

International Center for Agricultural Research

in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)

www.icarda.cgiar.org

Arabian Peninsula Regional Program (APRP)

# An Agroecological Exploration of the Arabian Peninsula

**Eddy De Pauw** 

December 2001 APRP Financed By :







IFAD



OPEC

### About APRP, ICARDA and the CGIAR



YRASEL

Au Man Di

APRP

Arabian Peninsula Regional Program (APRP) serves the seven countries of the Arabian Peninsula, namely Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Sultanate of Oman, United Arab Emirates, and Republic of Yemen. The Program addresses three priority themes (i) rangelands and forage; (ii) protected agriculture; (iii) water resources management. The three major themes are supported by research in agroecological characterisation and stress physiology. Emphases are also given to institutional strengthening and capacity building, human resource development, information technology and its transfer.

APRP is supported financially by the Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development (AFESD) and the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) and more recently the OPEC Fund for International Development.



Established in 1977, the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) is governed by an independent Board of Trustees. Based at Aleppo, Syria, it is one of 16 Centers supported by the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR).

ICARDA serves the entire developing world for the improvement of lentil, barley and faba bean; all dry-area developing countries for the improvement of

on-farm water-use efficiency, rangeland and small-ruminant production; and the Central and West Asia and North Africa region for the improvement of bread and durum wheats, chickpea, and farming systems. ICARDA's research provides global benefits of poverty alleviation through productivity improvements integrated with sustainable natural-resource management practices. ICARDA meets this challenge through research, training, and dissemination of information in partnership with the national agricultural research and development systems.

The results of research are transferred through ICARDA's cooperation with national and regional research institutions, with universities and ministries of agriculture, and through the technical assistance and training that the Center provides. A range of training programs is offered extending from residential courses for groups to advanced research opportunities for individuals. These efforts are supported by seminars, publications, and specialized information services.



The CGIAR is an international group of representatives of donor agencies, eminent agricultural scientists, and institutional administrators from developed and developing countries who guide and support its work. The CGIAR receives support from a wide variety of country and institutional members worldwide. Since its foundation in 1971, it has brought together many of the world's leading scientists and agricultural researchers in a unique South-North partnership to and hunger

reduce poverty and hunger.

The mission of the CGIAR is to promote sustainable agriculture to alleviate poverty and hunger and achieve food security in developing countries. The CGIAR conducts strategic and applied research, with its products being international public goods, and focuses its research agenda on problem-solving through interdisciplinary programs implemented by one or more of its international centers, in collaboration with a full range of partners. Such programs concentrate on increasing productivity, protecting the environment, saving biodiversity, improving policies, and contributing to strengthening agricultural research in developing countries.

The World Bank, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) are cosponsors of the CGIAR. The World Bank provides the CGIAR System with a Secretariat in Washington, DC. A Technical Advisory Committee, with its Secretariat at FAO in Rome, assists the System in the development of its research program.

# An agroecological exploration of the Arabian Peninsula

by

Eddy De Pauw



### International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas

#### © 2002 International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)

#### All rights reserved.

#### ICARDA encourages the fair use of this material. Proper citation is requested.

**The author:** Eddy De Pauw is Agroecologist at the International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA).

**Recommended Citation:** De Pauw, E. 2002. An agroecological exploration of the Arabian Peninsula. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, 77 pp.

**ISBN:** 92-9127-119-5

Key words: agroecology, desertification, GIS, Arabian Peninsula, arid zones

#### Front cover photograph

Landscape in Ahfara, Fujairah, United Arab Emirates. The relative lushness of the vegetation is the result of above-normal rainfall. Pronounced rainfall variability, both in space and time, is a key characteristic of climate in the Arabian Peninsula, and responsible for tremendous variations in rangeland productivity.

ICARDA P.O.Box 5466, Aleppo, Syria Tel.: (963-21) 2213433, 2225112, 2225012 Fax: (963-21) 2213490 / 2225105 / 2219380 E-Mail: ICARDA@CGIAR.ORG Web site: http://www.icarda.cgiar.org

# Contents

For	ewor	d	iii			
Ack	cnow	ledgements	iv			
Abs	stract		v			
1. 2.	Intro Hun	oduction nan geography	1 3			
3.	Reli	ef and geomorphology	5			
4.		late Concret	11			
	4.1.	Broginitation	10			
	4.Z.	4.2.1. Tymes and amounts	12			
		4.2.1. Types and amounts	12			
		4.2.2. Veriability	12			
	13	Temperature	14			
	ч.5, ДД	Evaporation and water deficit	22			
	ч.т.	4.4.1 Potential evapotranspiration	22			
		4.4.7 Aridity	22			
	45	Agroclimatic natterns	25			
	1.21	4.5.1 Agroclimatic zones	25			
		4.5.2. Similarity of climatic conditions	27			
	4.6.	Climatic growing period	3.5			
		4.6.1. Types of growing period	35			
		4.6.2. Duration and onset of the growing period	38			
	4.7.	Biomass productivity and climate	39			
5.	Soils of the Arabian Peninsula					
	5.1.	General soil pattern	44			
	5.2.	Soil management properties	49			
		5.2.1. Soil texture	49			
		5.2.2. Soil depth and stoniness	51			
		5.2.3. Soil fertility indicators	53			
	5.3.	60				
6.	Agri	cultural production systems	60			
7.	Rese	earch priorities in agroecological characterization	65			
	7.1.	Regional assessment of crop water requirements	65			
	7.2. Agroecological zoning 6					

	7.3. Improved climate monitoring	67
8.	Methods and data sources	68
	8.1. General maps	68
	8.2. Relief and geomorphology	68
	8.3. Climatic maps	68
	8.4. Soil maps	75
	8.5. Land use and cover maps	75
Re	ferences	76

.

# List of figures

Figure 1	Arabian Peninsula: General	4
Figure 2	Population density	6
Figure 3	Altitude	7
Figure 4	Elevation range and geomorphological regions	8
Figure 5	Wadi network in the northwest of the Arabian Peninsula	
	(Note: Wadis shown as blue lines. Elevation range is the	
	same as in Figure 3)	10
Figure 6	Mean annual precipitation (mm)	13
Figure 7a	Seasonal distribution of precipitation. winter	15
Figure 7b	Seasonal distribution of precipitation. spring	15
Figure 7c	Seasonal distribution of precipitation. summer	15
Figure 7d	Seasonal distribution of precipitation, autumn	15
Figure 8	Variability of annual rainfall, Muscat, Oman (1893-1978)	16
Figure 9	Probability distribution of annual rainfall, Muscat,	
	Oman (1893-1978)	16
Figure 10	Variability of monthly rainfall, Muscat, Oman (1893-1978)	17
Figure 11	Areas of the Arabian Peninsula with mean annual	
	temperature exceeding 30°C (in red), or below 20°C	
	(in magenta)	18
Figure 12	Mean annual temperature	19
Figure 13	Climate diagram for Salalah, Oman	20
Figure 14	Mean temperature of the coldest month	20
Figure 15	Mean temperature of the warmest month	20
Figure 16	Mean annual heat units	21
Figure 17	Mean annual potential evapotranspiration (mm)	23
Figure 18	Aridity index	24

Figure 19	Annual precipitation deficit (mm)	24
Figure 20	Winter types (blue: cool; green: mild; yellow: warm)	26
Figure 21	Summer types (green: mild; yellow: warm; red: very warm)	27
Figure 22	Agroclimatic zones (classified according to UNESCO, 1979)	28
Figure 23a	Station representative of agroclimatic zone A-M-W: Abha,	
C	Saudi Arabia	29
Figure 23b	Station representative of agroclimatic zone HA-M-VW:	
e	Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia	29
Figure 23c	Station representative of agroclimatic zone A-W-VW:	
2	El Kod, Yemen	29
Figure 23d	Station representative of agroclimatic zone SA-M-M:	
e	Mabar, Yemen	29
Figure 23e	Station representative of agroclimatic zone HA-W-VW:	
e	Muscat, Oman	30
Figure 23f	Station representative of agroclimatic zone A-M-VW:	
0	Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	30
Figure 23g	Station representative of agroclimatic zone HA-M-W:	
0 0	Tabuk, Saudi Arabia	30
Figure 23h	Station representative of agroclimatic zone SA-M-W: Taiz,	
0	Yemen	30
Figure 24a	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
0	with Abha, Saudi Arabia	31
Figure 24b	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern with	
e	Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia	31
Figure 24c	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern with	
-	El Kod, Yemen	32
Figure 24d	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
-	with Mabar, Yemen	32
Figure 24e	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
-	with Muscat, Oman	33
Figure 24f	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
	with Riyadh, Saudi Arabia	33
Figure 24g	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
	with Tabuk, Saudi Arabia	34
Figure 24h	Similarity in temperature and precipitation pattern	
	with Taiz, Yemen	34
Figure 25	Types of growing period	36

-----

Figure 26a	Example of an all-year-round dry period	27
	(Al Jour, Saudi Arabia)	27
Figure 260	Example of an interinculate growing period (Taiz, Femen)	20
Figure 200	Length of the first growing period Veman Highlands	20
Figure 27a	Length of the most growing period, remen Highlands	20
Figure 270	Orget of the main growing period. Yemen Highlands	- 39
Figure 27c	Temperature edentability renges for different groups	39 40
Figure 20	Diamage productivity index for eron group I	40
Figure 29a	Nemer Historia	<i>د\</i>
Eigung 20h	Piemen Figniands	4+ Z
Figure 296	Biomass productivity index for crop group II,	40
12: mar 20 m	Pierren Highlands	42
Figure 29c	Newer Highlands	40
Eimma 20d	Piemene meduativity index for even group IV	42
Figure 290	Vemen Highlands	40
Eigung 20	Pengelend hierare productivity index	-+∠ /13
Figure 30	Soil associations	45
Figure 31	Soil associations	43
Figure 32	Son associations: explanation of legend	47
Figure 33	Legend of soil property distribution many	40
Figure 34	LispA toutural triangle and simplified toutural alesses	50
Figure 35	Distribution of against toutured goils	51
Figure 30	Distribution of modium textured soils	50 50
Figure 37	Distribution of fine textured soils	52
Figure 30	Deminent soil denth	53
Figure 39	Dominant son deput	54
Figure 40	Distribution of snarow sons	54
Figure 41	Distribution of graveny and story sons	54
Figure 42	Organic agric carbon revers	56
Figure 43	Deminent soil pU	57
Figure 44	Dominant Son pri	57
Figure 45	Dominant CCC	58
Figure 40	Distribution of soils with hardened lime or gypsum	50
Figure 47	Distribution of soil salinity	59
Figure 40	Land use and land cover	61
Figure 49	Evolution of desert irrigation (1082, 1003)	62
rigue 30	Evolution of desert infigation (1962-1995)	02

Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from	
AVHRR imagery(1983)	63
Expansion of desert irrigation, observed	
from AVHRR imagery(1986)	63
Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from	
AVHRR imagery(1990)	63
Expansion of desert irrigation, observed	
from AVHRR imagery(1993)	63
Spatial pattern of desert irrigation 1982-1993	64
Mapping the distribution of plant communities or	
species using landscape frameworks.	67
	Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery(1983) Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery(1986) Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery(1990) Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery(1993) Spatial pattern of desert irrigation 1982-1993 Mapping the distribution of plant communities or species using landscape frameworks.

### List of tables

Table 1	Population in the Arabian Peninsula	3
Table 2.	Geomorphological regions of the Arabian Peninsula	9
Table 3.	Areas under different moisture regimes	22
Table 4.	Areas under different winter types	25
Table 5.	Areas under different summer types	26
Table 6.	Extent of agroclimatic zones of the Arabian Peninsula	29
Table 7.	Adaptability ranges of different crop groups	40
Table 8.	Main soil associations of the Arabian Peninsula	46
Table 9.	Main soil types of the Arabian Peninsula	47
Table 10.	Cropland in the Arabian Peninsula	60
Table 11.	Conversion equations from PET(Hargreaves) to	
	PET(Penman-Monteith)	69
Table 12.	Adaptability to temperature for different crop groups	
	(adapted from FAO, 1978)	74

### Foreword

The Arabian Peninsula constitutes one of the largest contiguous arid zones in the world. Within this context of aridity the region is surprisingly diverse in climatic conditions, soil types, landscapes, and land use patterns. Agroecological niches occur with edaphic conditions that can deviate substantially from those of surrounding areas and often have a higher biomass or agricultural productivity. In the context of global climate change this agroecological diversity is also an important source of abioticstress resistance in plants against drought, high temperatures, and salinity.

However, the region is also ecologically fragile. Firstly, it is vulnerable to natural processes, such as primary salinization, and wind and water erosion. In addition, overgrazing, fuel-wood extraction, drought, and depletion of fossil water resources are increasingly threatening the sustainability of the natural resource base, and may lead to potentially irreversible description.

A rational approach to combating description requires in the first place differentiating true degradation, as a result of over-exploitation, from processes and conditions that are the natural outcome of the biophysical limits imposed by the harsh climates that prevail in the Arabian Peninsula. Such an approach necessitates the development of agroecological frameworks, which allow assessing the spatial and temporal variations in the natural resource base and associated land use systems.

To combat desertification effectively, a good agroecological characterization is of vital importance. Numerous thematic surveys in the form of soil survey reports, climatic maps, and groundwater surveys already exist in the Arabian Peninsula. However, the challenge is to develop integrated land and water resource information systems, based on GIS-technology. This integration will allow linkage of multidisciplinary, geographically referenced databases at different resolutions, and to develop decision-support systems for more sustainable land use management and resource use regulations.

By bringing together information sources from the international public domain and the Arabian Peninsula itself, and processing them with state-of-the-art GIS technology, this report aims to initiate this process of data integration at the regional level. As such it will be of value for agricultural research planning, biodiversity management, land use planning, and public awareness at the national and regional level. We hope it will fill a major gap in our understanding of the agroecological diversity, vulnerability, and agricultural productivity of one of the most important arid regions in the world.

Prof. Dr Adel El-Beltagy Director General, ICARDA

# Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank the following people and organizations for their support and background efforts in the production of this technical bulletin.

- Dr John Peacock and Dr Ahmed Mustafa Tawfik, Regional Coordinators of the Arabian Peninsula Program.
- The staff of the national agricultural research institutes in the region, who have taken time and effort to provide information to the author. They are too numerous to mention individually, but are listed in the Feasibility Report.
- The staff of CODIS, at ICARDA, for polishing the manuscript.
- The donors of APRP, notably the Arab Fund for Economic and Social Development, IFAD and OPEC, for their financial support.

# Abstract

This report provides an overview of the agroecological characteristics of the Arabian Peninsula.

The Arabian Peninsula is a vast plateau bounded by mountainous terrain. It can be subdivided into 15 geomorphological regions. Its main characteristic is aridity, due to low and erratic rainfall, and high temperatures. As a result, productivity of rangelands and agriculture is variable and poor. The interaction of temperature and precipitation gradients leads to a great diversity of climatic conditions, which is evidenced by 22 distinct agroclimatic zones, of which eight comprise 95% of the region.

The soils of the Arabian Peninsula reflect the general aridity of the climate. Most are poorly developed, shallow, or are enriched in lime, gypsum, or salts. Transported materials, such as sand dunes and sheets, cover large areas. That said, there is no shortage of good agricultural soils. The obvious limitation to put them into production is water availability. Where irrigation water is available, standard fertility management practices are required, and, if provided, allow maintenance and enhancement of soil quality.

Cropped areas are very limited in the Peninsula and most are irrigated, although substantial rainfed areas exist in Yemen and in Saudi Arabia. Between 1980 and 1996, area under irrigation more than doubled, aided by modern irrigation technology, such as center-pivot and drip irrigation. This use of fossil groundwater, however, is not sustainable.

The Arabian Peninsula is perceived as having limited heterogeneity, poor agricultural potential, and low population densities, and, therefore, it has generated limited interest with regard to global biodiversity. This view is oversimplified. The region has great agroecological diversity and much potential as a source of genetic diversity and of abiotic stress resistance. To achieve this goal there is a clear need to integrate existing thematic datasets into agroecological frameworks for development. Specific methodologies, models, and decision-support systems must be developed to achieve and make use of this integration.

Priority should be given to the regional assessment of crop water requirements with a view to enhancing water use efficiency, and agroecological zoning for biodiversity conservation, rangeland rehabilitation, abiotic stress identification, and development planning. Underpinning these research goals should be a strengthening of climate monitoring networks.

## 1. Introduction

The Arabian Peninsula, also called Arabia, is a vast landmass, covering about 2,590,000 km<sup>2</sup>. It is bounded by the Red Sea on the west and southwest, the Arabian Sea on the south, and the Gulf of Oman and the Persian Gulf on the northeast. It is composed of seven countries (Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and Yemen).

Arabia is one of the driest subcontinents in the world. With an average precipitation of less than 100 mm per year it can be considered a desert region. It is also one of the hottest, with daytime temperatures often exceeding 50°C in summer. Yet, despite its general aridity, its ecosystems are surprisingly diverse. The rich biodiversity of the Arabian Peninsula is important to agriculture. The plants that are able to survive in this harsh environment might be carriers of traits useful in developing new drought and heat tolerant crop varieties.

However, the biodiversity of the Arabian Peninsula is under threat. An inherent fragility of the environment, combined with over-exploitation of the vegetation resources, has severely reduced the plant cover and narrowed the species pool. Huge parts of the Peninsula are now completely bare, not because of agroecological constraints, but because of overgrazing and fuelwood extraction. Given the long time required for biomass production in arid environments, recovery under conditions that do not provide total plant protection might be close to impossible. This is the true meaning of 'desertification' in a desert environment.

The Arabian Peninsula has enormous reserves of groundwater. In many parts of the Peninsula this precious resource has been exhausted in order to maintain agricultural production systems, such as irrigated field crops, which are essentially not adapted to the over-riding climatic constraint of hyperaridity. Such systems are unsustainable because they consume huge amounts of water, where the supply is virtually non-renewable on a human time scale.

Combating desertification in the Arabian Peninsula requires good

information on the different environments. To some extent this information is already available. Many environmental studies have been undertaken, which have produced inventories of climatic, soil, terrain, vegetation, and water resources. Depending on the investments made by the governments of the region, the level of detail, updating, and integration varies considerably between countries. In addition, the access of the general public to this information is not always easy. As a result, it is difficult to obtain a synthesis of the agroecology at the level of the whole Peninsula.

Much information on the environments of the Arabian Peninsula exists also in the international community, in the form of books, journal articles, and international databases. Putting national and international data sources together in a concise booklet and integrating them through a Geographical Information System (GIS) is the main subject of this publication.

Given the size and diversity of this subcontinent, this publication is restricted to the level of 'exploration,' hence the title. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the 'bird's-eye view' it provides will be of value for agricultural research planning, biodiversity management, land use planning, and public awareness at the national and regional level. In short, the publication is meant to fill an important data gap and permit a better understanding of the resource diversity and environmental problems of the Arabian Peninsula.

This booklet is organized in several sections. The first gives a brief overview of the human geography of the Peninsula. The second describes the characteristics of the natural environment in terms of relief, climate, soils, land use, and cover. It also addresses the problem of land degradation assessment. A third section looks into the current status of agroecological characterization in the Arabian Peninsula, identifying knowledge gaps, thematic research priorities, and follow-up studies at the national and regional level.

This booklet is richly illustrated with maps. These maps were derived, through GIS techniques and methods of agroecological characterization, from the various data sources to which the author had access. Section eight briefly describes the methods used in generating the maps and lists the data sources. The maps in this publication are also available on a separate CD as GIS files (ARCView shape files and grids), and can be imported into compatible GIS software.

# 2. Human Geography

The Arabian Peninsula comprises the countries of Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Yemen.

Notwithstanding the low aptitude of the subcontinent to support high population densities (see section on 'Climate'), these countries have witnessed a tremendous population increase over the last 50 years (Table 1).

Country	Population		Population change, %		Density, persons/km <sup>2</sup>	
	1950	2000	1975-80	1995-2000	Overall	Rural
Bahrain	116,000	617,000	4.9	2.0	778	5,789
Kuwait	152,000	1,972,000	6.2	3.1	82	1,017
Oman	456,000	2,542,000	5.0	3.3	9	3,759
Qatar	25,000	599,000	5.8	1.8	53	667
Saudi Arabia	3,201,000	21,607,000	5.6	3.4	8	90
UAE	70,000	2,441,000	14.0	2.0	27	968
Yemen	4,316,000	18,112,000	3.2	3.7	27	690

Table 1. Population in the Arabian Peninsula

Source: World Resources Institute (2001) URL: http://earthtrendis.wri.org/country\_profiles

In all Gulf countries, population increased between 1950 and 2000, by a factor of 5 to 30. The more spectacular growth rates (e.g., Kuwait, Qatar, UAE) are mostly due to a large net immigration of guest workers. However, the population data for Yemen, a country of net emigration, shows that increase in the native population is also a major contributing factor to population growth in the Peninsula.

The distribution of the population centers is shown in Figure 1. With the exception of the agricultural hinterland of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, most of the population lives at the edges of the Peninsula, near coastal areas or in the mountains bordering the sea. This is to be expected. Given the aridity of the interior, people have historically concentrated in areas with higher rainfall (e.g., Yemen, Asir), or along trade routes. As the rainfall map shows, the density of population centers is associated with the higher rainfall areas. Very few people, with the exception of nomadic tribes, live in the desert interior.





The population density is shown in Figure 2. The subcontinent is characterized by generally low population density and high urbanization, with pockets of high rural population density. A high proportion of the country population still makes a living in agriculture in Yemen, and to a lesser extent in Saudi Arabia. Low cropland area (Table 1) and urbanization of the countryside (e.g., Kuwait, Bahrain, Oman, Qatar, UAE) might artificially raise the rural population density above levels that bear any relationship with the intensity of rural land use.

## 3. Relief and Geomorphology

The Arabian Peninsula is a vast plateau, gently sloping northeastward from the Red Sea to the eastern lowlands bordering the Persian Gulf. It is bounded on the west, south and east by mountainous terrain. According to the digital elevation model (DEM) GTOPO30 (Gesch and Larson, 1996), the altitude varies between -37 m in the lowest point, in the Matfi salt flat south of Qatar, and 3660 m at the Peninsula's highest peak, Jebel An Nabi Shu'ayb. The elevation map, derived from this DEM, is shown in Figure 3.

Elevation does not really show a landscape. In addition to its elevation, a landscape is defined by its degree of dissection, or the range between high and low points. Plains are defined by a very small elevation difference between neighboring points, rolling topography by a higher difference, and mountains by a very large difference. The map of Figure 4, derived from the GTOPO30 DEM, captures the 'ruggedness' of the landscapes of the Arabian Peninsula. It should be noted that this map evidences errors in the DEM used. The checkerboard pattern in the central Rub-al-Khali desert in Saudi Arabia is due to inadequate coverage by detailed topographical maps with elevation benchmarks and does not constitute a natural pattern.

The blue lines on Figure 4 delineate 15 major geomorphological regions (modified after Guba and Glennie, 1998, and Barth, 1976). The superimposed areas in red are salt flats. The geomorphological regions are briefly described in Table 2.

Among the most common and important landscape elements of the Arabian Peninsula are its drainage channels. These seasonal watercourses, or wadis, drain wide catchment areas and high mountains through networks of welldeveloped tributaries, ravines and runnels. An example of the drainage network The population density is shown in Figure 2. The subcontinent is characterized by generally low population density and high urbanization, with pockets of high rural population density. A high proportion of the country population still makes a living in agriculture in Yemen, and to a lesser extent in Saudi Arabia. Low cropland area (Table 1) and urbanization of the countryside (e.g., Kuwait, Bahrain, Oman, Qatar, UAE) might artificially raise the rural population density above levels that bear any relationship with the intensity of rural land use.

## 3. Relief and Geomorphology

The Arabian Peninsula is a vast plateau, gently sloping northeastward from the Red Sea to the eastern lowlands bordering the Persian Gulf. It is bounded on the west, south and east by mountainous terrain. According to the digital elevation model (DEM) GTOPO30 (Gesch and Larson, 1996), the altitude varies between -37 m in the lowest point, in the Matfi salt flat south of Qatar, and 3660 m at the Peninsula's highest peak, Jebel An Nabi Shu'ayb. The elevation map, derived from this DEM, is shown in Figure 3.

Elevation does not really show a landscape. In addition to its elevation, a landscape is defined by its degree of dissection, or the range between high and low points. Plains are defined by a very small elevation difference between neighboring points, rolling topography by a higher difference, and mountains by a very large difference. The map of Figure 4, derived from the GTOPO30 DEM, captures the 'ruggedness' of the landscapes of the Arabian Peninsula. It should be noted that this map evidences errors in the DEM used. The checkerboard pattern in the central Rub-al-Khali desert in Saudi Arabia is due to inadequate coverage by detailed topographical maps with elevation benchmarks and does not constitute a natural pattern.

The blue lines on Figure 4 delineate 15 major geomorphological regions (modified after Guba and Glennie, 1998, and Barth, 1976). The superimposed areas in red are salt flats. The geomorphological regions are briefly described in Table 2.

Among the most common and important landscape elements of the Arabian Peninsula are its drainage channels. These seasonal watercourses, or wadis, drain wide catchment areas and high mountains through networks of welldeveloped tributaries, ravines and runnels. An example of the drainage network











-8-

symbol	Name	Altitude range (m)	Description
1	Coastal plain	0-200	Includes two sub-regions, the Tihama bordering the Red Sea, and the Batinah bordering the Gulf of Oman. Both plains are mostly pediments, gently sloping upward from sea level to the foothills of the mountains.
2	Western Escar	rpment Mountains	
2a	Midian Mountains	300-2000	Scarp mountains, very strongly dissected, with high peaks rising up to 3000 m.
2b	Hijaz Mountains	300-2000	Scarp mountains, rising less high, with interspersed high plateau areas. Very complex lithology, including granitic, metamorphic, volcanic, and sedimentary rocks
2.c	Asir Mountains and Yemen Highlands	300-2500	Scarp mountains, very strongly dissected in the Asir, with high peaks rising up to 3000 m. Towards the Yemen highlands the high plateau areas become more widespread. Granitic and metamorphic rocks dominate the Asir, sedimentary rocks are predominant in the northern Yemen Highlands, and extrusive volcanic rocks in central and southern Yemen.
3	Arabian Shiel	d	
3a	Sandstone plateau	700-1000	High plateau of fairly uniform elevation covered with sandy soils.
3b	Harrats (western Najd)	1000-1500	Area transitional towards the Najd plains at high elevation. Structural slope from SW to NE. Complex terrain with salt flats, pediments, and hills.
3c	Central plateau	800-1200	Mostly plains and plateaux with inselbergs and hill areas, built on the structural slope of the Arabian shield. Covered mostly by granitic and metamorphic basement complex rocks. Includes large areas with basaltic rocks.
3d	Summan plateau	250-500	Low-lying plateau with fairly uniform topography composed of flat-lying limestone with typical karst topography of sinkholes and caves.
4	Central Arabia	an Cuesta	
4a	Dahna sands and adjacent areas	500-800	Narrow belt of dunes and shifting sands extending over 1,300 km and connecting the Rub Al-Khali with the Great Nafud.
4b	Tuwayq mountain systems	500-1000	Cuesta region with 800-km-long escarpments composed of sedimentary rocks curving around the crystalline shield of the Central Plateau. Elevation may locally rise to 1500 m.
5	Southern Arab	oian Deserts	, , , ,, _,, _
5a	Rub al-Khali and adjacent areas	100-1000	The 'Empty Quarter' is the largest uninterrupted sand desert in the world. Contains both transverse and longitudinal dunes. Individual dunes reach heights exceeding 200 m.
5b	Wahiba Sands	0-300	Small sand sea formed by winds of the southwest monsoon, with longitudinal dunes mainly.
6 7	Great Nafud Eastern Gulf Region	700-1000 0-500	Second largest sand desert of the Arabian Peninsula Coastal plain rising gently to inland plateau areas. Covered mainly by unconsolidated beach sands, gravels, salt flats, and acolian sands.

Table 2.	Geomorp	ohological	regions of	the Arabian	Peninsula	(adapted	from	Guba and	Glennie, 99	98)
----------	---------	------------	------------	-------------	-----------	----------	------	----------	-------------	-----

Table 2. Continnue

symbol	Name	Altitude range (m)	Description
8	Southern limestone plateaux	300-1200	Includes the Hadramaut plateau and the raised plateau of Dhofar, which can locally reach an altitude of 2000 m, and dips to the north. The Dhofar plateau is bounded southward by an
9	Hajar Mountains	500-2500	escarpment. Steeply dissected narrow mountain range with heights up to 3000 m, formed by sedimentary rocks.

density, covering the northwestern part of the Peninsula, is shown in Figure 5. It is worth noting the absence of drainage channels in the eastern part, which is occupied by sand dunes.

The wadis are common to all geomorphological units, with the exception of sand dunc areas, and support plant communities that are dependent on the water regime. Along wadis the vegetation cover is usually denser, except under conditions of overgrazing, fuelwood extraction, or aquifer over pumping. However, the vegetation pattern is highly site-specific, determined by the frequency of flooding, the stream velocity, type of sediments and coarse materials deposited, and variability of rainfall in the catchment areas ((Kürschner, 1998).



Fig. 5: Wadi network in the northwest of the Arabian Peninsula(Note: Wadis shown as blue lines. Elevation range is the same as in Fig.3)

# 4. Climate

### 4.1. General

The Arabian Peninsula is an ecoregion in which biomass productivity is primarily limited by the availability of water. Although there are exceptions, notably the Yemen Highlands and their extension into the Asir Mountains, the region is essentially arid or even hyper-arid. In addition to generally low rainfall amounts, rainfall distribution is usually unfavorable, coming in sudden and erratic showers, and variability is high between years.

The weather in the Peninsula is controlled by four air masses. The main reasons for the region's aridity are its remoteness in relation to the major rainbearing weather systems, such as the North Atlantic depressions and the Indian monsoon, and its exposure to air predominantly continental in origin.

With the exception of winter in the northern part of the Peninsula and highaltitude locations, temperatures are high to very high, causing high evaporation, but also high biomass productivity, if water is available.

The low and erratic rainfall causes large fluctuations in the productivity of rangelands. It also enhances the importance of soils and landscape position in capturing the little rainfall available. Their ability to generate, concentrate, or receive runoff is the main reason for the 'patchiness' of vegetation cover in the Peninsula.

Within the overall limitations imposed by aridity, there is an unexpected diversity in climatic conditions. This diversity is usually related to differences in temperature and moisture regimes as a result of different exposure to rainbearing systems, but also altitudinal gradients. The mountains at the edge of the Peninsula generally act as 'moisture traps.' At certain times of the year the influence of the mountains can be strong enough to generate their own weather through erratic and intensive thunderstorm activity. This is certainly the case in the Yemen and Asir highlands and the Hajar mountains in Oman. Topography also influences climate by 'guiding' wind flows and rain along favored paths. The Zagros mountains in western Iran, through this mechanism, play an important role in generating precipitation over the extreme east of the Peninsula (Fisher and Membery, 1998). In the same way, the western mountains influence rainfall production along the Red Sea.

### 4.2. Precipitation

#### 4.2.1. Types and amounts

Precipitation is mostly in the form of rainfall, although occasional snowfall has been recorded in the Yemeni and Asir highlands.

Fisher and Membery (1998) report the regular occurrence of fog in the western highlands, the Dhofar region, and the central desert of Oman. The contribution of this 'occult precipitation' from fog, mist, low clouds, or dew, to the regional waterbalance might be doubtful. However, these sources of hidden precipitation can help significantly in creating, at a micro-scale, improved conditions for more productive and diverse plant life, particularly grasslands and woodlands.

The distribution of the mean annual precipitation is shown in Figure 6. Generally speaking, precipitation levels are associated with clevation. The highest rainfall occurs in the Yemeni highlands and Asir mountains, and to a lesser extent in the mountains of northern Oman. The lowest precipitation is recorded in the low-lying areas of the Rub al Khali, the Najd in the north of the Peninsula, and the northern Red Sea coast.

#### 4.2.2. Seasonal patterns

During winter the region is under the influence of polar continental air masses that originate in Central Asia. The influx of these air masses is accompanied by dry weather with generally clear skies and fairly low temperatures. Occasionally the Peninsula is affected by polar maritime air coming from the North Atlantic. These air movements are the remainder of the mid-latitude depressions that have already traversed North Africa and the Mediterrancan. They are the main source of winter rainfall.

During summer the Peninsula is influenced by tropical continental air masses, which bring hot and very dry air from Egypt and Sudan. These air masses allow the region to become a stable high-pressure zone and source of tropical continental air. Cloudless skies, low humidity, very high temperatures (often >45°C), intensive surface heating, and dust characterize the weather system during summer (Fisher and Membery, 1998). The Indian monsoon system exercises some activity in summer, particularly in parts of Yemen, southwestern Saudi Arabia, and coastal Oman. However, its influence is limited by the strong tropical continental air mass, which prevails over the Peninsula at the time.





The seasonal distribution is shown in Figures 7a-7d as the percentage of the annual rainfall that falls in each of the four seasons. This distribution is explained by the prevalence of one or another weather system at different times of the year.

Figure 7a shows the influence of the winter rainfall pattern, which is common throughout Europe, North Africa, West Asia, and Central Asia. Figure 7b shows the importance of spring rainfall (also largely associated with the westerly systems) for the central landmass of the Peninsula. Figure 7c shows the area of influence of the Indian monsoon, with peaks in the Yemen highlands and the Dhofar area in southern Oman. Autumn does not contribute much rainfall, except in the northern Red Sca coast region (Figure 7d).

#### 4.2.3. Variability

As in other arid parts of the world, high rainfall variability is the norm and the impact of drought severe. Variability affects the amount and distribution of rainfall at different time scales.

Figure 8 shows a typical example of inter-annual variations for Muscat, time period 1893-1978, an unusually long dataset for the Arabian Peninsula. A typical characteristic of rainfall in the arid zones is its negative skew. This means that the probability of having rainfall below the mean is higher, but compensated for by few high rainfall events, as represented by the peaks in Figure 8. The probability distribution of annual precipitation in Muscat, as approximated by a log-normal transform, is shown in figure 9.

This figure shows that the probability of exceeding the mean (105 mm) is only 40%, illustrating the greater likelihood of smaller rainfall amounts.

At smaller time scales, variability increases even more. Figure 10 shows, for each month of the year, the mean rainfall and the amounts that might not be exceeded in one year out of four (2<sup>nd</sup> decile), and in four years out of five (8<sup>th</sup> decile).

In the same figure it can be noted that between April and October, the 8<sup>th</sup> decile is lower than the mean. This demonstrates that in areas (or times of the year) with very low rainfall, the concept of an average rainfall pattern is a statistical artifact. It is caused by the lumping together of a few high-rainfall events with very low probability, with numerous low-rainfall events with high probability.



Fig. 7: Seasonal distribution of precipitation. Clockwise from top left: winter (a), spring (b), summer (c), autumn (d)



Fig. 8: Variability of annual rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978)



Fig. 9: Probability distribution of annual rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978))



Fig. 10: Variability of monthly rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978)

The nature of individual rainstorms is such that they are often of limited spatial extent with considerable gradients in intensity and amount. This implies that in large areas in the middle of a 'rainy season,' the pattern in reality might be one of intense rains separated by dry conditions or light falls (Jackson, 1977). This 'spottiness' of rainfall has also been suggested by Fisher and Membery (1998).

The implication of increasing rainfall variability with decreasing time scale is of fundamental importance to our understanding of vegetation growth, biomass productivity, and climatic adaptation in the Arabian Peninsula. Since temperature is usually not limiting, growth and flowering occurs whenever and wherever water is available, irrespective of time of year and 'statistical' dry and wet periods.

### 4.3. Temperature

The Arabian Peninsula is warm. More than 90% of its area has a mean annual temperature of 20°C. A small area (shown in red) has a mean annual temperature exceeding 30°C. The cooler areas are shown in magenta in figure 11. They correspond with the western Yemen highlands, the Asir mountains, and the sandstone and limestone plateaux bordering Jordan.



Fig. 10: Variability of monthly rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978)

The nature of individual rainstorms is such that they are often of limited spatial extent with considerable gradients in intensity and amount. This implies that in large areas in the middle of a 'rainy season,' the pattern in reality might be one of intense rains separated by dry conditions or light falls (Jackson, 1977). This 'spottiness' of rainfall has also been suggested by Fisher and Membery (1998).

The implication of increasing rainfall variability with decreasing time scale is of fundamental importance to our understanding of vegetation growth, biomass productivity, and climatic adaptation in the Arabian Peninsula. Since temperature is usually not limiting, growth and flowering occurs whenever and wherever water is available, irrespective of time of year and 'statistical' dry and wet periods.

### 4.3. Temperature

The Arabian Peninsula is warm. More than 90% of its area has a mean annual temperature of 20°C. A small area (shown in rcd) has a mean annual temperature exceeding 30°C. The cooler areas are shown in magenta in figure 11. They correspond with the western Yemen highlands, the Asir mountains, and the sandstone and limestone plateaux bordering Jordan.



Fig. 11: Areas of the Arabian Peninsula with mean annual temperature exceeding 30°C (in red), or below 20°C (in magenta)

The major factors controlling temperature are elevation and latitude. From south to north there is a clear cooling trend, owing to increased exposure to cold continental air masses in winter. The map of mean annual temperature (Figure 12) illustrates these controls on temperature.

Temperature is strongly seasonal, with the lowest temperatures in the period December-February and the highest in the period June-September. The areas exposed to the Indian monsoon are an exception. These show a noticeable temperature drop in July-August, as illustrated by the climate diagram for Salalah (Figure 13).

Figures 14 and 15 show the temperature of the coldest and the warmest month, respectively.

Temperature seasonality tends to increase from the southcast to the northwest. The variability of temperature between years, in contrast with rainfall variability, is very low.

Temperature patterns can also be represented as the distribution of available atmospheric energy, which evaporates water or makes plants grow faster, for example. This representation of temperature as a source of energy for plant growth and biomass production can be done through the concept of accumulated heat units or growing degree days, which sum the daily



Fig. 12: Mean annual temperature



Fig. 13: Climate diagram for Salalah, Oman



Fig. 14: Mean temperature of the coldest month



temperatures above a threshold (e.g., 0 °C) for a specified period (e.g., one year). The map of accumulated heat units in Figure 16 shows, unsurprisingly, the same pattern as the map of mean annual temperature, only the units (°C days) are different. It will be used later (see Section 4.7) to assess by proxy the potential productivity of natural vegetation.





### 4.4. Evapotranspiration and water deficit

#### 4.4.1. Potential evapotranspiration

The evaporative demand of the atmosphere can be represented by the potential evapotranspiration (PET), which is the evapotranspiration of a reference crop, a grass cover. The PET can be calculated by the Penman-Monteith method from elementary climatic parameters, such as temperature, air humidity, radiation, and wind speed (Allen et al., 1998). The PET concept is the basis for the assessment of crop water requirements and for scheduling irrigation.

The pattern of mean annual PET throughout the region (Figure 17) is very similar to the pattern of mean annual temperature (Figure 12) and shows the same trends, as governed by altitude and latitude. The PET is very high in the interior of the Peninsula and decreases towards the edges.

#### 4.4.2. Aridity

The Arabian Peninsula is characterized by a severe deficit of precipitation over atmospheric water demand. The UNESCO (1979) 'aridity index' can be used to quantify this deficit and map the severity of 'dryness' based on the ratio of annual precipitation to annual potential evapotranspiration.

Figure 18 shows the distribution of the aridity index, corresponding with the thresholds for the hyper-arid (<.0.03), arid (0.03-0.25), semi-arid (0.25-0.5), and sub-humid climatic regimes (>0.5). The areas under each moisture regime are summarized in Table 3. Nearly 99% of the Peninsula is either hyper-arid (HA) or arid (A). Only part of the Yemen highlands is in the semi-arid (SA) class. A very small area is sub-humid (SH). The relationships between moisture regimes (as expressed by aridity index) and agriculture are discussed further in section 4.5.1.

Moisture regime	Aridity index	%	km <sup>2</sup>
Hyper-arid (HA)	< 0.03	32.02	1,020,107
Arid (A)	0.03 - 0.2	66.72	2,125,415
Semi-arid (SA)	0.2 - 0.5	1.22	38,788
Sub-humid (SH)	> 0.5	0.04	1,199

Table 3. Areas under different n	moisture	regimes
----------------------------------	----------	---------

These results reflect the huge negative balance between water supply from precipitation and the evaporative demand of the atmosphere. The size of the hydrological deficit on an annual basis is shown in figure 19.






Fig. 19: Annual precipitation deficit (mm)

# 4.5. Agroclimatic patterns

## 4.5.1. Agroclimatic zones

Climatic diversity in the Arabian Peninsula can be adequately represented by a simple system of agroclimatic zones that takes into account the key determinants of climate: moisture and temperature. UNESCO (1979) has developed a simple system for differentiating agroclimatic zones based on three major criteria:

- Moisture regime
- Winter type
- Summer type

The moisture regime is determined by the aridity index, as defined in section 4.4.2. In the hyper-arid moisture regime there is almost no perennial vegetation, with the exception of some bushes in riverbeds. In good years, annual plants can grow, but agriculture and grazing are generally impossible (UNESCO, 1979). In the arid moisture regime, scattered vegetation does grow, which might include bushes, and small woody, succulent, thorny, or leafless shrubs. Very light pastoral use is possible. Rainfed agriculture is only feasible with some form of water harvesting and irrigation, and only where terrain conditions are favorable or where there are local water resources. As a result, agriculture, if any, is patchy. In the semi-arid moisture regime, vegetation is denser and might include bushes, scrubs and even trees. Good grazing areas might be found and rainfed agriculture is possible, albeit with great yield fluctuations due to rainfall variability. Agriculture in either the arid or hyperarid classes requires irrigation (see section on land use). Even in the semi-arid mountainous uplands of Yemen, agriculture is stabilized by terrace-based supplemental irrigation.

The winter type is determined by the average mean temperature during the winter months. Table 4 shows the winter type classes and the areas they occupy. Figure 20 shows the spatial distribution of the winter types.

Winter type	Temperature class (°C)	⁰/ೞ	km²
Cool (C)	<10	2.81	89,622
Mild (M)	10-20	74.71	2,379,883
Warm (W)	20-30	22.48	716,005

Table 4. Areas under different winter types



Fig. 20: Winter types (blue: cool; green: mild; yellow: warm)

In areas with cool winters, vegetation growth is limited by cold. If rainfall is concentrated in winter, plants adapted to these conditions will be characterized by rapid phenological development in spring and efficient soil moisture extraction. In areas with mild and warm winters, vegetative growth is possible in winter, and becomes more rapid with increasing temperature.

The summer type is determined by the average mean temperature during summer months. Table 5 shows the summer type classes and the areas they occupy. Figure 21 shows the spatial distribution of the summer types. The mild summers are confined to the highest parts of the Yemen highlands.

Summer type	Temperature class (°C)	%	km <sup>2</sup>
Mild (M)	10-20	0.48	15,417
Warm (W)	20-30	36.12	1,150,600
Very warm (VW)	>30	63.40	2,019,492

Table 5. Areas	under	different	summer	types
----------------	-------	-----------	--------	-------



Fig. 21: Summer types (green: mild; yellow: warm; red: very warm)

The combinations between moisture regimes and winter and summer types form individual climatic patterns, or agroclimatic zones. For example, the pattern HA-M-VW represents a climate with a hyper-arid moisture regime, mild winter type, and very warm summer type. In total, 22 agroclimatic zones have been differentiated in the Arabian Peninsula. Of these, eight taken together comprise 95% of the region. The remaining 14 are fairly small agroclimatic 'islands' with climatic conditions that are either more humid or colder than surrounding areas.

The extent of the agroclimatic zones is shown, in order of importance, in Table 6. The spatial distribution is shown in Figure 22.

Figures 23a-23h are climate diagrams of stations representing some agroclimatic zones. Some zones cannot be represented due to their limited extent and the lack of meteorological data (see further).

### 4.5.2. Similarity of climatic conditions

In all the maps in this chapter, the value of selected climatic parameters is shown as classes with well-defined ranges, e.g., precipitation classes 0-10 mm, 10-20 mm, etc. These classes show similarity in a way that is independent of the





ACZ	Representative station	%	Km <sup>2</sup>	ACZ <sup>1</sup>	%	km <sup>2</sup>
A-M-VW	Riyadh	35.3	1,123,841	SA-M-M	0.4	11,522
A-M-W	Abha	17.7	563,774	SA-W-W	0.1	3,481
HA-M-VW	Al Jouf	15.5	495,144	A-M-M	0.1	1,925
HA-W-VW	Muscat	7.2	229,484	SA-C-M	0.0	866
A-W-W		6.0	190,051	SH-M-M	0.0	828
HA-M-W	Tabuk	5.0	160,135	HA-C-VW	0.0	663
A-W-VW	El Kod	5.0	158,775	HA-C-W	0.0	469
HA-W-W		4.2	134,213	SA-C-W	0.0	426
A-C-W		2.4	75,341	SH-M-W	0.0	222
SA-M-W	Taiz	0.7	22,492	SH-C-M	0.0	148
A-C-VW		0.4	11,580	A-C-M	0.0	127

Table 6. Extent of agroclimatic zones of the Arabian Peninsula

All data from mabar station.



Fig. 23a: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-M-W: Abha, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23b: Station representative for agroclimatic zone HA-M-VW: Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23c: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-W-VW: EJ Kod, Yemen

Fig. 23d: Station representative for agroclimatic zone SA-M-M: Mabar, Yemen





Fig. 23e: Station representative for agroclimatic zone HA-W-VW: Muscat, Oman

Fig. 23f: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-M-VW: Riyadh, Saudi Arabia



values in individual locations. There is, however, a very different approach that consists of taking the value of a climatic parameter or index at one location (the 'match' location) and mapping similar locations ('target' locations). This approach is valuable for assessing the likelihood of successful introduction of a plant species in a different area, in the assumption that the more similar the environments the more likely will be the adaptation.

The key is to be clear in the purpose and define similarity indices accordingly. If the purpose is to assess adaptation to heat stress, a temperaturebased similarity index is needed. If the objective is to assess adaptation to drought, a precipitation-based index is needed. In this publication the purpose is to assess similarity both in moisture and temperature conditions, and for this reason a combined similarity index has been developed (see Section 8.3.).

Figures 24a-24h show similarity between each part of the Arabian Peninsula and a reference location. In this case the reference locations are the stations that represent the main agroclimatic zones. In all cases, similarity is shown on the same scale between zero and one, with zero indicating total dissimilarity and one total similarity. These examples demonstrate that in some cases the adaptability domain, as expressed by a high similarity index value, is vcry widespread, and in other cases very limited.



Fig. 24a: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Abha, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24b: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24c: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with El Kod, Yemen



Fig. 24d: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Mabar, Yemen



Fig. 24e: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Muscat, Oman



Fig. 24f: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Riyadh, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24g: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Tabuk, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24h: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Taiz, Yemen

# 4.6. Climatic growing period

Growing period, as a climatic concept, is the time of year when neither moisture nor temperature limit crop production. Developed about 30 years ago (e.g. Cochemé and Franquin, 1967), it was subsequently adapted and applied by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, 1978-81) to assess potential plant productivity and land suitability at the global, continental, and regional scale.

The components of the climatic growing period (onset, duration, and end) are determined by a waterbalance approach, which matches monthly rainfall to monthly potential evapotranspiration. In technical terms the growing period is the 'period of the year during which the actual evapotranspiration exceeds a critical threshold' (De Pauw, 1983). This threshold is usually 50% of the potential evapotranspiration.

## 4.6.1. Types of growing period

Three types of growing period are described for the Arabian Peninsula. Their distribution is shown in figure 25.

The vast majority of the region is characterized by an **all-year-round dry period.** There is no growing period because the critical ratios of actual to potential evapotranspiration are not exceeded. In this moisture regime the quality of the growing period is no longer determined by the rainfall but by the level of potential evapotranspiration; the higher the latter, the higher the irrigation requirements. An example of an all-year-round dry period is shown in figure 26a.

In the Yemen highlands, northern Kuwait, and parts of the mountains of northern Oman, a second type of growing period occurs. The **intermediate growing period** lacks a humid sub-period: when rainfall exceeds potential evapotranspiration soil moisture is not recharged. Crop production in such areas is very risky and usually requires an additional source of water to stabilize yields. However, this type of growing period has a reasonable productivity for natural vegetation. An example, with two sub-periods, is shown in figure 26b.

In a very few areas of the Peninsula, located in the most rainy parts of the Yemen highlands, the **normal growing period** occurs. It is characterized by a humid sub-period, when soil moisture is recharged. This soil moisture can later be released to crops when rainfall drops below the potential evapotranspiration, thus buffering crops against drought stress. This type of growing period is of the







highest quality, ensuring optimal biomass productivity, and, if of sufficient length, good crop yields. No representative station is available for the Arabian Peninsula; therefore, the concept is illustrated with an example from Aleppo, Syria (Figure 26c).



Fig. 26c: Example of a normal growing period (Aleppo, Syria)

## 4.6.2. Duration and onset of the growing period

In most of the Arabian Peninsula, length and timing of the growing period are highly variable as a result of pronounced rainfall variability (see section 4.2.3.). The only areas where the growing period is sufficiently reliable to appear in average data are the highlands of Yemen. This part of the Peninsula has two rainy seasons, one in March-May, the other in July-September. The durations of the resulting two growing periods are shown in figures 27a and 27b. The onset date of the main growing period is shown in Figure 27c.



Fig. 27a: Length of the first growing period, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 27b: Length of the second growing period, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 27c: Onset of the main growing period, Yemen Highlands

# 4.7. Biomass productivity and climate

Climate is the primary determinant of potential biomass productivity of plants and crops. This is because assimilation – the capture by plants of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and its conversion into carbohydrates – is determined by radiation energy and water availability. Biomass productivity should, therefore, be related to climatic factors, in particular temperature (as proxy for the radiation energy) and soil moisture. Apart from radiation and moisture regime,



Fig. 27b: Length of the second growing period, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 27c: Onset of the main growing period, Yemen Highlands

# 4.7. Biomass productivity and climate

Climate is the primary determinant of potential biomass productivity of plants and crops. This is because assimilation – the capture by plants of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and its conversion into carbohydrates – is determined by radiation energy and water availability. Biomass productivity should, therefore, be related to climatic factors, in particular temperature (as proxy for the radiation energy) and soil moisture. Apart from radiation and moisture regime, the rate of assimilation and biomass production is strongly determined by crop characteristics.

According to the response of assimilation rate to temperature, FAO (1978-81) has proposed four crop groups (Table 7). Each crop group has a different response function, or adaptability range, to temperature (Figure 28).

Crop group	Crop types	Optimal mean temperature range	Examples
I	C3	15-20	Barley, bread wheat, chickpea, lentil, olive, sunflower, cabbage, oats, rye, grape, sugar beet; temperate grasses; almost all trees
2	C3 adapted for higher temperatures	25-30	Cotton, groundnut, cowpea, soybean, tobacco, sunflower, sesame, rice, fig, grape, olive
3	C4	30-35	Maize, sorghum, sugarcane, all millets, fonio rice; tropical grasses
4	C4 adapted for lower temperatures	20-30	Maize, sorghum, millets

Table 7. Adaptability ranges of different crop groups



Fig. 28: Temperature adaptability ranges for different crop groups

Using this concept of crop adaptability groups, biomass productivity indices have been developed for each crop group. For the exact definition of each crop biomass productivity index (CBPI) refer to Section 8.3.

The biomass productivity index was calculated for each crop group (CBPI1, CBPI2, etc.) for each location in the Arabian Peninsula. The results show that only in part of the Yemen highlands do the indices have non-zero values. This is not surprising because the CBPI is strongly correlated with growing period, which is absent in most of the Peninsula.

Figures 29a-29d focus on the Yemen highlands and show the values of the CBPI for each crop group. Generally speaking, these figures show that the areas are better adapted to crop groups 2, 3, and 4 than to crop Group 1.

To assess the potential productivity of rangelands, a different kind of index is required that is less demanding in terms of moisture regime. The rangeland biomass productivity index (RBPI) is the product of the aridity index (see Section 4.4.2.) and the annual accumulated heat units (see Section 8.3.). Distribution of the RBPI is shown in Figure 30.

The value of these biomass productivity indices is that they can be derived from simple climatic data and allow extrapolation from site-specific productivity measurements. It has to be realized that they provide a measure of potential productivity, not current productivity, and, therefore, do not take into account management factors, such as overgrazing, etc.



Fig. 29a: Biomass productivity index for crop group I, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29c: Biomass productivity index for crop group III, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29b: Biomass productivity index for crop group II, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29d: Biomass productivity index for crop group IV, Yemen Highlands





# 5. Soils of the Arabian Peninsula

# 5.1. General soil pattern

The soils of the Arabian Peninsula reflect the aridity of the climate. Most are poorly developed, shallow, or are enriched in lime, gypsum, or salts. In addition, transported materials, such as sand dunes and sheets, cover large areas. The soils are mostly formed by the physical breakdown of geological materials and their subsequent removal, sorting and deposition by wind and water.

The distribution of the soils of the Arabian Peninsula is represented in the Map of Soil Associations (Figure 31). Soil association maps show patterns of soil occurrence, instead of the location of individual soils. Individual soils cannot be located at the scale of a subcontinent. Soil associations are characterized by the recurrence of a limited number of specific soil types within particular landforms, but in different proportions. The main associations of the Arabian Peninsula are listed in Table 8.

As shown in the example of Figure 32, the name of the soil association is determined by the dominant soil type, the textural class, and the broad landform type.

The association is further described by its full soil composition, which lists each soil type and its proportion (in percent) within the soil association (Table 8).

The main soil types that occur in the Arabian Peninsula, classified according to the FAO Soil Map of the World (FAO, 1974), are listed in Table 9. Three textural classes are distinguished:

- 1: Coarse (predominantly sandy)
- 2: Medium (predominantly silty)
- 3: Fine (predominantly clayey)

These distinctions are further defined in the discussion on soil management properties (Section 5.2.).

In addition, the soil associations do consider three broad landform classes: a: flat topography; b: undulating topography; c: hilly topography

Looking only at the dominant soils within the different soil associations, the soil association map can be simplified, as shown in the map of dominant soils (Figure 33).





Soil Association	% of the Soil Types and Proportions						
	Peninsula	%1	%2	%3	%4	%5	%6
D/SS	5.80 DS	100	0	0	0	0	0
I-Y-bc	20.28 I	50 Y	50	0	0	0	0
I-Yh-Yk-1/2b	4.00 I	34 Yh	33 Yk	33	0	0	0
I-Yk	0.04 I	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
1-Yk-1/2a	0.26 1	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
I-Yk-2ab	2.41 I	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
Je61-2a	0.32 Je	90 Zo	10	0	0	0	0
Qa9-1a	0.48 Qa	100	0	0	0	0	0
Qc46-1/2ab	6.51 Qc	50 I	20 Y	20 R	10	0	0
Rc30-1ab	9.33 Rc	50 Qc	20 Yk	20 Z	10	0	0
Rc31-1/2ab	1.23 Rc	70 Z	30	0	0	0	0
Re1-1/2a	0.00 Re	100	0	0	0	0	0
SALT	0.05 ST	100	0	0	0	0	0
Yh22-1ab	4.96 Yh	30 Qc	20 Yk	20 Jc	10 Rc	10 Z	10
Yh3-1/2a	3.74 Yh	70 I	30	0	0	0	0
Yk25-1/2a	10.94 Yk	40 I	20 YI	20 Jc	10 Z	10	0
Yk26-1ab	3.39 Yk	70 Qc	30	0	0	0	0
Yk27-2a	0.09 Yk	60 Z	30 I	10	0	0	0
Yk28-1a	6.40 Yk	60 Rc	30 I	10	0	0	0
Yk29-1/2a	0.25 Yk	60 Rc	30 Z	10	0	0	0
Yk32-a	8.12 Yk	60 I	20 YI	20	0	0	0
Y119-3ab	2.68 Yl	50 I	20 Yk	20 Rc	10		
Yy10-2ab	3.79 Yy	60 I	20 Yk	20	0	0	0
Yy10-2ab	0.37 Yy	60 I	20 Yk	20	0	0	0
Yyl2-a	0.54 Yy	60 1	30 Yk	10	0	0	0
Yy7-2/3a	0.05 Yy	50 Yk	20 Zo	20 Jc	10	0	0
Zg3-2/3a	1.18 Zg	70 Zo	30	0	0	0	0
Zo18-2ab	0.51 Zo	60 I	30 Yk	10	0	0	0
Zo19-1/2ac	1.35 Zo	50 I	30 Qc	10 Rc	10	0	0
Zo20-1/2a	0.19 Zo	60 Yh	30 Rc	10	0	0	0
Zo21-3a	0.03 Zo		Compos	ition unsp	ecified		
Zo22-2/3a	0.21 Zo						
Zo27-3a	0.37 Z.o						
Z028-3a	0.11 Zo	50 Zt	30 Jc	10 Zg	10	0	0

Table 8. Main soil associations of the Arabian Peninsula (FAO, 1995)



### Fig. 32: Soil associations: explanation of legend

#### Table 9. Main soil types of the Arabian Peninsula

Symbol	Name	Summary description
I	Lithosols	Undifferentiated very shallow soils; unsuitable for agriculture
Je	Eutric Fluvisols	Alluvial soils with good fertility status; the best soils for agriculture
Qa	Albic Arenosols	Strongly leached sandy soils, do not retain soil moisture; unsuitable for agriculture
Qc	Cambic Arenosols	Slightly matured sandy soils, retain soil moisture better; suitable for agriculture under sprinkler irrigation
R.c	Calcaric Regosols	Calcareous poorly developed soils, poor physical properties for agriculture
Re	Eutric Regosols	Poorly developed soils with moderate fertility; poor physical properties for agriculture
Yh	Haplic Yermosols	Undifferentiated very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts; management properties vary considerably; full irrigation is needed for all agricultural uses
Yk	Calcic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with calcium- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture
ΥI	Luvic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with clay- enriched subsoil; can be made suitable for agriculture if full irrigation is available
Yу	Gypsic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with gypsum- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture due to poor physical properties and need for full irrigation
Zg	Gleyic Solonchaks	Saline soils with insufficient drainage; unsuitable for agriculture
Zo	Orthic Solonchaks	Undifferentiated saline soils; unsuitable for agriculture





## 5.2. Soil management properties

Soil maps, particularly those at the regional or national level, are notoriously difficult to interpret as a guide to agricultural management. In most cases, only classification names are provided, but not the associated management properties, which are assumed to be understood. This is rarely the case, since most potential users of soil maps are not familiar with soil scientist jargon. For this reason, maps are needed that show the spatial distribution of soil management properties.

This is a particularly daunting task because soil management properties tend to vary considerably, even within classified soils. The best that can be done on a regional scale is to show the distribution of certain soil properties, which can be associated with reasonable likelihood to the soil classification. For example, Lithosols are by definition associated with shallow soil depth. Arenosols are sandy soils, Fluvisols are likely to have optimal depth, water holding capacity, and fertility status, Yermosols are associated with very low organic carbon levels, and Solonchaks cover the wide spectrum of saline soils. By looking at soil classification units as 'indicators' of soil management properties, it is feasible to map the distribution of some properties, but not others. It is possible to map soil texture, depth, stoniness, and some important fertility indicators, such as organic carbon content, pH, and cation exchange capacity (CEC). These are the more stable properties of soils, and are more shaped by climate, geology, and landform, than by human intervention. However, it is not possible to map physical properties, such as infiltration capacity, aggregate stability, or nutrient availability (N, P, K), because these properties are very site-specific and highly responsive to management.

The spatial distribution of some management properties is shown in the following set of maps. Refer to the legend in Figure 34 to link the properties to the dominant soil type and the other soil types of the soil association. The yellow color indicates, for example, that the particular soil management property is likely to occur in about 10-20% of the areas colored yellow.

## 5.2.1. Soil texture

Soil texture refers to the relative proportions of various particle size groups in a mass of soil (Soil Survey Staff, 1951). Specifically, it refers to the proportions of clay (<.002 mm), silt (.002-.05 mm), and sand (.05-2 mm). Soil scientists use a system of 12 standard textural classes (Figure 35). For a regional assessment

of textural distribution these classes are reduced to the three mentioned in section 5.1. The relationships between the standard and 'regional' textural classes are shown in Figure 35.



Fig. 34: Legend of soil property distribution maps - % of area



Fig 35: USDA textural triangle and simplified textural classes

Soil texture is a key management property. It determines the amount of water a soil can hold and make available to plants. In dry areas, soil texture is a key determinant of irrigation management. Clayey soils are suitable for different types of flood irrigation. They retain water very well, but are subject to high evaporation losses. Silty soils can be irrigated fairly infrequently, but have high percolation losses. Sandy soils demand very frequent irrigation, usually by sprinkler or drip systems, or, if very coarse, cannot be irrigated. Soil texture is also a determinant of soil structure and surface properties. Silty soils tend to form a surface seal, which reduces infiltration capacity and promotes runoff even on gentle slopes. In contrast, water infiltrates sandy soils and no evaporation losses occur. As a result, higher biomass productivity of natural vegetation is in the Arabian Peninsula often associated with sandy soils.

Figures 36-38 show the distribution of the coarse-textured, medium-textured, and fine-textured soils, respectively, in the Arabian Peninsula.

These figures demonstrate that the prevailing perception of the Arabian Peninsula as a sandy desert is incorrect. Most soils are medium-textured, with silt as dominant soil component. Sandy soils are common, particularly in the drier interior basins, but clayey soils are fairly uncommon.

### 5.2.2. Soil depth and stoniness

Soil depth and the related attribute, stoniness, are important management properties, since they determine the feasibility of mechanization and the soil



Fig. 36: Distribution of coarse-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)



Fig 37: Distribution of medium-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)



Fig 38: Distribution of fine-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

moisture storage capacity. Very shallow or stony soils do not have sufficient soil volume in which to store moisture, and therefore dry out more rapidly than deep soils, or soils of similar depth without stones. For the same reason, these soils have more difficulty absorbing rainfall during storms and thus generate much runoff.

The distribution of soil depth and related attributes are shown in Figures 39-41. The map of the dominant soil depth (Figure 39) is an oversimplification, but shows a clear pattern. Mountain areas are invariably associated with high levels of shallow (Figure 40) and stony soils (Figure 41). They occur mainly in the western highlands of the Midian, Hejaz, and Asir, the escarpment of the Yemen high plateau, and the Hajjarr and Mussandam mountains in Oman. In addition, there are interspersed but fairly large areas of gravel plains throughout the Peninsula. The most important ones occur in eastern Kuwait and south of the Hajjar mountains.

## 5.2.3. Soil fertility indicators

As mentioned earlier, the fertility status of soils is, in general, so site-specific and determined by management that any region-wide assessment is



Fig 39: Dominant soil depth



Fig 41: Distribution of gravelly and stony soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

meaningless, especially in a part of the world where moisture availability is the prevailing constraint. However, certain soil characteristics, such as organic carbon content, pH, CEC, and lime content, are indicative of the fertility status and are more static and spatially invariant, being mostly determined by climate.

The organic matter content is the most important indicator of the general fertility status of a soil. Although the composition of organic matter is also important, soils with a high organic matter content are usually productive. Dry and hot climates, which do not support a dense cover of vegetation, do not promote organic matter decay and accumulation. As a result, the organic carbon levels are very low in the Arabian Peninsula (Figure 42). Most of this organic matter is concentrated in the topsoil. The subsoils are virtually devoid of organic carbon, and, as a result, the total organic carbon pools are very low (Figure 43). Given the considerable overgrazing and vegetation degradation that has occurred during the last 30 years, it is suspected that even these low levels of organic carbon quoted are optimistic estimates.

Soil pH controls availability and eventual deficiency or toxicity of certain micronutrients, which are needed by plants in very small amounts but which are essential for plant growth, such as zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), and boron (B). At high pH, Zn might be deficient in sensitive plants. B-



Fig. 42: Dominant organic carbon levels



Fig. 43: Organic carbon pool

toxicity might occur in soils of volcanic origin, in other areas it might be deficient (Ryan et al., 1997). The soil pH hovers in the Arabian Peninsula around neutral or above (Figure 44). It is mainly controlled by the presence of free calcium carbonate (see further), and is not limiting to plant growth, except where it is the consequence of high soil salinity (pH >8.2).

Cation exchange capacity (measured in milliequivalents per 100 g soil) quantifies the clay fraction's capacity to retain nutrients, and is a measure of resilience against nutrient depletion. In dry climates, the CEC is generally high for most soils, with the exception of sandy soils, which do not have high enough clay content. The CEC is therefore related to soil texture, particularly the content of clay, sand, and coarse fragments. The patterns in Figure 45 reflect broad textural groupings rather than particular soil characteristics, with high CEC values associated with medium-and fine-textured soils.

The soils of the Arabian Peninsula are well supplied with free calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) as a result of inheritance from calcareous sediments and rocks, but also due to the lack of leaching and weathering (Ryan et al., 1997). Apart from its control on soil pH and availability of certain micronutrients, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, within reasonable amounts, is not an impediment to crop productivity. The distribution of calcareous soils is shown in Figure 46.

The same qualification applies to gypsum ( $CaSO_4.2H_2O$ ), which can be present in substantive amounts, particularly in the Gypsic Yermosol subgroup. Gypsum, like  $CaCO_3$ , is another soluble mineral of sedimentary origin that is very common in arid regions. Gypsiferous soils have special management



Fig. 44: Dominant soil pH



Fig. 45: Dominant cation exchange capacity


Fig. 46: Distribution of calcareous soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

properties. They can be irrigated with fairly saline water without causing salinity build-up. However, inefficient irrigation with high percolation losses, can cause the gypsum to dissolve, and the soil to collapse (possibly taking with it irrigation canals).

In some soils, lime or gypsum have built up massively to form hard banks. These 'indurated' soils are called 'petrocalcic' or 'petrogypsic' (petro, Greek root for 'rock'), depending on whether lime or gypsum is the cementing agent, and are often confused with sedimentary rocks. They are obviously unsuitable for agriculture. Their distribution is shown in Figure 47.

In contrast with most other soil types, saline soils show a great diversity in their appearance. Unless salinity is very pronounced, chemical tests are needed to recognize a saline soil. In order to be recognized as salt-affected, soils must have a minimum concentration of salts at some time of the year within the root zone. Soils are called saline when the total salt concentration, expressed in electrical conductivity (ECe) of a saturated extract, is above 15 deciSiemens per meter (dS m<sup>-1</sup>) at 25°C within 30 cm of the surface at some time of the year, or more than 4 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> if the pH (H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) exceeds 8.5. An ECe of 15 dS m<sup>-1</sup> corresponds with about 0.65% salt (Driessen and Dudal, 1991).

The distribution of soil salinity in the Arabian Peninsula is shown in Figure 48. Most of this salinity is due to primary salinization. This refers to the build-

up of salts as a result of lithological inheritance or topographical position, and is a natural process within arid zones. This map does not provide a good representation of secondary, or human-induced salinity. The latter has significantly increased since the large resource surveys of the 1970s and 1980s, due to the unregulated use of groundwater and large-scale irrigation development, often without adequate drainage.



Fig. 47: Distribution of soils with hardened lime or gypsum



Fig. 48: Distribution of soil salinity(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

## 5.3. Conclusions

Soil patterns in the Arabian Peninsula are controlled by the interaction of climate, landforms, and geological parent materials. There is no shortage of good agricultural soils; the obvious limitation to put them into production is water availability. Where irrigation water is available, standard fertility management practices are required, and, if provided, will allow maintenance or enhancement of soil quality. Gypsiferous soils require careful irrigation management, but they can be made productive.

# 6. Agricultural Production Systems

Notwithstanding extreme aridity and limited renewable water resources, the Arabian Peninsula has developed indigenous agricultural production systems, based on crop production under irrigation, and extensive livestock systems. Rapid economic development in the latter half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century has resulted in significant changes in the traditional agricultural systems of the subcontinent. Increased agricultural production has contributed to economic growth, but at the price of degradation of natural resources, particularly the rangelands and the non-renewable groundwater aquifers.

Country-level data indicate that the cropland areas are very limited in the Peninsula (Table 10). With the exception of Saudi Arabia and Yemen, the

Country	Surface *	Crop <sup>b</sup>	Irrigation <sup>c</sup>	
Bahrain	680	7.0	100	
Kuwait	17,820	0.4	71	
Oman	212,460	0.3	98	
Qatar	11,000	1.5	76	
S. Arabia	2,149,690	1.8	42	
UAE	83,600	1.0	89	
Yemen	527,970	2.9	31	

Table	10.	Cropland	in	the	Arabian	Peninsula
-------	-----	----------	----	-----	---------	-----------

Base year for statistics: 1997

<sup>a</sup> Country area in km<sup>2</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Crop: % of country area that is cropland (defined as sum of arable land and permanent cropland)

<sup>c</sup> Irrigation: irrigated land as a % of cropland area

Source: World Resources Institute, URL: http://earthtrengis.wri.org/country\_profiles

### 5.3. Conclusions

Soil patterns in the Arabian Peninsula are controlled by the interaction of climate, landforms, and geological parent materials. There is no shortage of good agricultural soils; the obvious limitation to put them into production is water availability. Where irrigation water is available, standard fertility management practices are required, and, if provided, will allow maintenance or enhancement of soil quality. Gypsiferous soils require careful irrigation management, but they can be made productive.

# 6. Agricultural Production Systems

Notwithstanding extreme aridity and limited renewable water resources, the Arabian Peninsula has developed indigenous agricultural production systems, based on crop production under irrigation, and extensive livestock systems. Rapid economic development in the latter half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century has resulted in significant changes in the traditional agricultural systems of the subcontinent. Increased agricultural production has contributed to economic growth, but at the price of degradation of natural resources, particularly the rangelands and the non-renewable groundwater aquifers.

Country-level data indicate that the cropland areas are very limited in the Peninsula (Table 10). With the exception of Saudi Arabia and Yemen, the

Country	Surface <sup>a</sup>	Crop <sup>b</sup>	Irrigation <sup>e</sup>	
Bahrain	680	7.0	100	
Kuwait	17,820	0.4	71	
Oman	212,460	0.3	98	
Qatar	11,000	1.5	76	
S. Arabia	2,149,690	1.8	42	
UAE	83,600	1.0	89	
Yemen	527,970	2.9	31	

Table 10. Cropland in the Arabian Peninsula

Base year for statistics: 1997

<sup>a</sup> Country area in km<sup>2</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Crop: % of country area that is cropland (defined as sum of arable land and permanent cropland)

° Irrigation: irrigated land as a % of cropland area

Source: World Resources Institute, URL: http://earthtrends.wri.org/country\_profiles





1

majority of areas under crops are irrigated. Both statistics underscore the critical limitation of water, since soil resources for agriculture are much less limiting (see Section 'Soils').

The land use and land cover of the Arabian Peninsula are shown in Figure 49. It is clear that most of the subcontinent is either bare or under very sparse vegetation. The lack of vegetation is probably as much the result of overgrazing as aridity. Between 1980 and 1996 the livestock numbers nearly doubled, from about 15 million to about 28 million sheep and goats, and from about 550,000 to 850,000 camels (FAO, 2001).

The best cover is found in the Yemen Highlands and Asir mountains. It consists of open shrubland and woodland interspersed with rainfed agriculture. (This is the only part of the Peninsula with a growing period adequate for rainfed agriculture. See Section 4.6.2.)

Between 1980 and 1996, area under irrigation more than doubled, aided by the use of modern irrigation technology, such as center-pivot and drip irrigation (Figure 50). Some large areas in the deserts of Saudi Arabia are irrigated, as are some valleys in Yemen (Figure 49). The spectacular growth in irrigated agriculture in the center of the Arabian Peninsula between 1983 and 1993 is shown in the four scenes from the 8-km resolution AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) satellite (Figures 51a-51d). The areas with high biomass productivity are shown in red or brownish colors. The rainfed areas of the

Yemen Highlands show up clearly, as do some coastal flats with halophyte vegetation. All other inland areas in red or brown are irrigated. In 1983 (Figure 51a) there were barely any irrigated areas. Ten years later they reached their maximum extent (Figure 51d).

The changes in biomass



Fig. 50: Evolution of irrigated areas in the Arabian Peninsula (1980-1996)

productivity as a result of irrigation in the desert (and some crop area increase in the rainfed areas of Yemen and the Asir) are shown in Figure 52. It should be noted that the small spots scattered across the image are probably artifacts due to errors in processing the satellite signal.

Since most of the irrigated agriculture is fed by fossil aquifers, which are barely recharged, this type of agriculture is obviously not sustainable.







Fig 51a, b, c, d: Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery. From top left: situation in 1983 (a), 1986 (b), 1990 (c) and 1993 (d).







# 7. Research Priorities in Agroecological Characterization

The Arabian Peninsula suffers from a public perception that it is a subcontinent of limited heterogeneity, poor agricultural potential, and low population densities. This view is oversimplified, and the Peninsula is far from monolithic in its agroecological characteristics. In fact, some agroecological niches, admittedly small, have high agricultural potential. The subcontinent also has important potential as a source of genetic diversity and abiotic stress resistance.

In order to realize this potential, there is a clear need for better agroecological characterization of the Peninsula. Numerous thematic surveys in the form of soil survey reports, climatic maps, and groundwater surveys exist, therefore, the need for new resource inventories is probably limited. The real need is to integrate this information in the form of agroecological frameworks for development. Specific methodologies, models, and decision-support systems **must** be developed to achieve and make use of this integration.

The number of synoptic and climatological stations for a subcontinent of this size is inadequate to map the variations in agroclimatic conditions, particularly in very dry, hot, and mountainous areas. With the exception of a few areas, time series of climatic data are generally short and often interrupted. As well, the temperature, radiation, moisture, humidity, and wind regimes in the Peninsula's agroecological niches will need to be properly characterized. This will require a network of well-monitored, integrated research sites.

The following research priorities in agroecological characterization have been identified for the Arabian Peninsula (De Pauw, 1998):

- Regional assessment of crop water requirements for enhancing water use efficiency
- Agroecological zoning for biodiversity conservation, rangeland rehabilitation, abiotic stress identification, and development planning
- Improved climate monitoring

# 7.1. Regional assessment of crop water requirements

A basic principle behind efficient water use is irrigation to meet the crop water requirement. Crop water requirements can be calculated from climatic and crop data. The main challenge in estimating crop water requirements is extrapolation of climatic and crop coefficient data, obtained by measurement at specific sites, to areas without data. As mentioned earlier, the climatic station network in the Arabian Peninsula is sparse and records are recent. In addition, apart from literature data on crop coefficients, relatively little work has been done on the reassessment of crop coefficients for local crop cultivars under the specific climatic conditions of the subcontinent. As a result, assessment of crop water requirements is usually very site-specific and difficult to generalize to agroecological zones.

Figure 17, which shows mean annual potential evapotranspiration, is a rough basis for estimating regional water requirements. This work needs to be improved, however, by using climatic data on a shorter time scale, and linking this with crop coefficients for specific crops and cultivars, calibrated in representative sites.

# 7.2. Agroecological zoning

There is considerable data available on climate, soils, and water resources in the Arabian Peninsula. These information sources range from fair to excellent, depending on the country and theme. With the exception of Yemen, little work has been done in the region to integrate these data for targeted agricultural research, ecosystem and biodiversity studies, and development planning. As a result, data that are potentially highly valuable are under-analyzed, under-utilized, and under-valued.

Area profiles (agroecological zones) are required to identify different environments as a basis for a holistic approach to development planning and resource conservation. Modeling, state-of-the-art interpolation techniques, GIS, and remote sensing could be used to integrate climatic, soils, and land cover data into agroecological zones. Agroecological frameworks can form a rational basis for agricultural research priority setting, promote transferability and compatibility across countries of research results, and provide an integrated spatial view of resource availability, quality, use, and degradation risk.

The concept of this research theme is illustrated in Figure 53. A macro-scale agroecological characterization allows assessment of edaphic diversity at the level of broad landscapes. This edaphic diversity is nearly always associated



Fig. 53: Mapping the distribution of plant communities or species using landscape frameworks.

with different plant communities. The plants of the Arabian Peninsula have been described in many studies, but they have not been mapped in a consistent framework that allows extrapolation across the region. An agroecological characterization at macro-scale would address the issue of biodiversity assessment by linking landscapes (with their climatic, landscape and soil patterns) to plant communities and species.

# 7.3. Improved climate monitoring

Techniques of data spatialization and remote sensing, such as those used in this publication, are valuable tools for extracting maximum information content from data sparse areas. However, they cannot compensate fully for the tremendous climatic data gap in the Arabian Peninsula. Meteorological services in the region should make an effort to service the most needy areas, using agroecological frameworks as a basis for siting weather stations in representative locations. Automatic stations, with data loggers and data transmission by telephone or satellite, can overcome the remoteness of such areas.

In addition, different meteorological data networks, including those independent of the national meteorological services, should form partnerships, assisting each other with data collection, pooling, and sharing.

# 8. Methods and Data Sources

# 8.1. General maps

### **Roads and population centers**

Obtained from the Digital Chart of the World (ESRI, 1993). Annual precipitation background: see 'Climatic maps.'

## **Population density**

Obtained from Columbia University's Center for International Environmental Science Information Network (CIESIN) Gridded Population Database of the World (GPW version 2). This database contains estimates of population density (in square kilometers) of the world in 1995. [On-line document. URL:

http://sedac.ciesin.org/pluc/gpw/index.html?main.html&2

# 8.2. Relief and geomorphology

# Altitude

Derived from 1-km resolution global DEM GTOPO30 (USGS, 1996).

# **Elevation** range

Derived from 1-km resolution global DEM GTOPO30 (USGS, 1996) by applying a range filter on the 8 neighboring cells of each grid cell.

### Geomorphological regions

Polyline on-screen digitizing of boundaries from Guba and Glennic (1998) on the elevation range background.

Salt flats: polygon digitizing based on FAO (1995), with corrections based on elevation range background. (Afterwards added as a mask.) Wadi network: obtained from the Digital Chart of the World (ESRI, 1993).

# 8.3. Climatic maps

All climatic maps were prepared by converting point data into grid datasets (with 1 km resolution) through spatial interpolation methods. The point data were obtained from an international climatic database, FAOCLIM (FAO,

1995b), supplemented with data obtained from meteorological records of the region. (Particularly for Oman, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates, and, to a lesser extent, Yemen, stations could be added to the FAOCLIM database.)

The basic climatic variables processed were mean maximum and minimum monthly temperature (Tmax and Tmin), mean monthly precipitation (Prec), and mean monthly potential evapotranspiration (PET). The latter parameter was pre-processed for the stations of the FAOCLIM database using the Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998). For stations with only temperature data available, but not the other variables required by the Penman-Monteith formula (sunshine/radiation, wind, humidity), a two-step approach was followed to estimate PET according to the Penman-Monteith method by regression:

1. Calculate PET according to the Hargreaves method (Choisnel et al., 1992):

PET <sub>HG</sub> = .0023 \* Ra \* (T<sub>mean</sub> + 17.8) \* 
$$\sqrt{(T_{max} - T_{min})}$$

With:

Ra: extraterrestrial radiation (calculated from latitude and time of year);

Tmean: mean temperature

Tmin: minimum temperature

Tmax: maximum temperature

2. Estimate Penman PET from Hargreaves PET using regression equations for climatically homogeneous regions. The regions were obtained from the Köppen climatic classification and the regression equations used are shown in Table 11.

Köppen regjion	Description.	Equation	$r^2$
BSs	Semi-arid climate with summer drought	$PET_{PM} = 1.1058 PET_{UC}$ 14.909	.90
BSw	Semi-arid climate with winter drought	$PET_{PM} = 0.1478 PET_{BG}^{-1.3689}$	.85
BW	Arið climate	$PET_{PM} = 1.1594 PET_{IIG} - 7.3988$	.81

Table 11. Conversion equations from PET (Hargreaves) to PET (Penman-Montcith)

PET PET calculated according to the method of Penman-Monteith (mmn)

PET<sub>ug</sub>: PET calculated according to the method of Hargreaves (mm)

TP: mean monthly temperature (°C)

From these four **basic** climatic parameters, the following **derived** climatic parameters were calculated: mean average monthly temperature (Temp), and the mean average temperature in summer (Tsum) and winter (Twin).

The interpolation technique used was a thin plate smoothing spline using the method of Hutchinson (1995) and the software package ANUSPLIN. This method is essentially a radial basis interpolation function of the type:

$$B(h) = (h^2 + R^2) \log (h^2 + R^2)$$

With:

B: weight at the grid node

H: anisotropically rescaled, relative distance from the point to the node

R<sup>2</sup> smoothing factor specified by the user

In the approach of Hutchinson the smoothing factor, or inversely, the degree of complexity of the created 'climate surface,' is determined automatically from the database by minimizing a measure of predictive error of the fitted surface given by the generalized cross validation (GCV). In the surface fitting procedure three independent spline variables were used, longitude, latitude, and elevation above sea level. They were considered the most appropriate for fitting surfaces related to temperature or precipitation parameters.

Twelve monthly climate surfaces were created for each of the basic climatic parameters (Tmax, Tmin, Temp, Prec, and PET), and a surface for Tsum and Twin. These elementary climate surfaces were combined into various derived climate surfaces using formulas and models, which will be explained in the following sections.

#### Aridity index (AI)

$$AI = \prod_{i=1}^{12} \text{ prec } / \prod_{i=1}^{12} \text{ pet}$$

with i: month number

prec: total precipitation during month I

pet: total potential evapotranspiration (Penman-Monteith) during month I

#### **Precipitation deficit (PD)**

$$PD = \prod_{i=1}^{12} (prec_i - pet_i)$$

#### Annual heat units (AHU)

$$AHU = \int_{i=1}^{12} (Temp_i xNumDays_i)_{Temp>Threshold}$$

with: Temp: mean monthly temperature (°C) during month i
 NumDays: number of days in month i
 Threshold: temperature below which no accumulation is done (in this study: 0°C)

#### Climatic growing period (GP)

 $GP_ON = (Date)_{aet/pet>Threshold}$ 

 $GP\_END = (Date)_{aet/pet < Threshold}$ 

LGP = GP END - GP ON

with: GP\_ON: growing period onset date GP\_END: growing period end date LGP: length of growing period

In the Arabian Peninsula low temperature is not a significant limiting factor, therefore only the moisture limitation is considered to determine the growing period.

The criterion used for the definition of a moisture-limited growing period is whether the ratio of actual evapotranspiration (AET) to potential evapotranspiration (PET) for any particular month is higher than a user-defined threshold. If it is, that month is part of a growing period, if it is not, that month is not part of the growing period. The start date of the growing period is obtained from linear interpolation of the AET/PET ratios between the last month that is part of the growing period, and the first month that is not part of the growing period. The end date, inversely, is obtained by linear interpolation of the AET/PET ratios between the last month that is part of the growing period, and the first one that is not part of the growing period.

$$GP_ON = M_Start + NDays \frac{Thre - R_0}{R_1 - R_0}$$

$$GP \_END = M \_End + NDays2 \frac{Thre - R_{n-1}}{R_n - R_{n-1}}$$

with: M\_Start: the number of days from 1 January up to the end of the last month that is not part of the growing period

M\_End: the number of days from 1 January up to the end of the month preceding the last month of the growing period

NDays: number of days in the first month of the growing period

NDays2: number of days in the last month of the growing period

Thre: |AET/PET threshold for defining a growing period (user-defined; for this study set to .5)

R<sub>0</sub>: AET/PET ratio for the month preceding the first month of the growing period;

R<sub>1</sub>: AET/PET ratio for the first month of the growing period;

R<sub>n-1</sub>: AET/PET ratio for the month preceding the last month of the growing period;

R<sub>n</sub>: AET/PET ratio for the last month of the growing period.

If more than one growing period occurs, a distinction is made between the first and the second growing period, but the calculation procedure is the same.

### Similarity index

A combined temperature-precipitation based similarity index is calculated as follows<sup>1</sup>:

- 1. For each grid cell the 12 monthly mean temperature (Temp) and precipitation values (Prec) are taken;
- 2. The square deviations with the match locations are summed:

$$Tr = \frac{12}{i=1} \left[ 0 \left( Temp_i - T_i \right) \right]^2$$

and

$$\Pr = \frac{12}{i=1} \left( \Pr \operatorname{ec}_{i} - \Pr_{i} \right)^{2}$$

- 3. The deviations are sorted and ranked into arrays  $[Tr]_n$  and  $[Pr]_m$ .
- 4. The similarity index for temperature in a grid cell j is then calculated as:

$$Ts_{j} = 100 \ 1 - \frac{1 - rank(Tr_{j}, Tr)}{N - 1}$$

and similarity in precipitation as:

Procedure developed by Dr. F. Pertziger, SANIGMII, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

in which rank (b, A) is a ranking number of b in array A.

5. The combined temperature-precipitation similarity is calculated as:

where the  $W_T$  and  $W_p$  are the weights assigned to temperature and precipitation, respectively. In this study, equal weights have been used for  $W_T$  and  $W_p$ 

#### **Biomass productivity indices**

A distinction is made between biomass productivity indices for natural vegetation/rangeland and for crops.

One rangeland biomass productivity index (RBPI) is defined as follows:

$$RBPI = AHU \times AI$$

with: AHU: annual heat units (°C days) AI: aridity index

The RBPI can, therefore, be considered as the atmospheric energy available for biomass production, as expressed by accumulated temperature, adjusted for the moisture regime.

Biomass productivity indices for crops can be developed using the same principle, except that temperature outside the time bounds of the moisturelimited growing period are not considered.

The first step is to calculate a daily adjusted thermal increment (ATI) as a function of the adaptability range for each crop group.

Crop group	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>opt1</sub>	T <sub>opt2</sub>	T <sub>x</sub>	
]	5	15	20	33	
II	10	25	30	45	
III	15	25	35	50	
IV	10	20	30	45	

 Table 12. Adaptability to temperature for different crop groups (adapted from FAO, 1978)

Adaptability expressed in relation to four cardinal temperature points (all in °C):

T<sub>0</sub>: the daytime temperature below which no assimilation takes place (cold-limited);

T<sub>opt1</sub>: the lower daytime temperature threshold above which maximum assimilation takes place;

 $T_{mv}$ : the higher daytime temperature threshold above which assimilation rate declines;

T: the day-time temperature above which no assimilation takes place (heat-limited)

For a particular daytime temperature Tday (as assimilation takes place during the day), ATI can be defined as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} ATI = 0 & [Tday <= T0 \text{ or } Tday >= Tx] \\ ATI = Tday - T0 & [Tday > T0 \text{ and } Tday < T_{opt1}] \\ ATI = (T_{opt1} + T_{opt2})/2 - T0 & [Tday >= T_{opt1} \text{ and } Tday <= T_{opt2}] \\ ATI = Tx - Tday & [Tday > T_{opt2} \text{ and } Tday < Tx] \end{array}$ 

The concept of ATI is thus related to the concept of heat units, but the accumulation is weighted according to the distance of the real daytime temperature from the optimal daytime temperature for each crop group.

The daytime temperature is estimated from the minimum and maximum temperature as:

$$Tday = T_{mean} + \frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{\pi}$$

The ATI values are summed for each crop group on a daily basis between the onset and end dates of the growing period. Since daily data are needed for operational reasons, and the interpretation derived from this exercise does not require high precision, the daily values can be interpreted from the monthly temperature values through linear interpolation. The biomass productivity index for each crop group can then be defined as:

$$CBPI_{j} = \frac{{}_{GP\_END}^{GP\_END}}{(ATI)_{i,j}}$$

with: j: crop group

I: day number ATI: adjusted thermal increment (°C) GP\_ON: growing period onset date GP\_END: growing period end date

### 8.4. Soil maps

All soil information was obtained, directly or indirectly, from the FAO Soil Map of the World (SMW).

### Soil associations

Obtained directly from the digital version of the SMW (FAO, 1995).

### **Derived soil properties**

The original resolution of the derived soil property maps on the Digital SMW is 5 arc-minutes (about 10 x 10 km). To allow mapping of the derived soil properties at 1 km resolution, the original Soil Associations vector file was converted to a 30 arc-second grid. The QuickBasic code of the viewing program IMAGES.BAS was then modified to allow operation on a 30 arc-second grid and to export the generated maps as ASCII grids.

### 8.5. Land use and cover maps

### Land use/cover

Map clipped from CWANA map in Celis and De Pauw (2001).

### Irrigated areas

NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) from AVHRR 8 x 8 km downloaded from Goddard DAAC as monthly datasets for period 1981-94. (URL:

### http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/CAMPAIGN\_DOCS/LAND\_BIO/GLBDST\_Data.ht ml)

Threshold of NDVI > 0.46 used to superimpose masks for years 1982, 1985, 1987, 1990, and 1993 representing expansion of irrigated areas.

### References

- Allen, R.G., Percira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith M. 1998. Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO, Rome. 300 pp.
- Barth, H.K. 1976. Natürraumliche Gliederung und Einzellandschaften. Pages 51-90 in Saudi Arabien. Natur, Geschichte, Mensch und Wirtschaft (Blume, H.H. ed.) H. Erdmann Verlag
- Celis, D. and De Pauw, E. 2001. Assessment of land cover/land use and growing period characteristics in CWANA region using AVHRR imagery. ICARDA Research Report, ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- Choisnel, E., de Villele, O. and Lacroze, F. 1992. Une approche uniformisée du calcul de l'évapotranspiration potentielle pour l'ensemble des pays de la Communauté Européenne. Centre Commun de Recherche, Commission des Communautés Européennes, EUR 14223. 178 pp.
- Cochemé, J. and Franquin, P. 1967. An agroclimatology survey of a semi-arid area in Africa south of the Sahara. World Meteorological Organization, 86, No. 210, TP 110.
- De Pauw, E. 1983. Agricultural potential and constraints in relation to growing periods and their variability in Tanzania. Pédologie 33:2: 147?170.
- De Pauw, E. 1998. Status of agroecological characterization in the Arabian Peninsula. Report prepared for the Regional Technical Coordination Meeting held in Manama, Bahrain, 24-26 May 1998. Arabian Peninsula Regional Program, ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- Driessen, P.M. and Dudal, R. (eds.) 1991. The major soils of the world. Lecture notes on their geography, formation, properties and use. Agricultural University of Wageningen and Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. 310 pp. ISBN 90-800725-1-6.
- ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute). 1993. Digital chart of the world. ESRI, Redlands, California, USA
- I'AO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1974. FAO-UNESCO soil map of the world. Vol.I: Legend. UNESCO, Paris.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1978?81. Reports of the agro?ecological zones project. World Soil Resources Report No. 48, Vol.1 Africa. FAO, Rome.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1988. FAO-UNESCO soil map of the world: revised legend. World Soil Resources Report No. 60. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1995. Digital soil map of the world and derived soil properties. CD-ROM, version 3.5, November 1995.
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1995b. FAOCLIM 1.2. A CD-ROM with a compilation of monthly worldwide agroclimatic data. FAO Agrometeorology Working Paper Series No.11. FAO, Rome, Italy. 68 pp. (and one CD-ROM)
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2001. FAOSTAT Agriculture

# References

- Allen, R.G., Percira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith M. 1998. Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56. FAO, Rome. 300 pp.
- Barth, H.K. 1976. Natürraumliche Gliederung und Einzellandschaften. Pages 51-90 in Saudi Arabien. Natur, Geschichte, Mensch und Wirtschaft (Blume, H.H. ed.) H. Erdmann Verlag
- Celis, D. and De Pauw, E. 2001. Assessment of land cover/land use and growing period characteristics in CWANA region using AVHRR imagery. ICARDA Research Report, ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- Choisnel, E., de Villele, O. and Lacroze, F. 1992. Une approche uniformisée du calcul de l'évapotranspiration potentielle pour l'ensemble des pays de la Communauté Européenne. Centre Commun de Recherche, Commission des Communautés Européennes, EUR 14223. 178 pp.
- Cochemé, J. and Franquin, P. 1967. An agroclimatology survey of a semi-arid area in Africa south of the Sahara. World Meteorological Organization, 86, No. 210, TP 110.
- De Pauw, E. 1983. Agricultural potential and constraints in relation to growing periods and their variability in Tanzania. Pédologie 33:2: 147?170.
- De Pauw, E. 1998. Status of agroecological characterization in the Arabian Peninsula. Report prepared for the Regional Technical Coordination Meeting held in Manama, Bahrain, 24-26 May 1998. Arabian Peninsula Regional Program, ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria.
- Driessen, P.M. and Dudal, R. (eds.) 1991. The major soils of the world. Lecture notes on their geography, formation, properties and use. Agricultural University of Wageningen and Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. 310 pp. ISBN 90-800725-1-6.
- ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute). 1993. Digital chart of the world. ESRI, Redlands, California, USA
- IAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1974. FAO-UNESCO soil map of the world. Vol.I: Legend. UNESCO, Paris.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1978?81. Reports of the agro?ccological zones project. World Soil Resources Report No. 48, Vol.1 Africa. FAO, Rome.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1988. FAO-UNESCO soil map of the world: revised legend. World Soil Resources Report No. 60. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1995. Digital soil map of the world and derived soil properties. CD-ROM, version 3.5, November 1995.
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 1995b. FAOCLIM 1.2. A CD-ROM with a compilation of monthly worldwide agroclimatic data. FAO Agrometeorology Working Paper Series No.11. FAO, Rome, Italy. 68 pp. (and one CD-ROM)
- FAO. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2001. FAOSTAT Agriculture

Data. FAO Statistical Databases. http://apps.fao.org/page/collections?subset=agriculture

- Fisher, M. and Membery, D.A. 1998. Climate. Pages 5-38 in Vegetation of the Arabian Peninsula. Geobotany 25 (Ghazanfar, S.A. and Fisher, M. eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 362 pp.
- Cuch D.B. and Larson, K.S. 1996. Techniques for development of global 1-kilometer digital on models. in Pecora Thirteen, Human interactions with the environment.
  - , and on-line document. URL: http://edcdaac.usgs.gov/gtopo30/README.html)
- Guba, I. and Glennie, K. 1998. Geology and geomorphology. Pages 39-62 in Vegetation of the Arabian Peninsula. Geobotany 25 (Ghazanfar, S.A. and Fisher, M. eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 362 pp.
- Hutchinson, M.F. 1995. Interpolating mean rainfall using thin plate smoothing splines. International Journal of Geographical Information Systems, 9: 385-403.
- Kürschner, H. 1998. Biogeography and introduction to vegetation. Pages 63-98 in Vegetation of the Arabian Peninsula. Geobotany 25 (Ghazanfar, S.A. and Fisher, M. eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, 362 pp.
- Ryan, J., Masri, S., Garabet, S., Diekmann, J. and Habib, H. 1997. Soils of ICARDA's agricultural experiment stations and sites. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, 107 pp.
- USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) 1951. Soil survey manual. USDA, Agriculture handbook No. 18. USDA, Washington, D.C., USA.
- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization) 1979. Map of the world distribution of arid regions.

Map at scale 1:25,000,000 with explanatory note. UNESCO, Paris. 54 pp.

World Resources Institute. 2001. EarthTrends.

On-line database. URL: http://earthtrends.wri.org/country\_profiles















-8-

Table 2. Continnue

symbol	Name	Altitude range (m)	Description
8	Southern limestone plateaux	300-1200	Includes the Hadramaut plateau and the raised plateau of Dhofar, which can locally reach an altitude of 2000 m, and dips to the north. The Dhofar plateau is bounded southward by an
9	Hajar Mountains	500-2500	escarpment. Steeply dissected narrow mountain range with heights up to 3000 m, formed by sedimentary rocks.

density, covering the northwestern part of the Peninsula, is shown in Figure 5. It is worth noting the absence of drainage channels in the eastern part, which is occupied by sand dunes.

The wadis are common to all geomorphological units, with the exception of sand dunc areas, and support plant communities that are dependent on the water regime. Along wadis the vegetation cover is usually denser, except under conditions of overgrazing, fuelwood extraction, or aquifer over pumping. However, the vegetation pattern is highly site-specific, determined by the frequency of flooding, the stream velocity, type of sediments and coarse materials deposited, and variability of rainfall in the catchment areas ((Kürschner, 1998).



Fig. 5: Wadi network in the northwest of the Arabian Peninsula(Note: Wadis shown as blue lines. Elevation range is the same as in Fig.3)







Fig. 7: Seasonal distribution of precipitation. Clockwise from top left: winter (a), spring (b), summer (c), autumn (d)



Fig. 8: Variability of annual rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978)



Fig. 9: Probability distribution of annual rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978))



Fig. 10: Variability of monthly rainfall, Muscat, Oman (period 1893-1978)

The nature of individual rainstorms is such that they are often of limited spatial extent with considerable gradients in intensity and amount. This implies that in large areas in the middle of a 'rainy season,' the pattern in reality might be one of intense rains separated by dry conditions or light falls (Jackson, 1977). This 'spottiness' of rainfall has also been suggested by Fisher and Membery (1998).

The implication of increasing rainfall variability with decreasing time scale is of fundamental importance to our understanding of vegetation growth, biomass productivity, and climatic adaptation in the Arabian Peninsula. Since temperature is usually not limiting, growth and flowering occurs whenever and wherever water is available, irrespective of time of year and 'statistical' dry and wet periods.

### 4.3. Temperature

The Arabian Peninsula is warm. More than 90% of its area has a mean annual temperature of 20°C. A small area (shown in red) has a mean annual temperature exceeding 30°C. The cooler areas are shown in magenta in figure 11. They correspond with the western Yemen highlands, the Asir mountains, and the sandstone and limestone plateaux bordering Jordan.



Fig. 11: Areas of the Arabian Peninsula with mean annual temperature exceeding 30°C (in red), or below 20°C (in magenta)

The major factors controlling temperature are elevation and latitude. From south to north there is a clear cooling trend, owing to increased exposure to cold continental air masses in winter. The map of mean annual temperature (Figure 12) illustrates these controls on temperature.

Temperature is strongly seasonal, with the lowest temperatures in the period December-February and the highest in the period June-September. The areas exposed to the Indian monsoon are an exception. These show a noticeable temperature drop in July-August, as illustrated by the climate diagram for Salalah (Figure 13).

Figures 14 and 15 show the temperature of the coldest and the warmest month, respectively.

Temperature seasonality tends to increase from the southcast to the northwest. The variability of temperature between years, in contrast with rainfall variability, is very low.

Temperature patterns can also be represented as the distribution of available atmospheric energy, which evaporates water or makes plants grow faster, for example. This representation of temperature as a source of energy for plant growth and biomass production can be done through the concept of accumulated heat units or growing degree days, which sum the daily



Fig. 12: Mean annual temperature



Fig. 13: Climate diagram for Salalah, Oman



Fig. 14: Mean temperature of the coldest month



temperatures above a threshold (e.g., 0 °C) for a specified period (e.g., one year). The map of accumulated heat units in Figure 16 shows, unsurprisingly, the same pattern as the map of mean annual temperature, only the units (°C days) are different. It will be used later (see Section 4.7) to assess by proxy the potential productivity of natural vegetation.










Fig. 19: Annual precipitation deficit (mm)



Fig. 20: Winter types (blue: cool; green: mild; yellow: warm)

In areas with cool winters, vegetation growth is limited by cold. If rainfall is concentrated in winter, plants adapted to these conditions will be characterized by rapid phenological development in spring and efficient soil moisture extraction. In areas with mild and warm winters, vegetative growth is possible in winter, and becomes more rapid with increasing temperature.

The summer type is determined by the average mean temperature during summer months. Table 5 shows the summer type classes and the areas they occupy. Figure 21 shows the spatial distribution of the summer types. The mild summers are confined to the highest parts of the Yemen highlands.

Summer type	Temperature class (°C)	%	km <sup>2</sup>
Mild (M)	10-20	0.48	15,417
Warm (W)	20-30	36.12	1,150,600
Very warm (VW)	>30	63.40	2,019,492

Table 5. Areas	under	different	summer	types
----------------	-------	-----------	--------	-------



Fig. 21: Summer types (green: mild; yellow: warm; red: very warm)

The combinations between moisture regimes and winter and summer types form individual climatic patterns, or agroclimatic zones. For example, the pattern HA-M-VW represents a climate with a hyper-arid moisture regime, mild winter type, and very warm summer type. In total, 22 agroclimatic zones have been differentiated in the Arabian Peninsula. Of these, eight taken together comprise 95% of the region. The remaining 14 are fairly small agroclimatic 'islands' with climatic conditions that are either more humid or colder than surrounding areas.

The extent of the agroclimatic zones is shown, in order of importance, in Table 6. The spatial distribution is shown in Figure 22.

Figures 23a-23h are climate diagrams of stations representing some agroclimatic zones. Some zones cannot be represented due to their limited extent and the lack of meteorological data (see further).

## 4.5.2. Similarity of climatic conditions

In all the maps in this chapter, the value of selected climatic parameters is shown as classes with well-defined ranges, e.g., precipitation classes 0-10 mm, 10-20 mm, etc. These classes show similarity in a way that is independent of the





ACZ	Representative station	%	Km <sup>2</sup>	ACZ <sup>1</sup>	%	km <sup>2</sup>
A-M-VW	Riyadh	35.3	1,123,841	SA-M-M	0.4	11,522
A-M-W	Abha	17.7	563,774	SA-W-W	0.1	3,481
HA-M-VW	Al Jouf	15.5	495,144	A-M-M	0.1	1,925
HA-W-VW	Muscat	7.2	229,484	SA-C-M	0.0	866
A-W-W		6.0	190,051	SH-M-M	0.0	828
HA-M-W	Tabuk	5.0	160,135	HA-C-VW	0.0	663
A-W-VW	El Kod	5.0	158,775	HA-C-W	0.0	469
HA-W-W		4.2	134,213	SA-C-W	0.0	426
A-C-W		2.4	75,341	SH-M-W	0.0	222
SA-M-W	Taiz	0.7	22,492	SH-C-M	0.0	148
A-C-VW		0.4	11,580	A-C-M	0.0	127

Table 6. Extent of agroclimatic zones of the Arabian Peninsula

All data from mabar station.



Fig. 23a: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-M-W: Abha, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23b: Station representative for agroclimatic zone HA-M-VW: Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23c: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-W-VW: EJ Kod, Yemen

Fig. 23d: Station representative for agroclimatic zone SA-M-M: Mabar, Yemen





Fig. 23e: Station representative for agroclimatic zone HA-W-VW: Muscat, Oman

Fig. 23f: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-M-VW: Riyadh, Saudi Arabia



values in individual locations. There is, however, a very different approach that consists of taking the value of a climatic parameter or index at one location (the 'match' location) and mapping similar locations ('target' locations). This approach is valuable for assessing the likelihood of successful introduction of a plant species in a different area, in the assumption that the more similar the environments the more likely will be the adaptation.

The key is to be clear in the purpose and define similarity indices accordingly. If the purpose is to assess adaptation to heat stress, a temperaturebased similarity index is needed. If the objective is to assess adaptation to drought, a precipitation-based index is needed. In this publication the purpose is to assess similarity both in moisture and temperature conditions, and for this reason a combined similarity index has been developed (see Section 8.3.).

Figures 24a-24h show similarity between each part of the Arabian Peninsula and a reference location. In this case the reference locations are the stations that represent the main agroclimatic zones. In all cases, similarity is shown on the same scale between zero and one, with zero indicating total dissimilarity and one total similarity. These examples demonstrate that in some cases the adaptability domain, as expressed by a high similarity index value, is vcry widespread, and in other cases very limited.



Fig. 24a: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Abha, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24b: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24c: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with El Kod, Yemen



Fig. 24d: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Mabar, Yemen



Fig. 24e: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Muscat, Oman



Fig. 24f: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Riyadh, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24g: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Tabuk, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 24h: Similarity in temperature and precipitation patterns with Taiz, Yemen







highest quality, ensuring optimal biomass productivity, and, if of sufficient length, good crop yields. No representative station is available for the Arabian Peninsula; therefore, the concept is illustrated with an example from Aleppo, Syria (Figure 26c).



Fig. 26c: Example of a normal growing period (Aleppo, Syria)

## 4.6.2. Duration and onset of the growing period

In most of the Arabian Peninsula, length and timing of the growing period are highly variable as a result of pronounced rainfall variability (see section 4.2.3.). The only areas where the growing period is sufficiently reliable to appear in average data are the highlands of Yemen. This part of the Peninsula has two rainy seasons, one in March-May, the other in July-September. The durations of the resulting two growing periods are shown in figures 27a and 27b. The onset date of the main growing period is shown in Figure 27c.



Fig. 27a: Length of the first growing period, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 27b: Length of the second growing period, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 27c: Onset of the main growing period, Yemen Highlands

# 4.7. Biomass productivity and climate

Climate is the primary determinant of potential biomass productivity of plants and crops. This is because assimilation – the capture by plants of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere and its conversion into carbohydrates – is determined by radiation energy and water availability. Biomass productivity should, therefore, be related to climatic factors, in particular temperature (as proxy for the radiation energy) and soil moisture. Apart from radiation and moisture regime, the rate of assimilation and biomass production is strongly determined by crop characteristics.

According to the response of assimilation rate to temperature, FAO (1978-81) has proposed four crop groups (Table 7). Each crop group has a different response function, or adaptability range, to temperature (Figure 28).

Crop group	Crop types	Optimal mean temperature range	Examples
I	C3	15-20	Barley, bread wheat, chickpea, lentil, olive, sunflower, cabbage, oats, rye, grape, sugar beet; temperate grasses; almost all trees
2	C3 adapted for higher temperatures	25-30	Cotton, groundnut, cowpea, soybean, tobacco, sunflower, sesame, rice, fig, grape, olive
3	C4	30-35	Maize, sorghum, sugarcane, all millets, fonio rice; tropical grasses
4	C4 adapted for lower temperatures	20-30	Maize, sorghum, millets

Table 7. Adaptability ranges of different crop groups



Fig. 28: Temperature adaptability ranges for different crop groups

Using this concept of crop adaptability groups, biomass productivity indices have been developed for each crop group. For the exact definition of each crop biomass productivity index (CBPI) refer to Section 8.3.



Fig. 29a: Biomass productivity index for crop group I, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29c: Biomass productivity index for crop group III, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29b: Biomass productivity index for crop group II, Yemen Highlands



Fig. 29d: Biomass productivity index for crop group IV, Yemen Highlands











#### Fig. 32: Soil associations: explanation of legend

#### Table 9. Main soil types of the Arabian Peninsula

Symbol	Name	Summary description
I	Lithosols	Undifferentiated very shallow soils; unsuitable for agriculture
Je	Eutric Fluvisols	Alluvial soils with good fertility status; the best soils for agriculture
Qa	Albic Arenosols	Strongly leached sandy soils, do not retain soil moisture; unsuitable for agriculture
Qc	Cambic Arenosols	Slightly matured sandy soils, retain soil moisture better; suitable for agriculture under sprinkler irrigation
R.c	Calcaric Regosols	Calcareous poorly developed soils, poor physical properties for agriculture
Re	Eutric Regosols	Poorly developed soils with moderate fertility; poor physical properties for agriculture
Yh	Haplic Yermosols	Undifferentiated very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts; management properties vary considerably; full irrigation is needed for all agricultural uses
Yk	Calcic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with calcium- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture
ΥI	Luvic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with clay- enriched subsoil; can be made suitable for agriculture if full irrigation is available
Yу	Gypsic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with gypsum- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture due to poor physical properties and need for full irrigation
Zg	Gleyic Solonchaks	Saline soils with insufficient drainage; unsuitable for agriculture
Zo	Orthic Solonchaks	Undifferentiated saline soils; unsuitable for agriculture





of textural distribution these classes are reduced to the three mentioned in section 5.1. The relationships between the standard and 'regional' textural classes are shown in Figure 35.



Fig. 34: Legend of soil property distribution maps - % of area



Fig 35: USDA textural triangle and simplified textural classes

Soil texture is a key management property. It determines the amount of water a soil can hold and make available to plants. In dry areas, soil texture is a key determinant of irrigation management. Clayey soils are suitable for different types of flood irrigation. They retain water very well, but are subject to high evaporation losses. Silty soils can be irrigated fairly infrequently, but have high percolation losses. Sandy soils demand very frequent irrigation, usually by sprinkler or drip systems, or, if very coarse, cannot be irrigated. Soil texture is also a determinant of soil structure and surface properties. Silty soils tend to form a surface seal, which reduces infiltration capacity and promotes runoff even on gentle slopes. In contrast, water infiltrates sandy soils and no evaporation losses occur. As a result, higher biomass productivity of natural vegetation is in the Arabian Peninsula often associated with sandy soils.

Figures 36-38 show the distribution of the coarse-textured, medium-textured, and fine-textured soils, respectively, in the Arabian Peninsula.

These figures demonstrate that the prevailing perception of the Arabian Peninsula as a sandy desert is incorrect. Most soils are medium-textured, with silt as dominant soil component. Sandy soils are common, particularly in the drier interior basins, but clayey soils are fairly uncommon.

## 5.2.2. Soil depth and stoniness

Soil depth and the related attribute, stoniness, are important management properties, since they determine the feasibility of mechanization and the soil



Fig. 36: Distribution of coarse-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)



Fig 37: Distribution of medium-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)



Fig 38: Distribution of fine-textured soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

moisture storage capacity. Very shallow or stony soils do not have sufficient soil volume in which to store moisture, and therefore dry out more rapidly than deep soils, or soils of similar depth without stones. For the same reason, these soils have more difficulty absorbing rainfall during storms and thus generate much runoff.

The distribution of soil depth and related attributes are shown in Figures 39-41. The map of the dominant soil depth (Figure 39) is an oversimplification, but shows a clear pattern. Mountain areas are invariably associated with high levels of shallow (Figure 40) and stony soils (Figure 41). They occur mainly in the western highlands of the Midian, Hejaz, and Asir, the escarpment of the Yemen high plateau, and the Hajjarr and Mussandam mountains in Oman. In addition, there are interspersed but fairly large areas of gravel plains throughout the Peninsula. The most important ones occur in eastern Kuwait and south of the Hajjar mountains.

## 5.2.3. Soil fertility indicators

As mentioned earlier, the fertility status of soils is, in general, so site-specific and determined by management that any region-wide assessment is



Fig 39: Dominant soil depth



Fig 41: Distribution of gravelly and stony soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

meaningless, especially in a part of the world where moisture availability is the prevailing constraint. However, certain soil characteristics, such as organic carbon content, pH, CEC, and lime content, are indicative of the fertility status and are more static and spatially invariant, being mostly determined by climate.

The organic matter content is the most important indicator of the general fertility status of a soil. Although the composition of organic matter is also important, soils with a high organic matter content are usually productive. Dry and hot climates, which do not support a dense cover of vegetation, do not promote organic matter decay and accumulation. As a result, the organic carbon levels are very low in the Arabian Peninsula (Figure 42). Most of this organic matter is concentrated in the topsoil. The subsoils are virtually devoid of organic carbon, and, as a result, the total organic carbon pools are very low (Figure 43). Given the considerable overgrazing and vegetation degradation that has occurred during the last 30 years, it is suspected that even these low levels of organic carbon quoted are optimistic estimates.

Soil pH controls availability and eventual deficiency or toxicity of certain micronutrients, which are needed by plants in very small amounts but which are essential for plant growth, such as zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), and boron (B). At high pH, Zn might be deficient in sensitive plants. B-



Fig. 42: Dominant organic carbon levels



Fig. 43: Organic carbon pool

toxicity might occur in soils of volcanic origin, in other areas it might be deficient (Ryan et al., 1997). The soil pH hovers in the Arabian Peninsula around neutral or above (Figure 44). It is mainly controlled by the presence of free calcium carbonate (see further), and is not limiting to plant growth, except where it is the consequence of high soil salinity (pH >8.2).

Cation exchange capacity (measured in milliequivalents per 100 g soil) quantifies the clay fraction's capacity to retain nutrients, and is a measure of resilience against nutrient depletion. In dry climates, the CEC is generally high for most soils, with the exception of sandy soils, which do not have high enough clay content. The CEC is therefore related to soil texture, particularly the content of clay, sand, and coarse fragments. The patterns in Figure 45 reflect broad textural groupings rather than particular soil characteristics, with high CEC values associated with medium-and fine-textured soils.

The soils of the Arabian Peninsula are well supplied with free calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) as a result of inheritance from calcareous sediments and rocks, but also due to the lack of leaching and weathering (Ryan et al., 1997). Apart from its control on soil pH and availability of certain micronutrients, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, within reasonable amounts, is not an impediment to crop productivity. The distribution of calcareous soils is shown in Figure 46.

The same qualification applies to gypsum ( $CaSO_4.2H_2O$ ), which can be present in substantive amounts, particularly in the Gypsic Yermosol subgroup. Gypsum, like  $CaCO_3$ , is another soluble mineral of sedimentary origin that is very common in arid regions. Gypsiferous soils have special management



Fig. 44: Dominant soil pH



Fig. 45: Dominant cation exchange capacity



Fig. 46: Distribution of calcareous soils(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)

properties. They can be irrigated with fairly saline water without causing salinity build-up. However, inefficient irrigation with high percolation losses, can cause the gypsum to dissolve, and the soil to collapse (possibly taking with it irrigation canals).

In some soils, lime or gypsum have built up massively to form hard banks. These 'indurated' soils are called 'petrocalcic' or 'petrogypsic' (petro, Greek root for 'rock'), depending on whether lime or gypsum is the cementing agent, and are often confused with sedimentary rocks. They are obviously unsuitable for agriculture. Their distribution is shown in Figure 47.

In contrast with most other soil types, saline soils show a great diversity in their appearance. Unless salinity is very pronounced, chemical tests are needed to recognize a saline soil. In order to be recognized as salt-affected, soils must have a minimum concentration of salts at some time of the year within the root zone. Soils are called saline when the total salt concentration, expressed in electrical conductivity (ECe) of a saturated extract, is above 15 deciSiemens per meter (dS m<sup>-1</sup>) at 25°C within 30 cm of the surface at some time of the year, or more than 4 dS.m<sup>-1</sup> if the pH (H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1) exceeds 8.5. An ECe of 15 dS m<sup>-1</sup> corresponds with about 0.65% salt (Driessen and Dudal, 1991).

The distribution of soil salinity in the Arabian Peninsula is shown in Figure 48. Most of this salinity is due to primary salinization. This refers to the build-

up of salts as a result of lithological inheritance or topographical position, and is a natural process within arid zones. This map does not provide a good representation of secondary, or human-induced salinity. The latter has significantly increased since the large resource surveys of the 1970s and 1980s, due to the unregulated use of groundwater and large-scale irrigation development, often without adequate drainage.



Fig. 47: Distribution of soils with hardened lime or gypsum



Fig. 48: Distribution of soil salinity(Note: for legend see Fig. 34)





1







Fig 51a, b, c, d: Expansion of desert irrigation, observed from AVHRR imagery. From top left: situation in 1983 (a), 1986 (b), 1990 (c) and 1993 (d).









Fig. 53: Mapping the distribution of plant communities or species using landscape frameworks.

with different plant communities. The plants of the Arabian Peninsula have been described in many studies, but they have not been mapped in a consistent framework that allows extrapolation across the region. An agroecological characterization at macro-scale would address the issue of biodiversity assessment by linking landscapes (with their climatic, landscape and soil patterns) to plant communities and species.

# 7.3. Improved climate monitoring

Techniques of data spatialization and remote sensing, such as those used in this publication, are valuable tools for extracting maximum information content from data sparse areas. However, they cannot compensate fully for the tremendous climatic data gap in the Arabian Peninsula. Meteorological services in the region should make an effort to service the most needy areas, using agroecological frameworks as a basis for siting weather stations in representative locations. Automatic stations, with data loggers and data transmission by telephone or satellite, can overcome the remoteness of such areas.

In addition, different meteorological data networks, including those independent of the national meteorological services, should form partnerships, assisting each other with data collection, pooling, and sharing.

# 2. Human Geography

The Arabian Peninsula comprises the countries of Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Yemen.

Notwithstanding the low aptitude of the subcontinent to support high population densities (see section on 'Climate'), these countries have witnessed a tremendous population increase over the last 50 years (Table 1).

Country	Pop	ulation	Populatic	on change, %	Density, persons/km <sup>2</sup>	
	1950	2000	1975-80	1995-2000	Overall	Rural
Bahrain	116,000	617,000	4.9	2.0	778	5,789
Kuwait	152,000	1,972,000	6.2	3.1	82	1,017
Oman	456,000	2,542,000	5.0	3.3	9	3,759
Qatar	25,000	599,000	5.8	1.8	53	667
Saudi Arabia	3,201,000	21,607,000	5.6	3.4	8	90
UAE	70,000	2,441,000	14.0	2.0	27	968
Yemen	4,316,000	18,112,000	3.2	3.7	27	690

Table 1. Population in the Arabian Peninsula

Source: World Resources Institute (2001) URL: http://earthtrendis.wri.org/country\_profiles

In all Gulf countries, population increased between 1950 and 2000, by a factor of 5 to 30. The more spectacular growth rates (e.g., Kuwait, Qatar, UAE) are mostly due to a large net immigration of guest workers. However, the population data for Yemen, a country of net emigration, shows that increase in the native population is also a major contributing factor to population growth in the Peninsula.

The distribution of the population centers is shown in Figure 1. With the exception of the agricultural hinterland of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, most of the population lives at the edges of the Peninsula, near coastal areas or in the mountains bordering the sea. This is to be expected. Given the aridity of the interior, people have historically concentrated in areas with higher rainfall (e.g., Yemen, Asir), or along trade routes. As the rainfall map shows, the density of population centers is associated with the higher rainfall areas. Very few people, with the exception of nomadic tribes, live in the desert interior.

symbol	Name	Altitude range (m)	Description
1	Coastal plain	0-200	Includes two sub-regions, the Tihama bordering the Red Sea, and the Batinah bordering the Gulf of Oman. Both plains are mostly pediments, gently sloping upward from sea level to the foothills of the mountains.
2	Western Esca	rpment Mountains	
2a	Midian Mountains	300-2000	Scarp mountains, very strongly dissected, with high peaks rising up to 3000 m.
2b	Hijaz Mountains	300-2000	Scarp mountains, rising less high, with interspersed high plateau areas. Very complex lithology, including granitic, metamorphic, volcanic, and sedimentary rocks
2.c	Asir Mountains and Yemen Highlands	300-2500	Scarp mountains, very strongly dissected in the Asir, with high peaks rising up to 3000 m. Towards the Yemen highlands the high plateau areas become more widespread. Granitic and metamorphic rocks dominate the Asir, sedimentary rocks are predominant in the northern Yemen Highlands, and extrusive volcanic rocks in central and southern Yemen.
3	Arabian Shiel	d	
3a	Sandstone plateau	700-1000	High plateau of fairly uniform elevation covered with sandy soils.
3b	Harrats (western Najd)	1000-1500	Area transitional towards the Najd plains at high elevation. Structural slope from SW to NE. Complex terrain with salt flats, pediments, and hills.
3c	Central plateau	800-1200	Mostly plains and plateaux with inselbergs and hill areas, built on the structural slope of the Arabian shield. Covered mostly by granitic and metamorphic basement complex rocks. Includes large areas with basaltic rocks.
3d	Summan plateau	250-500	Low-lying plateau with fairly uniform topography composed of flat-lying limestone with typical karst topography of sinkholes and caves.
4	Central Arabia	an Cuesta	
4a	Dahna sands and adjacent areas	500-800	Narrow belt of dunes and shifting sands extending over 1,300 km and connecting the Rub Al-Khali with the Great Nafud.
4b	Tuwayq mountain systems	500-1000	Cuesta region with 800-km-long escarpments composed of sedimentary rocks curving around the crystalline shield of the Central Plateau. Elevation may locally rise to 1500 m.
5	Southern Arab	oian Deserts	
5a	Rub al-Khali and adjacent areas	100-1000	The 'Empty Quarter' is the largest uninterrupted sand desert in the world. Contains both transverse and longitudinal dunes. Individual dunes reach heights exceeding 200 m.
5b	Wahiba Sands	9-300	Small sand sea formed by winds of the southwest monsoon, with longitudinal dunes mainly.
6 7	Great Nafud Eastern Gulf Region	700-1000 0-500	Second largest sand desert of the Arabian Peninsula Coastal plain rising gently to inland plateau areas. Covered mainly by unconsolidated beach sands, gravels, salt flats, and acolian sands.

Table 2.	Geomorp	ohological	regions of	the Arabian	Peninsula	(adapted	from	Guba and	Glennie, 9	98)
----------	---------	------------	------------	-------------	-----------	----------	------	----------	------------	-----
### 4.4. Evapotranspiration and water deficit

#### 4.4.1. Potential evapotranspiration

The evaporative demand of the atmosphere can be represented by the potential evapotranspiration (PET), which is the evapotranspiration of a reference crop, a grass cover. The PET can be calculated by the Penman-Monteith method from elementary climatic parameters, such as temperature, air humidity, radiation, and wind speed (Allen et al., 1998). The PET concept is the basis for the assessment of crop water requirements and for scheduling irrigation.

The pattern of mean annual PET throughout the region (Figure 17) is very similar to the pattern of mean annual temperature (Figure 12) and shows the same trends, as governed by altitude and latitude. The PET is very high in the interior of the Peninsula and decreases towards the edges.

#### 4.4.2. Aridity

The Arabian Peninsula is characterized by a severe deficit of precipitation over atmospheric water demand. The UNESCO (1979) 'aridity index' can be used to quantify this deficit and map the severity of 'dryness' based on the ratio of annual precipitation to annual potential evapotranspiration.

Figure 18 shows the distribution of the aridity index, corresponding with the thresholds for the hyper-arid (<.0.03), arid (0.03-0.25), semi-arid (0.25-0.5), and sub-humid climatic regimes (>0.5). The areas under each moisture regime are summarized in Table 3. Nearly 99% of the Peninsula is either hyper-arid (HA) or arid (A). Only part of the Yemen highlands is in the semi-arid (SA) class. A very small area is sub-humid (SH). The relationships between moisture regimes (as expressed by aridity index) and agriculture are discussed further in section 4.5.1.

Moisture regime	Aridity index	%	km <sup>2</sup>
Hyper-arid (HA)	< 0.03	32.02	1,020,107
Arid (A)	0.03 - 0.2	66.72	2,125,415
Semi-arid (SA)	0.2 - 0.5	1.22	38,788
Sub-humid (SH)	> 0.5	0.04	1,199

Table 3. Areas	under	different	moisture	regimes
----------------	-------	-----------	----------	---------

These results reflect the huge negative balance between water supply from precipitation and the evaporative demand of the atmosphere. The size of the hydrological deficit on an annual basis is shown in figure 19.

## 4.5. Agroclimatic patterns

### 4.5.1. Agroclimatic zones

Climatic diversity in the Arabian Peninsula can be adequately represented by a simple system of agroclimatic zones that takes into account the key determinants of climate: moisture and temperature. UNESCO (1979) has developed a simple system for differentiating agroclimatic zones based on three major criteria:

- Moisture regime
- Winter type
- Summer type

The moisture regime is determined by the aridity index, as defined in section 4.4.2. In the hyper-arid moisture regime there is almost no perennial vegetation, with the exception of some bushes in riverbeds. In good years, annual plants can grow, but agriculture and grazing are generally impossible (UNESCO, 1979). In the arid moisture regime, scattered vegetation does grow, which might include bushes, and small woody, succulent, thorny, or leafless shrubs. Very light pastoral use is possible. Rainfed agriculture is only feasible with some form of water harvesting and irrigation, and only where terrain conditions are favorable or where there are local water resources. As a result, agriculture, if any, is patchy. In the semi-arid moisture regime, vegetation is denser and might include bushes, scrubs and even trees. Good grazing areas might be found and rainfed agriculture is possible, albeit with great yield fluctuations due to rainfall variability. Agriculture in either the arid or hyperarid classes requires irrigation (see section on land use). Even in the semi-arid mountainous uplands of Yemen, agriculture is stabilized by terrace-based supplemental irrigation.

The winter type is determined by the average mean temperature during the winter months. Table 4 shows the winter type classes and the areas they occupy. Figure 20 shows the spatial distribution of the winter types.

Winter type	Temperature class (°C)	0/10	km²
Cool (C)	<10	2.81	89,622
Mild (M)	10-20	74.71	2,379,883
Warm (W)	20-30	22.48	716,005

Table 4. Areas under different winter types



Fig. 20: Winter types (blue: cool; green: mild; yellow: warm)

In areas with cool winters, vegetation growth is limited by cold. If rainfall is concentrated in winter, plants adapted to these conditions will be characterized by rapid phenological development in spring and efficient soil moisture extraction. In areas with mild and warm winters, vegetative growth is possible in winter, and becomes more rapid with increasing temperature.

The summer type is determined by the average mean temperature during summer months. Table 5 shows the summer type classes and the areas they occupy. Figure 21 shows the spatial distribution of the summer types. The mild summers are confined to the highest parts of the Yemen highlands.

Summer type	Temperature class (°C)	%	km <sup>2</sup>
Mild (M)	10-20	0.48	15,417
Warm (W)	20-30	36.12	1,150,600
Very warm (VW)	>30	63.40	2,019,492

Table 5. Areas	under	different	summer	types
----------------	-------	-----------	--------	-------

ACZ	Representative station	%	Km <sup>2</sup>	ACZ <sup>1</sup>	%	km <sup>2</sup>
A-M-VW	Riyadh	35.3	1,123,841	SA-M-M	0.4	11,522
A-M-W	Abha	17.7	563,774	SA-W-W	0.1	3,481
HA-M-VW	Al Jouf	15.5	495,144	A-M-M	0.1	1,925
HA-W-VW	Muscat	7.2	229,484	SA-C-M	0.0	866
A-W-W		6.0	190,051	SH-M-M	0.0	828
HA-M-W	Tabuk	5.0	160,135	HA-C-VW	0.0	663
A-W-VW	El Kod	5.0	158,775	HA-C-W	0.0	469
HA-W-W		4.2	134,213	SA-C-W	0.0	426
A-C-W		2.4	75,341	SH-M-W	0.0	222
SA-M-W	Taiz	0.7	22,492	SH-C-M	0.0	148
A-C-VW		0.4	11,580	A-C-M	0.0	127

Table 6. Extent of agroclimatic zones of the Arabian Peninsula

All data from mabar station.



Fig. 23a: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-M-W: Abha, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23b: Station representative for agroclimatic zone HA-M-VW: Al Jouf, Saudi Arabia



Fig. 23c: Station representative for agroclimatic zone A-W-VW: El Kod, Yemen

Fig. 23d: Station representative for agroclimatic zone SA-M-M: Mabar, Yemen

the rate of assimilation and biomass production is strongly determined by crop characteristics.

According to the response of assimilation rate to temperature, FAO (1978-81) has proposed four crop groups (Table 7). Each crop group has a different response function, or adaptability range, to temperature (Figure 28).

Crop group	Crop types	Optimal mean temperature range	Examples
1	C3	15-20	Barley, bread wheat, chickpea, lentil, olive, sunflower, cabbage, oats, rye, grape, sugar beet; temperate grasses; almost all trees
2	C3 adapted for higher temperatures	25-30	Cotton, groundnut, cowpea, soybean, tobacco, sunflower, sesame, rice, fig, grape, olive
3	C4	30-35	Maize, sorghum, sugarcane, all millets, fonio rice; tropical grasses
4	C4 adapted for lower temperatures	20-30	Maize, sorghum, millets

Table 7. Adaptability ranges of different crop groups



Fig. 28: Temperature adaptability ranges for different crop groups

Using this concept of crop adaptability groups, biomass productivity indices have been developed for each crop group. For the exact definition of each crop biomass productivity index (CBPI) refer to Section 8.3.

Soil Association	% of the		Soil Type	s and Prop	ortions		
	Peninsula	%1	%2	%3	%4	%5	%6
D/SS	5.80 DS	100	0	0	0	0	0
I-Y-bc	20.28 I	50 Y	50	0	0	0	0
I-Yh-Yk-1/2b	4.00 I	34 Yh	33 Yk	33	0	0	0
I-Yk	0.04 I	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
1-Yk-1/2a	0.26 1	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
I-Yk-2ab	2.41 I	50 Yk	50	0	0	0	0
Je61-2a	0.32 Je	90 Zo	10	0	0	0	0
Qa9-1a	0.48 Qa	100	0	0	0	0	0
Qc46-1/2ab	6.51 Qc	50 I	20 Y	20 R	10	0	0
Rc30-1ab	9.33 Rc	50 Qc	20 Yk	20 Z	10	0	0
Rc31-1/2ab	1.23 Rc	70 Z	30	0	0	0	0
Re1-1/2a	0.00 Re	100	0	0	0	0	0
SALT	0.05 ST	100	0	0	0	0	0
Yh22-1ab	4.96 Yh	30 Qc	20 Yk	20 Jc	10 Rc	10 Z	10
Yh3-1/2a	3.74 Yh	70 I	30	0	0	0	0
Yk25-1/2a	10.94 Yk	40 I	20 YI	20 Jc	10 Z	10	0
Yk26-1ab	3.39 Yk	70 Qc	30	0	0	0	0
Yk27-2a	0.09 Yk	60 Z	30 I	10	0	0	0
Yk28-1a	6.40 Yk	60 Rc	30 I	10	0	0	0
Yk29-1/2a	0.25 Yk	60 Rc	30 Z	10	0	0	0
Yk32-a	8.12 Yk	60 I	20 YI	20	0	0	0
Y119-3ab	2.68 Yl	50 I	20 Yk	20 Rc	10		
Yy10-2ab	3.79 Yy	60 I	20 Yk	20	0	0	0
Yy10-2ab	0.37 Yy	60 I	20 Yk	20	0	0	0
Yyl2-a	0.54 Yy	60 1	30 Yk	10	0	0	0
Yy7-2/3a	0.05 Yy	50 Yk	20 Zo	20 Jc	10	0	0
Zg3-2/3a	1.18 Zg	70 Zo	30	0	0	0	0
Zo18-2ab	0.51 Zo	60 I	30 Yk	10	0	0	0
Zo19-1/2ac	1.35 Zo	50 I	30 Qc	10 Rc	10	0	0
Zo20-1/2a	0.19 Zo	60 Yh	30 Rc	10	0	0	0
Zo21-3a	0.03 Zo		Compos	ition unsp	ecified		
Zo22-2/3a	0.21 Zo						
Zo27-3a	0.37 Z.o						
Z028-3a	0.11 Zo	50 Zt	30 Jc	10 Zg	10	0	0

Table 8. Main soil associations of the Arabian Peninsula (FAO, 1995)



#### Fig. 32: Soil associations: explanation of legend

#### Table 9. Main soil types of the Arabian Peninsula

Symbol	Name	Summary description
I	Lithosols	Undifferentiated very shallow soils; unsuitable for agriculture
Je	Eutric Fluvisols	Alluvial soils with good fertility status; the best soils for agriculture
Qa	Albic Arenosols	Strongly leached sandy soils, do not retain soil moisture; unsuitable for agriculture
Qc	Cambic Arenosols	Slightly matured sandy soils, retain soil moisture better; suitable for agriculture under sprinkler irrigation
R.c	Calcaric Regosols	Calcareous poorly developed soils, poor physical properties for agriculture
Re	Eutric Regosols	Poorly developed soils with moderate fertility; poor physical properties for agriculture
Yh	Haplic Yermosols	Undifferentiated very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts; management properties vary considerably; full irrigation is needed for all agricultural uses
Yk	Calcic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with calcium- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture
ΥI	Luvic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with clay- enriched subsoil; can be made suitable for agriculture if full irrigation is available
Yу	Gypsic Yermosols	Very poorly developed soils of (semi-) deserts with gypsum- enriched subsoil; unsuitable for agriculture due to poor physical properties and need for full irrigation
Zg	Gleyic Solonchaks	Saline soils with insufficient drainage; unsuitable for agriculture
Zo	Orthic Solonchaks	Undifferentiated saline soils; unsuitable for agriculture

## 5.3. Conclusions

Soil patterns in the Arabian Peninsula are controlled by the interaction of climate, landforms, and geological parent materials. There is no shortage of good agricultural soils; the obvious limitation to put them into production is water availability. Where irrigation water is available, standard fertility management practices are required, and, if provided, will allow maintenance or enhancement of soil quality. Gypsiferous soils require careful irrigation management, but they can be made productive.

# 6. Agricultural Production Systems

Notwithstanding extreme aridity and limited renewable water resources, the Arabian Peninsula has developed indigenous agricultural production systems, based on crop production under irrigation, and extensive livestock systems. Rapid economic development in the latter half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century has resulted in significant changes in the traditional agricultural systems of the subcontinent. Increased agricultural production has contributed to economic growth, but at the price of degradation of natural resources, particularly the rangelands and the non-renewable groundwater aquifers.

Country-level data indicate that the cropland areas are very limited in the Peninsula (Table 10). With the exception of Saudi Arabia and Yemen, the

Country	Surface *	Crop <sup>b</sup>	Irrigation <sup>c</sup>
Bahrain	680	7.0	100
Kuwait	17,820	0.4	71
Oman	212,460	0.3	98
Qatar	11,000	1.5	76
S. Arabia	2,149,690	1.8	42
UAE	83,600	1.0	89
Yemen	527,970	2.9	31

Table	10.	Cropland	in	the	Arabian	Peninsula
-------	-----	----------	----	-----	---------	-----------

Base year for statistics: 1997

<sup>a</sup> Country area in km<sup>2</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Crop: % of country area that is cropland (defined as sum of arable land and permanent cropland)

<sup>c</sup> Irrigation: irrigated land as a % of cropland area

Source: World Resources Institute, URL: http://earthtrengis.wri.org/country\_profiles

1995b), supplemented with data obtained from meteorological records of the region. (Particularly for Oman, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates, and, to a lesser extent, Yemen, stations could be added to the FAOCLIM database.)

The basic climatic variables processed were mean maximum and minimum monthly temperature (Tmax and Tmin), mean monthly precipitation (Prec), and mean monthly potential evapotranspiration (PET). The latter parameter was pre-processed for the stations of the FAOCLIM database using the Penman-Monteith method (Allen et al., 1998). For stations with only temperature data available, but not the other variables required by the Penman-Monteith formula (sunshine/radiation, wind, humidity), a two-step approach was followed to estimate PET according to the Penman-Monteith method by regression:

1. Calculate PET according to the Hargreaves method (Choisnel et al., 1992):

PET <sub>HG</sub> = .0023 \* Ra \* (T<sub>mean</sub> + 17.8) \* 
$$\sqrt{(T_{max} - T_{min})}$$

With:

Ra: extraterrestrial radiation (calculated from latitude and time of year);

Tmean: mean temperature

Tmin: minimum temperature

Tmax: maximum temperature

2. Estimate Penman PET from Hargreaves PET using regression equations for climatically homogeneous regions. The regions were obtained from the Köppen climatic classification and the regression equations used are shown in Table 11.

Köppen regjion	Description.	Equation	r <sup>2</sup>
BSs	Semi-arid climate with summer drought	$PET_{PM} = 1.1058 PET_{UC}$ 14.909	.90
BSw	Semi-arid climate with winter drought	$PET_{PM} = 0.1478 PET_{BG}^{-1.3689}$	.85
BW	Arið climate	$PET_{PM} = 1.1594 PET_{UG} - 7.3988$	.81

Table 11. Conversion equations from PET (Hargreaves) to PET (Penman-Montcith)

PET PET calculated according to the method of Penman-Monteith (mmn)

PET<sub>ug</sub>: PET calculated according to the method of Hargreaves (mm)

TP: mean monthly temperature (°C)

From these four **basic** climatic parameters, the following **derived** climatic parameters were calculated: mean average monthly temperature (Temp), and the mean average temperature in summer (Tsum) and winter (Twin).

The interpolation technique used was a thin plate smoothing spline using the method of Hutchinson (1995) and the software package ANUSPLIN. This

Crop group	T <sub>0</sub>	T <sub>opt1</sub>	T <sub>opt2</sub>	T <sub>x</sub>	
]	5	15	20	33	
II	10	25	30	45	
III	15	25	35	50	
IV	10	20	30	45	

 Table 12. Adaptability to temperature for different crop groups (adapted from FAO, 1978)

Adaptability expressed in relation to four cardinal temperature points (all in °C):

T<sub>0</sub>: the daytime temperature below which no assimilation takes place (cold-limited);

T<sub>opt1</sub>: the lower daytime temperature threshold above which maximum assimilation takes place;

 $T_{mv}$ : the higher daytime temperature threshold above which assimilation rate declines;

T: the day-time temperature above which no assimilation takes place (heat-limited)

For a particular daytime temperature Tday (as assimilation takes place during the day), ATI can be defined as follows:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{ATI} = 0 & [\text{Tday} <= \text{T0 or Tday} >= \text{Tx}] \\ \text{ATI} = \text{Tday} - \text{T0} & [\text{Tday} > \text{T0 and Tday} < \text{T}_{\text{opt1}}] \\ \text{ATI} = (\text{T}_{\text{opt1}} + \text{T}_{\text{opt2}})/2 - \text{T0} & [\text{Tday} >= \text{T}_{\text{opt1}} \text{ and Tday} <= \text{T}_{\text{opt2}}] \\ \text{ATI} = \text{Tx} - \text{Tday} & [\text{Tday} > \text{T}_{\text{opt2}} \text{ and Tday} < \text{Tx}] \end{array}$ 

The concept of ATI is thus related to the concept of heat units, but the accumulation is weighted according to the distance of the real daytime temperature from the optimal daytime temperature for each crop group.

The daytime temperature is estimated from the minimum and maximum temperature as:

$$Tday = T_{mean} + \frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{\pi}$$

The ATI values are summed for each crop group on a daily basis between the onset and end dates of the growing period. Since daily data are needed for operational reasons, and the interpretation derived from this exercise does not require high precision, the daily values can be interpreted from the monthly temperature values through linear interpolation.



# www.icarda.cgiar.org

International Center for Agricultural Research In the Dry Areas (ICARDA)

Arabian Peninsula Regional Program (APRP)

## ICARDA,

P.O.Box 5466, Aleppo, Syria Tel: (936-21) 2225112, 2225012, 2213433 Fax: (936-21) 2213490, 2225105 E-mail: icarda@cgiar.org P.O.Box: 13979 Dubai, UAE Tel: (971 4) 2957338 Fax: (971 4) 2958216 Email: icdub@emirates.net.ae

APRP,

WWW.ICARDA.CGIAR.ORG