and approaches to map and monitor pest risk at field to landscape and regional level (Low et al., 2016). Here, we discuss geoinformatic approaches to mapping pest risks and associated parameters in order to better manage insect pests and diseases.

Farm managers, extension workers, and often farmers need to know the precise locations of insect pests or disease infestation/infection in their fields, how abundant they are, their direction and magnitude over time, etc. in order to make good timely decisions about control measures, use of chemicals, and deployment of the work force. Without timely and localized treatment, the insect populations can grow and cause substantial yield reduction and economic damage. Alternatively, if an excessive amount of chemicals and/or IPM practices is needlessly applied to areas that pose little to no threat of insect damage, then unnecessary spray costs and other disruptions can occur and, vice versa, failing to locate real risks in the control application. This could happen without knowledge of the location of the pests on the spatio-temporal scales. Taking these points into consideration, as well as size of the farm holdings, crop types, management network, and extension systems in place, one has to select a monitoring tool, which is cost effective and easy to use from data collection to visualization and decision making.

Evaluating the risk of a particular pest or disease can be done using the source of the information (point-based) or modelling of the ecological niche for a broader scale. The information intensive hotspot approach is one of the best and accurate methods to track and to quantify the risk hotspot at spatio-temporal scales. The following assumptions were used to identify these hotspots:

Risk is directly proportional to the presence of the vulnerable host (e.g., crop, date plum);

Risk associated with the presence of the virulent agent or pests (e.g., insects, viruses);

Risk is associated with climate and biophysical conditions (temperature and precipitation patterns) being similar to the area in which the pest/disease has been epidemic.

3.1. Ground truthing

Geo-tagging field data is essential for the risk assessment of several associated variables. The simple approach is to identify areas at higher risk than others. Certain factors need to be taken into consideration for risk analysis and management. One of the easy and economical options is to use smart phones or tablets, with GPS sensors, and/or to use a smart extension diary enabled with Google APIs. Google Earth high-resolution images as background images with GPS-enabled devices can be used to mark individual trees with decent position accuracy. Another approach is to use existing or already collected field survey data and to geo-tag those data with farm coordinates to GIS field boundary to assess at farm level.




Fig. 2. Geotagging of the field data to GIS field boundary.



Fig.3. Geo-tagging individual trees using mobile devices and apps.

3.2. Spatial modeling

3.2.1. In-situ Observations and Geo-tagging

A lack of geo-referenced information (GPS readings) in field surveys is one of the major limitations for developing risk models and potential risk analysis at spatio-temporal scales. In many instances, the conventionally collected field data will not have GPS coordinates. Sometimes, even if they are collected, they are collected as separate information with no common fields to be able to link to the main database. Furthermore, the collected data could have missing information or some error while entering the data in the field or after the survey, such as data entry errors, wrong GPS coordinates or format which lead to several errors such as displaced points, missing points, double entries, etc. Secondly null values are entered as zeros, which results in misleading information, such as either a particular field is visited or visited but with no records, or records are entered as zeros as parameters with no incident, etc.

Such errors affect the result of spatial statistics and data analysis. Thus, these errors should be detected and eliminated before further analysis in the GIS platform. Preventing such errors can be done by using developed applications and apps on smart phone and tablets with general or tailor-made survey forms or questionnaires to minimize the errors and also data collection efforts, which often saves over 80% of the data collection and post survey curation and analysis.

When all field observations either at point level (tree level) or farm level are tied to their location, their actual position on the ground with the help of GPS coordinates or auto-geotagging can bring a 3rd dimension to the data. This additional position info will help understand the spatial and temporal patterns and arrangements of the data. These geo-tagged data will help in developing GIS layers for spatial matrix analysis using various geo-statistical methods, the use of spatial-based methods allows us to consider issues of spatial error, relative location and proximity in the data, which may give us an insight into the problems and needs of investigation.

Measure of distribution

The simplest measure of the center of a distribution is the mean to the center, which is the direct spatial equivalent of the mean. The calculation of the mean center is similar to the calculation of the mean and involves estimating the average position of the data points with their positional information (geographic coordinates). The resulting means are the coordinates of the mean center of the distribution. In certain cases, there may be a need to consider more than just the average distribution of the data points. We might also want to take the other relative observation made at that location into account. For example, if we want to know the mean center of the infested date palm trees with a specific pest, we can use the weighted mean center of the given pest or all pests. The weighted mean center is where we weigh the calculation of the mean center using the observation at each point.

Basically, the x and y coordinates are weighted by the observations at each point. Such analysis will help in deploying appropriate IPM practices at the right place and time. For example, questions, such as where to place the pheromone traps and how many units are required etc., can be addressed by mapping hotspots of concentration (mean of the distribution) to place the traps.

Measuring dispersion

Another important measure is data dispersion, which measures how the data points in the datasets are spread around the center. Standard distance is a convenient measure of point dispersion. However, the spread about the mean center may vary in different directions. Thus, a standard deviational ellipse could be used to investigate whether there is an uneven spread in the data. This measure uses an ellipse to characterize the spread in the data, rather than the circle used in standard distance, so that it can account better for uneven directional variation. The ellipse used is centered on the mean center and is orientated such that its long axis is in the maximum direction of dispersal and the short axis (which is orthogonal to the long axis) is orientated in the minimum direction of dispersal. This parametric analysis will help to identify if there is a temporal pattern in the pest distribution. This temporal pattern will help design better IPM management practices in response to several environmental factors.

3.2.2. Hotspot analysis and risk mapping

Field data points or field boundary polygons create a map of statistically significant hotspots using GIS based hotspot matrix using Getis Gi statistics (ArcGIS; ESRI 2016). It evaluates the characteristics of the input feature class to produce optimal results. The analysis generates a spatial matrix using parameters derived from characteristics of field survey and input data. The optimized hotspot analysis in the GIS domain interrogates the data to obtain the settings that will yield optimal hotspot maps. Using the distribution of the weighted features, the tool will identify an appropriate scale of analysis.




Fig. 4. Hotspot spatial analysis in GIS domain

The corrected shapefile of the date palm data needs to be projected to any metric coordinate systems, such as transverse mercator or polyconic. This is because chordal distances are based on a sphere rather than the true oblate ellipsoid shape of the earth. Given any two points on the earth's surface, the chordal distance between them is the length of a line, passing through the three dimensional earth, to connect those two points. Then, the spatial analysis is run to identify statistically significant spatial clusters of high values (more risk) and low values (low risk). It automatically aggregates incident data, identifies an appropriate scale of analysis, and corrects for both multiple testing and spatial dependence. This tool interrogates your data in order to determine settings that will produce optimal hot spot analysis results.




Fig. 5. Pilot case study of the Al Khattem Farmers Service Center area, Abu Dhabi showing the maps of the infested, suspected and hotspot risk maps of the red palm weevil

4. Conclusion

Recent advances in geoinformatics tools with improved processing power and algorithms have opened a new era for mapping and managing agricultural production systems and associated resources. Today, it is possible to map and characterize the date palm production systems more precisely through enhanced availability of high resolution remotely sensed data, increased use of the location analytics, GPS, mobile gadgets with institutional adoption of open-access data policies, and improved big-data analytics and web-GIS tools. These will allow better access to the knowledge needed by researchers, policy makers, and other stakeholders at the right time for a better integration of the several management

practices and IPM packages. These tools will enrich an enormous amount of the field data collected over the years for designing better management practices while minimizing economic losses and ecological damages (ICARDA, 2017). The mapping of the potential risks, current and future trends and patterns will help to draw a quantitative description of the various components needed to improve overall system sustainability and productivity. It will also lead to the development and monitoring of the key indicators across a range of cross-cutting activities needed for improved pest and diseasemanagement, agronomic, and cultural practices. The resulting outcomes will lead to improved and more efficient production systems.

References

- Biradar, C.M., Thenkabail, P.S., Noojipady, P., Yuanjie, L., Dheeravath, V., Velpuri, M., Turrall, H., Gumma, M.K., Reddy, O.G.P., Xueliang, L. C., Xiao, X., Schull, M.A., Alankara, R.D., Gunasinghe, S., and Mohideen, S. 2009. A global map of rainfed cropland areas (GMRCA) at the end of last millennium using remote sensing. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 11: 114–129.
- Biradar, C., Tulaymat, M., Kumari, S., Damte, T., Andaloussi, F., Moussadek, R., Lhaloui, S., Ramdani, M., Bencheqroun, S., Ziyaev, Z., Abraham, A., Bekele, B., Denbel, W., Seid, A., El-Bouhssini, M., and Aw-Hassan, A. 2014. Mapping vulnerability of agriculture to pests and disease risks under changing climate in dry areas. *Arab Journal of Plant Protection*, 32:142-143.
- Biradar, C., Payne, Xiao, X., and Gowda, P. H., 2013. Role of Geoinformatics in ensuing global food security with special reference to dryland agro-ecosystems. In proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Agro-Geoinformatics 2013. August 12-16, 2013. Fairfax, VA, USA.
- Biradar, C., Atassi, L., Omer, K., El-Shama, K., El-Bouhssini, M., Toscano, C., Nejatian, A. and Belgacem, A. 2017. Geo-ICTs for mapping and managing of pests and diseases risks in date palm. GFIA, 2017. March 20, 2017, Abu Dhabi, UAE.
- ESRI, 2016. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute.
- FAO, 2016. The State of Food and Agriculture 2016, Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security. Rome.
- ICARDA 2017. Mapping and Monitoring Insect Pests and Disease Risks in Date Palms. <http://geoagro.icarda.org/datepalm/index.html>
- Low, F., Waldner, F., Latchinsky, A., Biradar, C., Bolkart, M. and Colditz, R.R. 2016. Timely monitoring of Asian Migratory locust habitats in the Amudarya delta, Uzbekistan, using time series of satellite remote sensing vegetation index. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 183, pp. 562-575.

Index

A

abamectin, 64, 69, 134
 Abdal Rahman, 172
 abdomen, 76, 93, 104, 117, 124
 acaricides, 128, 134, 135
Acetobacter sp., 179
Acoelorrhaphe wrightii, 186
Acoelorrhaphe wrightii, 171
 actinomyceta, 144
Adonidia sp., 184
 Agaricales, 176
 aggregation, 83
Aguelid, 147
 Ahmar, 145, 178, 193
Aiphanes sp., 184
 Akerbouch, 145
 Akhouat Alig, 196
 Al-Amal, 145
 alcohol, 130, 131, 143, 150, 194
 Al-Faïda, 145
 Alfalfa, 143, 188
Allagoptera sp., 184
Alternaria alternata, 156, 158, 159, 174, 179
Alternaria sp, 169, 170, 179, 180, 181, 182, 212
 Amhat, 152
 Amitraz, 134
 angulatin, 99, 100
 antagonistic microorganisms, 144
 antennae, 75, 104, 124
 antixenosis, 58
 antrachnose, 156
Aphanogmus sp., 98
 Apical Drying of Leaves, 7, 169
 apricot, 144
Aprostocetus sp, 98
 Araneae, 98
Archontophoenix alexandrae, 186
Areca catechu, 52, 164, 206
 Arecaceae, 125
Arenga pinnata, 171
Arenga saccharifera, 52
Arenga sp., 184

arthropods, 14, 135
 Ascomycetes, 156
 asexual spores, 149
 asexual stage, 163
 Ashrasi, 172
Aspergillus flavus, 179, 181
Aspergillus japonicas, 179
Aspergillus niger, 179, 181, 182
Aspergillus ochraceus, 179, 182
Aurobasidium sp., 179
 Aziza, 140
 Aziza Bouzid, 140

B

Bacillus sp., 144
Bacillus thuringiensis, 108, 112
 bacteria, 58, 108, 144, 163, 179, 180, 184, 194
 Baklany, 152
 Banks grass mite, 124
 Barhee, 172
 Barhi, 145, 158
 Basal Leaf Rot, 153
 basidiomycetes, 183
 Basidiomycetes, 171, 176
Batrachedra amydraula, 21, 104, 111, 112
 Bayoud, 7, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 150, 162, 169, 198, 199, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207
Beauveria bassiana, 18, 58, 68, 70, 71, 72, 88, 120
 Bela disease, 166, 209
 Bermuda grass, 125, 133
 Berni, 140
 Besser, 145, 152, 196
 Bethyridae, 108
Bipolaris australiensis, 156
Bismarckia nobilis, 52
 Black boushammi, 162
 Black Boushammi, 145, 152
 black Faroun, 191, 192
 black flat mite, 125
 blackening, 149, 150, 151, 176, 191, 192
 blight lesions, 157, 158

- Bocchus hyalinus*, 98
Borassus sp., 184
 borers, 74, 76, 85, 88, 90, 91, 92, 216
 boron, 183, 213
 Bostrichidae, 74
Botryodiplodia sp., 179, 180, 181
Botryodiplodia theobromae, 139, 153, 156, 157, 158, 160, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167
 Boufeggous, 140, 145, 175
 Boufeggous Moussa, 145
 Boufeggous, 152
 Bouittob, 140
 Bourar, 140
 Bourihane, 145
 Bouskri, 140, 145, 152
 Bouzid, 140
Bracon hebetor, 108
Bracon sp., 108, 110
Bracon sp., 108
Bracon spp., 108, 110
 Braconidae, 108
Brahea armata, 52
Brahea edulis, 164
 Bream, 172
 Brem, 79, 86
 Brestan, 178
Brevipalpus phoenicis, 125
 Brittle Leaf Disease, 8, 194, 207
 Brown date palm pinnae mite, 125
 brown leaf spot, 156, 157, 158
Butia capitata, 52
Butia odorata, 171
 butyric fermentation, 167
- C**
- Calamus merrillii*, 52
 calcium, 58, 212
 callus, 142
 calyx, 126, 179, 181
 Canary Island date palm, 125, 163, 164, 166, 167, 171
Candidatus Phytoplasma palmae, 183, 187, 190
 capitulum, 124
 carbamates, 64, 120, 134
 carbaryl, 64
 carbon disulphide, 178
 carbonaceous lesions, 150
 carbonate, 154
Carpentaria acuminata, 186
Caryota cumingii, 52
Caryota sp., 184
Caryota spp., 164
 catalase, 58, 68
 cells, 126, 142, 155, 194
 Cerambycidae, 52, 74, 76
 Ceraphronidae, 98
Ceratocystis paradoxa, 149, 160
Chaetosphaeria sp., 156, 159
Chalara paradoxa, 160, 163, 165, 166, 206
Chalara radiculicola, 149, 206
Chamaerops humilis, 52
Chamaerops humilis, 171
 charring, 149, 150, 151, 176, 191, 192
Cheilomenes sexmaculata, 98
Chelyocarpus sp., 184
 chlamydospores, 146, 149, 150, 152, 159, 162, 163, 164, 166, 167, 168, 176, 206
 chlorosis, 188, 194
 chlorpyrifos, 58, 64, 120
 chromosomes, 135
Chrysalidocarpus lutescens, 186
Chrysopa spp, 108
Chrysoperla carnea, 98, 134
 Chrysopidae, 98, 134
Chrysopogon zizanioides L., 143
Citromyces sp., 179
Cladosporium herbarum, 156, 158, 159
Cladosporium sp., 179, 180, 181
 Coccinellidae, 98, 134
Coccothrinax argentata, 171
 cocoon, 56, 104, 106
Cocos nucifera, 52, 164, 171, 187, 200
Cocus nucifera, 161, 184
 Cogon grass, 125
 Coleoptera, 18, 50, 52, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 76, 88, 92, 98
Colletotrichum sp., 156
Colletotricum sp., 159
 conidia, 88, 152, 153, 154, 155, 159, 162, 166, 176

Convolvulaceae, 125
Convolvulus arvensis, 125
Copernicia sp., 184
copper, 152, 154, 158, 168, 172, 175, 213
Corypha sp., 184
Corypha utan, 52
Cosmopterigidae, 104
Crazy disease, 149
cryolite, 111
Crysophila sp., 184
Cucumis melo, 125
Cucurbitaceae, 125
cumulative, 33, 34, 131
cupric hydroxide, 172
curative, 17, 57, 63, 134, 135, 168
Cydnoeius negevi, 134
Cynodon dactylon, 125
cypermethrin, 64
Cyphophoenix sp., 184

D

Daraouia, 145
Decis®, 99, 100
Deglet, 136, 139, 141, 144, 145, 152, 154, 178, 180, 196, 199
Deglet Noor, 136, 141, 144, 145, 152, 154, 199
deltamethrin, 99, 100
Dematiaceae, 149, 156, 157, 160, 163, 169, 173
deutochrysalis, 129
deutonymph, 129
Dexon, 178
dichlone, 152, 175
dichlorvos, 99, 100
dichotomous, 33
Dictyosperma sp., 184
dimorphism, 124
Diplodia disease, 147, 153, 155
Diplodia natalensis, 153
Diplodia phoenicum, 153, 155
disinfectant, 151, 154
Drechslera australiensis, 156, 158
Drechslera sp., 159
Dry basal rot, 149

Dryinidae, 98
Dubas, 7, 17, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 103
durra, 125
dust mite, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 134, 135, 136, 217
dwarfism, 149, 150, 191, 193
Dynastinae, 74, 88, 92
Dypsis lutescens, 171
Dypsis sp., 184

E

Economic threshold, 16, 17
Eggplant, 125
Elæis guineensis, 52
Elaeis guineensis, 161, 164
Electrap™, 60, 62, 68
Elsan 50 EC, 99, 100
elytra, 88
emulsifiable concentrate, 99
Endocomidia, 152
endoconidia, 149
eradication, 54, 57, 63, 66, 71, 142, 186, 189, 192, 195, 196, 205
esfenvalerate, 99, 100
ethyl acetate, 67, 68, 150
Ethyl acetate, 59
ethylene oxide, 178
etofenprox, 99, 100
Eulophidae, 98
Eutetranychus banksi, 125
Eutetranychus palmatus, 125
extraction, 131, 214

F

false Bayoud, 140
false smut, 171, 197
Faraoun disease, 177, 192
farmer field schools, 109
Faroun disease, 8, 191, 192, 193
Fecundity, 97
fenitrothion, 64, 99
fermentation, 105, 167
fibrilluim, 212

Field bindweed, 125
 fipronil, 64, 120, 122
 formulations, 61, 109, 120
 Frond borer, 74, 76, 79, 81
 Fruit Rot, 7, 179
 Fteemy, 152
 fumigation, 34, 144, 200
 fungi, 58, 70, 88, 92, 120, 122, 138, 144, 149,
 153, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 163, 165,
 169, 173, 179, 180, 182, 192, 194, 199,
 212, 213, 216
Fusarium lateritium, 179
Fusarium moniliforme, 160, 173, 176, 182,
 213, 214
Fusarium oxysporum, 138, 140, 143, 144,
 146, 198, 199, 200, 202, 205, 206, 207
Fusarium oxysporum f., 138, 140, 143, 146,
 198, 199, 200, 202, 207
Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. *albedinis*, 138,
 140, 146, 199, 202
Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. *canariensis*, 143
Fusarium solani, 169, 201
Fusarium sp., 144, 179
Fusarium sp., 144, 179
Fusarium spp, 163, 169, 179
Fusarium Wilt, 7, 138

G

Ganoderma zonatum, 183
 Gantar, 152
Gaussia sp., 184
 gene, 58, 72, 185, 186, 194
 Gene silencing, 58
 Geographic Information System, 60
 Geoinformatics, 40, 41, 42, 48
 Geotagging, 44
Geotrichum roseum, 179
Geotrichum sp., 179, 182
 Gizaz, 172
Gliocladium sp., 144
Gliocladium spp., 163, 166
Gliocladium vermoeseni, 163, 166
 gnathosoma, 124
 Gondi, 145
Graphiola, 7, 171, 172, 173, 197, 202, 206

Graphiola leaf spot, 171, 202, 206
Graphiola phoenicis, 171, 173, 197
 Green lacewing, 134

H

Habrocytus, 108
 Halawy, 145, 152
 Haloo, 152
 Halooa, 152
Haplaxius crudus, 183, 185, 187
 hatching, 94, 96, 104
 Hayani, 152
 head, 74, 75, 93, 124, 149, 160, 162, 183
 Heart rot, 149
Helminthosporium sp, 156, 157, 158, 159,
 179, 180
 hemiplegia, 138, 139, 141
 hemiplegic, 146, 148
 Hemiptera, 93, 102, 103, 185, 187, 189
 Henna, 143
 heterogeneity, 28, 30, 32
Heterorhabditis bacteriophora, 22
 hexythiazox, 134
 homogeneous, 23, 32
 Horra, 145, 152
Howea sp., 184
 Hymenoptera, 98, 102, 108
 Hymexazole, 143
Hyophorbe sp., 184
Hypoaspis rhinocerotis, 88
Hypoaspis sp, 90

I

idiosoma, 124
 Idrar, 140
 Iklane, 145, 162
 imidacloprid, 64, 69, 71
in vitro, 142, 144
 incidence, 50, 57, 150, 151, 153, 157, 161,
 164, 167, 169, 172, 174, 177, 180, 184,
 188, 189, 192, 195
 incubation, 84, 96, 157, 161, 172
 Inflorescence blight, 149
 inflorescences, 173, 174, 175, 176, 183, 215

inflorescences rot, 173, 174, 176
 inoculum, 150, 164, 175
 instars, 17, 56, 84, 93, 94, 96, 97
 intensity, 121, 150, 153, 157, 161, 164, 167,
 169, 172, 174, 177, 184, 188, 190, 192,
 195
 iron, 213
 Iteema, 172

J

Jebusaea hammerschmidtii, 74, 75, 76, 79,
 80, 81, 83
Jebusea hammerschmidtii, 3, 52
 Jihel, 140, 145, 152
 Jouzi, 172
 juveniles, 22, 28, 29, 30, 31, 125

K

Kenka, 145
 Kentichi, 145
 Khadrawy, 145, 175
 Khalal, 125, 128, 179, 180, 181, 182, 188
Khalt, 196
 Khamedj, 7, 173
 Khamej disease, 176
 Khastawi, 111, 172
 Khastawy, 145
 Khisab, 172
 Kimri, 125, 127
 Kinta, 196
 Kintichi, 196
 Koroch, 152
 Kryocide, 111

L

Lady bird beetle, 134
 Lahlou, 145
 Lamdina, 145
 landscape, 42, 43, 64, 65, 186, 210
 larva, 56, 74, 76, 81, 84, 105, 126, 129
 larvae parasitoids, 110
 larval chrysalis, 129

Lasiodiplodia theobromae, 163, 165, 166,
 200
Latania sp., 184
Lawsonia inermis L., 140
 Leaf black scorch, 149
 Leaf black spot, 149
 leaf spots, 156, 157, 158, 159
 Lepidoptera, 104, 111, 112, 220
 Lesser Date Moth, 7, 104
 lethal decline, 184, 188
 Lethal yellowing, 183, 200, 201
 light cloth, 107
 Light trapping, 84
Livistona alfredii, 172
Livistona australis, 52
Livistona chinensis, 172
Livistona sp., 184
 Longevity, 97
 Lucerne, 143
 lures, 60, 61

M

Mabrouk, 145
Mackiella phoenicis, 125
 macroconidia, 146
Macrophoma phoenicum, 153
Macrosporium sp., 179
 magnesium, 212
 Maktoom, 172
Maladie des Feuilles Cassantes, 194, 207
 malathion, 99, 182
 Malathion®, 99
 mancozeb, 158
 Mancozeb, 152
 maneb, 162, 172
 Maneb, 158
 manganese, 194, 195, 196, 213
 Matrine, 111
Mauginiella scaetae, 173, 213
Mauginiella scaettae, 173, 176, 179, 180, 197
Mauginiella scattae Cav., 214
Medicago sativa, 140, 187, 188
 Medjool, 140
 Mejhool, 140, 144, 145, 152, 158, 174, 175,
 180

Menakher, 152
 metalaxyl, 168
 metam sodium, 144
Metarhizium anisopliae, 70, 88, 120
 metham sodium, 178
 methyl thiophanate, 152, 175
 methylthiophanate, 154, 162
 Methylthiophanate, 158, 170
 Methyl-thiophanate, 143
Metroxylon sagu, 52
 microconidia, 146
 mites, 5, 58, 88, 125, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 136
 Mites, 7, 90, 123, 124, 135
 modeling, 21, 33, 40, 41, 45, 51, 70
 molecular markers, 138, 139, 140, 142, 204
 molybdenum, 213
 Moniliales, 138, 149, 156, 157, 160, 163, 169, 173
 Moussa, 145
 Mozafati, 79
 Muskmelon, 125
 Mycoplasma, 185
Mycosphaerella tassiana, 156
 Mycosphaerellaceae, 156

N

Najda, 145
Nannorrhops sp., 184
 necrosis, 149, 150, 153, 154, 160, 177, 183
 neem oil, 134
 nematodes, 21, 22, 28, 29, 32, 58, 67, 72, 88, 120, 192, 194
Neodeightonia phoenicum, 153
 neonicotinoids, 64
Neoseiulus barkeri, 134
Neoseiulus californicus, 134
 Neuroptera, 98
 Nitidulidae, 105
 nitrogen, 212
 Nitrogen, 212
 Nogos 50 EC, 99
 Nogos® 50 EC, 99, 100
 Noor, 136, 141, 144, 145, 152, 154, 199

O

Oligonychus afrasiaticus, 124, 125, 126, 128, 130, 134, 135, 136, 218
 oligophagous, 95, 125
Oligosita sp., 98, 101
 olive, 144, 194
Ommatissus lybicus, 93, 100, 101, 102, 103
Omphalia root rot, 176, 177, 178, 198
Omphalia Root Rot, 7, 176
Oncosperma horrida, 52
 Oomycetes, 166
 oospores, 167, 168
 organophosphates, 64
Oryctes agamemnon, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 82, 83, 84, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92
Oryctes elegans, 74, 79, 83, 92
Oryctes spp, 75, 76, 79, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 88
 Oudemans, 88
 overwintering sites, 132
 oviposition, 53, 57, 58, 64, 85, 95, 97, 107, 217
 ovipositor, 56
 oxychloride, 152, 172, 175
 oxytetracycline, 186, 190, 201

P

Paecilomyces sp., 179
Parasierola sp, 108
Parasierola swirskiana, 108
 parasitoids, 98, 108, 110, 112
Paratetranychus simplex, 125
Parlatoria blanchardi L., 217
Pediobius sp, 108
Penicillium sp, 144, 179, 181, 182
Penicillium sp., 144, 179, 181, 182
 Perenosporaceae, 166
 Perenosporales, 166
Pestalotia palmarum, 156
Pestalotiopsis palmarum, 156, 157, 158, 159
Phanerotoma sp., 108
Pharoscyrmus avoideus, 134
Pharoscyrmus numidicus, 134
 phenology, 40, 133
 phenthoate, 99, 100

phenylpyrazoles, 64
 Pherolite type traps, 109
 pheromone, 46, 50, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 65, 67, 68, 69, 71, 73, 83, 109, 110
Phoenix, 3, 5, 18, 19, 50, 52, 67, 69, 71, 103, 122, 125, 136, 138, 140, 150, 153, 157, 161, 164, 167, 169, 171, 174, 177, 180, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 191, 195, 197, 198, 199, 201, 202, 203, 204, 206, 207, 220
Phoenix africanus, 164
Phoenix canariensis, 52, 69, 71, 125, 140, 164, 167, 171
Phoenix dactylifera L., 3, 5, 18, 19, 50, 67, 122, 136, 138, 197, 198, 204, 206, 207, 220
Phoma sp., 159, 169, 170, 212
Phoma spp., 156
Phomopsis sp., 156, 159
Phonapate frontalis, 74, 76, 79, 80, 81
 phosphate, 212
 phosphorus, 212
 photoelectric traps, 107
 Phycomycetes, 166
 phycoplast, 192
Phytophthora palmivora, 168
Phytophthora spp., 166, 209
 phytoplasma, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 197, 198, 199, 200
 Phytoseiidae, 134
Phytoseiulus persimilis, 134
Piezodorus pallescens, 191
 plantlets, 142
 plastic net, 107
 Poaceae, 125
 pollen, 108, 109, 174, 175, 213, 214
 pollination, 107, 109, 110, 175, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 219, 220, 221
 polyram thiram, 152
 potassium, 183, 212
 predators, 108, 119, 129, 133, 134, 136
 Predatory mite, 134
Prestoea acuminata, 172
 preventive, 40, 57, 63, 134, 135, 143, 144, 164, 168, 175, 177, 180, 181, 190
 primers, 138

Pritchardia pacifica, 52
Pritchardia sp., 184
 prophylactic, 63, 64, 142
 prostigmata, 124
 protochrysalis, 129
 protonymph, 129
Pseudoligosita babylonica, 98, 102
Pseudomonas, 144
Pseudomonas fluorescent, 144
Pseudomonas sp., 144
 Pseudosphaeriales, 156
Pteromalus sp., 108
Ptychosperma elegans, 186
Ptychosperma macarthurii, 186
 pupal, 56, 83
 pustules, 153, 154, 171, 172, 173
 pycnidia, 154, 163, 164
 Pyrenomycetes, 156
 pyrethroids, 64
 Pyrethrum® 5 EC, 99, 100
 Pyrethroid, 109

Q

Quarantine, 64, 145
 quiescent, 129

R

random, 33, 107, 131
Raoiella indica, 125
Raphis sp., 164
Ravena rivularis, 52
Ravena sp., 184
 Red palm mite, 125
 resistance, 5, 14, 58, 70, 86, 108, 132, 133, 135, 142, 145, 149, 152, 154, 158, 162, 165, 168, 170, 172, 175, 178, 182, 186, 190, 193, 196, 205, 209, 210
Rhabdits blumi, 88
Rhapis sp., 150
 rhinoceros, 74, 76, 77, 78, 84, 92
Rhizoctonia sp., 144
Rhizopertha dominica, 22, 34
 rhizoplane, 144
 rhizosphere, 144, 177, 178, 194

Rhynchophorus ferrugineus, 3, 15, 50, 51, 67,
68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 205, 209, 220,
221
Roystonea elata, 164
Roystonea regia, 52, 172, 186
Runcinia sp., 98
Rutab, 125, 218

S

Sabal minor, 172
Sabal palmetto, 164, 172, 186
Sabal umbraculifera, 52
Saccharomyces sp., 179
Saccharum officinarum, 125
Saccharum spontaneum, 150
Saidy, 152, 199
Sair, 145
Sairlayalate, 145
Sancassania sp., 88
saprophyte, 140
Scarabaeidae, 74, 92
Scarabidae, 88, 92
Sedrat, 145
sex ratio, 96
smut fungus, 171
Solanaceae, 125
Solanum melongena, 125
solar light trap, 84, 85
solarization, 144, 145, 200
Somi Alfa®, 99, 100
Somicomdi Alfa®, 99
Somithion, 99
Sorghum, 125
Sorghum bicolor, 125
Soukani, 145
Sphaerioidaceae, 153, 160, 163
Sphaeropsidales, 153, 160, 163
Spider mite, 125
spiders, 108, 119
Spinosad, 111, 112
sporangia, 167, 168
spore, 88, 138, 158, 172, 179, 182
Stachybotrys sp., 144
Steinernema feltiae, 22
Stem bending, 149

Stemphylium botryosum, 179, 182
Stemphylium sp., 156, 159
Stethorus punctillum, 134
Strionemadiploia phoenicum, 153
Sugar cane, 125
Sukkar Nabat, 152
sulfur, 134, 135, 212
sulphate, 152, 154, 175
summer oil, 111
Syagrus romanzoffiana, 52, 172
Syagrus romanzoffianum, 186
Syagrus sp., 184
Sygarus romanzoffinia, 164

T

Tadala, 172
Tadmainte, 145
Takakt, 163
Takerbouchte, 145
talc, 214
Terminal bud, 149
Tetranychidae, 124, 136
Thiamethoxam, 90
Thielaviopsis (Chalara) paradoxa, 149
Thielaviopsis bud rot, 149
Thielaviopsis paradoxa, 149, 152, 156, 157,
158, 160, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167, 173,
176, 179, 180, 181, 182, 191, 200, 206,
213, 214
Thielaviopsis punctulata, 149, 160, 197
thiram, 152, 154, 162, 175
Thomisidae, 98
Thoory, 152
thorax, 56, 74, 93, 124
Thrinax morrisii, 172, 186
Tiguedert, 193
Tijeb, 145
Tinterguel, 178, 193
tissues, 84, 95, 140, 142, 149, 152, 153, 154,
155, 160, 161, 164, 165, 167, 170, 174,
191, 194
tolerance, 3, 33, 34, 108, 150, 172, 202
Tozeur Zaid, 196
Tracer, 111
Trachycarpus fortune, 52

Trachycarpus sp., 184

Treon®, 99, 100

Trichoderma sp., 144

Trichoderma sp., 144

Trichogramma evanescens, 112

Trichogramma sp., 108

Tricholomataceae, 176

Tropiduchidae, 93, 101, 102, 103

Trunk rot, 149

Tuberculariaceae, 138, 160, 163, 173

U

Ustaomran, 79, 86

V

Veitchia sp., 184

vertebrates, 58

Vertimec, 134

virus, 111

viruses, 43, 58

W

Washingtonia filifera, 52, 164

Washingtonia robusta, 161, 172, 186

wasp, 108

weevils, 51, 52, 53, 56, 57, 58, 59, 63, 67, 72, 217

White Bousthammi, 145

White Faroun, 191

wings, 76, 93, 104, 117, 124

Wodyetia bifurcata, 186

X

Xylariales, 156

Y

yeast, 58, 180

Yellow date palm mite, 125

Z

Zahdi, 152, 172, 175, 220

Zahidi, 145

zinc, 213

zineb, 172

zoosporangia, 168

zoospores, 167, 168