

STANDARDIZATION OF  
THE  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  - EXTRACTABLE P  
IN SOILS OF THE ICARDA REGION

by  
Abdallah Matar  
Farming Systems Program

International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas  
P. O. Box 5466, Aleppo, Syria  
November 1985

STANDARDIZATION OF THE  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  - EXTRACTABLE P  
IN SOILS OF THE ICARDA REGION

by

Abdallah Matar  
Farming Systems Program

International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas  
P.O.Box 5466, Aleppo, Syria  
November 1985

Standardization of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-Extractable P  
in Soils of the ICARDA Region

- i. Preface
- ii. Acknowledgements
- iii. Table of Contents
  
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Objectives
- 3. Participating institutions
- 4. Major properties of tested soils
- 5. Results of analyses
- 6. Discussions:
  - 6.1. The soil preparation
  - 6.2. The extracting solution
  - 6.3. The soil to extracting ratio
  - 6.4. The methods of extraction
  - 6.5. The use of activated charcoal
  - 6.6. The temperature of extracting solution
  - 6.7. The type of filtration
  - 6.8. Type of colorimetric methods for determination of P
  - 6.9. Miscellaneous factors:
    - 6.9.1. The glass tubes used in colorimetric measurement
    - 6.9.2. Automation of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> test
    - 6.9.3. The Olsen modified Dabin method
  
- 7. References

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ICARDA is indebted to all scientists in the various laboratories in the region who participated in the present study and made it possible to assess the methods used for the determination of available phosphorus in soils of the region. Without their contribution, the present study could not have been accomplished.

Thanks are due to Dr. Karl Harmsen, of the International Fertilizer Development Center, who participated in the preparation of the questionnaire; and to Dr. Peter Cooper, for reviewing the present study and giving many significant and useful comments.

## 1. Introduction

There is an increasing evidence in the ICARDA region that levels of available soil phosphours, an important element of plant growth, are often low and substantial responses for phosphate fertilizer are frequently reported.

Soil tests have been used extensively to determine plant available nutrients and can be further used to determine the amount of fertilizers needed for optimum crop yields, when soil tests are calibrated with results of fertilizer field experiments and environmental variables.

The  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ -extractable P by soils (Olsen method) has been found by many workers, as a specially good test to determine the available P in calcareous soils which dominate in the region.

The details of the  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  method had been given by Olsen et al in Circular No.939 of the United States Department of Agriculture in 1954. It is always worth referring to that publication to consider the detailed studies made by the authors on that procedure and the many environmental and physio-chemical factors which affect the results of the analyses and its interpretation. Among those variables one could cite, the effects of time of extraction, pH of the extracting solution and the method of extraction; and finally the calibraton of the soil test of available P to expected response to phosphate fertilization with plants grown in pots or in the field.

The present study will concentrate on results of analyses obtained in the (13) soil laboratories of the region which participated in the survey and the analyses of (12) soil samples sent to them. Possible

reasons for the variability of results obtained by the laboratories will be discussed, based on the questionnaire filled by the participating laboratories. This questionnaire concentrated on the details of the procedure used and the minor or major changes made by each laboratory on the original Olsen method.

## 2. Objectives

The main objectives of the survey study are:

1. To assess the variability among laboratories of the region in results obtained when determining the available-P in soils by the Olsen method, using standard soil samples.
2. To assess the need for a workshop on the methods and procedures of soil analyses used in the various countries of the ICARDA region.

## 3. Participating Countries and Laboratories

Thirteen soil laboratories in various scientific institutions located in eight different countries participated in the present survey.

A list of these institutions is given below;

<u>Country</u>	<u>Name of Institution and Address</u>
Tunisia	- Ministère de l'Agriculture, Direction des Sols, Tunisie.
Tunisia	- Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique de Tunisie, Ariana.
Tunisia	- Ecole Supérieure d'Agriculture du Kef, Le Kef.

Egypt	- Desert Research Institute, Mataria - Cairo
Cyprus	- Agricultural Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Nicosia.
Pakistan	- Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Islamabad.
Pakistan	- National Agricultural Research Center, NARC, Islamabad.
Saudi Arabia	- The Ministry of Agriculture and Water. Regional Agriculture and Water Resources Center. Al-Ryad.
Jordan	- The University of Jordan, Faculty of Agriculture, Amman.
Jordan	- The Ministry of Agriculture, Direction of Research and Extension, Amman.
Morocco	- Midamerica International Agricultural Consortium, Project Aridiculture, Settat.
Syria	- The Arab Center for the Studies of Arid Zones and Dry Lands,(ACSAD),Damascus.
Syria	- The Soil Bureau, Ministry of Agriculture, Damascus.
Syria	- The International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas,(ICARDA),Aleppo.

#### 4. Major Properties of the Soil Tested

The soils chosen for the present survey were collected from experimental sites in Syria, where fertilizer experiments have been conducted in sites extending between the arid and semi-humid region.

Some of the characteristics of the soil samples sent to various laboratories are given in Table (1).

Table (1). Some characteristics of soil samples sent to various laboratories for P-Olsen analysis.

Sample No.	Site	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)	O.M. (%)	pH (1:1)	E.C. (1:1) mS/cm	Texture
1	Ibtyn	41	0.76	8.4	0.23	clay
2	Hemo	27	1.54	8.2	0.30	clay
3	Hemo	27	1.54	8.2	0.30	clay
4	Saalo	19	1.04	8.4	0.52	clay (cl.loam)
5	Chzeilan	24	1.22	8.3	0.26	clay loam
6	Sarakeb	30	1.20	8.2	0.26	clay
7	Suran	36	1.04	8.2	0.22	clay
8	El-Ghab	25	2.30	8.4	0.25	clay
9	Izraa	13	0.64	8.3	0.22	clay
10	Gleen	4	0.78	8.2	0.20	clay
11	Tel Hadya	25	0.85	8.2	0.40	clay
12	Lattamneh	29	0.97	8.2	0.40	clay

## 5. Results of Analyses

The results of analyses of the Olsen-P by various laboratories are given in Table (2), with each participating laboratory being given a serial number. Results have been compared between laboratories, by comparing the overall average of results for each laboratory, and by computing the correlation matrix among any two participating laboratories. (See Table 3).

The results obtained in laboratories 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 12 are highly correlated with  $R = 0.98$  to  $0.99$ ; with no significant differences among their overall means. They could be considered of the same standard of work, giving the same results. In addition, the laboratories 3, 9 and 13 tended to have a high correlation coefficients between their results or that obtained in the first 6 laboratories (with  $R = 0.90$  to  $0.98$ ), although their overall means were significantly higher by (20-25%). However, laboratories 5 and 10 obtained significantly much lower results, in spite of their high correlation coefficients with other laboratories (with  $R = 0.94$  to  $0.99$ ). The results obtained in laboratory 8 which contributed by the analysis of only 6 soil samples, were highly correlated with results obtained in any other laboratory, (with  $R = 0.86$  to  $0.93$ ). And finally the overall results obtained in laboratory 11 correlated poorly with those obtained in other laboratories, largely due to the analyses of samples 5 and 8 which resulted in very different values from that obtained in other laboratories in the region. However, the results from the remaining 10 soil samples, were more consistent with other laboratories.

Table (4) Results of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extractable P in soil samples as conducted by various laboratories in the region.

Lab No.	Concentration of NaHCO <sub>3</sub> -P in Various Soils (ppm)												Overall Mean
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
1	5.9	12.7	11.5	7.5	3.9	6.8	4.1	24.6	1.7	1.6	10.4	16.8	8.97
2	7.6	12.4	11.1	8.0	5.6	7.1	6.2	22.6	3.8	3.5	9.9	14.6	9.37
3	5.7	15.5	10.4	8.6	3.6	7.4	5.3	27.8	2.2	5.6	16.7	16.6	10.45
4	6.0	14.0	13.0	7.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	26.0	2.0	3.5	9.0	15.0	9.29
5	5.4	12.7	10.7	7.1	3.7	5.0	2.7	22.0	1.3	0.5	8.2	13.8	7.76
6	6.0	-	12.7	8.5	4.9	6.8	4.2	26.6	1.3	0.1	10.8	17.5	
7	5.4	13.0	13.0	7.4	3.7	7.2	4.2	24.0	1.5	1.6	9.3	16.0	
7	5.9	15.0	13.0	8.6	3.5	6.3	4.0	29.0	1.6	1.3	11.0	17.0	9.2
8	-	17.0	10.0	-	-	-	7.0	-	-	5.0	13.0	15.0	-
9	9.6	17.0	16.2	10.9	5.2	8.7	5.7	31.8	6.1	2.6	12.7	24.9	12.6
10	4.0	7.0	6.0	4.5	2.0	3.0	2.0	19.5	1.0	0.5	9.0	12.0	5.6
11	7.6	12.4	12.1	8.1	20.7	6.5	5.7	15.9	4.8	5.7	8.5	12.1	10.0
12	8.0	14.0	14.0	9.0	3.0	8.0	4.0	29.0	2.0	0	11.0	17.0	9.9
13	7.0	15.7	14.8	10.5	7.4	9.2	6.1	27.5	3.9	03.5	13.5	18.3	12.0

Table (3) Correlation matrix between results of analysis of NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extractable P among the (13) participating laboratories in the ICARDA region.

Lab No.	Laboratory Number													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
1	1.00													
2	.99	1.00												
3	.96	.95	1.00											
4	.98	.99	.94	1.00										
5	.99	.99	.94	.98	1.00									
6	.99	.99	.94	.98	1.00	1.00								
7	.99	.99	.95	.99	1.00	1.00	1.00							
8	.89	.90	.93	.86	.91	.94	.89	1.00						
9	.99	.98	.92	.96	.98	.98	.98	.84	1.00					
10	.97	.98	.95	.97	.95	.97	.97	.82	.96	1.00				
11	.49	.50	.40	.49	.53	.52	.49	.82	.46	.45	1.00			
12	.99	.99	.94	.98	.99	.99	.99	.87	.98	.97	.46	1.00		
13	.99	.99	.96	.98	.99	.99	.99	.90	.97	.96	.54	.99	1.00	

## 6. Discussions

Although the  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  method for extracting the available phosphorus in soils has been described fully by the authors, some variations have been always introduced by individual laboratories, to suit their own working conditions or which have given better correlations with phosphorus responses on local soils.

Although there is no need to emphasize that all laboratories should follow closely the procedure described by the authors, it is however important to discuss the effect of various factors which might have some significant effect on the performance and results of their analyses.

### 6.1. Soil Preparation

Most laboratories in the region, used 2 mm air-dried soils for P analysis; except one laboratory which dried its samples at 100 °C and for 18 hours. No laboratory in the region used field-moist soils for P-determinations. Field-moist and air-dried samples gave quite similar results as tested in the ICARDA Soil Lab.

The time elapsed between the soil drying and preparation and the chemical analysis of available P ranged between 2 and more than 30 days for various laboratories in the region. Ageing of soil samples could have some significant effect.

### 6.2. The $\text{NaHCO}_3$ -Extraction Solution

Although 0.5 Molar solution adjusted to pH = 8.5 is required, there are several laboratories in the region which still do adjust the pH of the extraction solution; it is obvious that when the solution is

exposed to the air or has been prepared for some time, the pH will change and consequently its extraction power of the soil phosphate will be altered, usually leading to higher values of extractable-P.

Olsen et al assumed that the level of extractable-P in relation to crop response would be more uniform at pH = 8.5 for the group of soils tested.

### 6.3. The Soil to Extraction Solution Ratio

Most laboratories in the region, used a soil/solution ratio of 1/20 as suggested by the original procedure except one laboratory which used 1/10 ratio.

### 6.4. Method of Extraction

Different types of linear or orbital shakers with different rates of shaking are used in the laboratories of the region. For linear shakers the rate of shaking ranged between 90 to 233 cycles per minute and for orbital shaker, 30 to 100 revolutions per minute. Olsen et al (4) has advised, in their original procedure, a constant rate of shaking during P extraction to eliminate the differential effects of shaking frequencies and time.

Throughout, the laboratories of the region have adopted a shaking time of 30 minutes.

### 6.5. Use of Activated Charcoal

It is suggested by the original procedure to add activated charcoal to the soil-extracting solution mixture to adsorb any organic coloured material which could interfere with the determination of available P. Most soil laboratories in the region do not use activated charcoal except for very coloured solution extracts. However, some laboratories in the region still use activated charcoal either from well-known companies or bought from local markets and washed with water and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ .

It has been found by ICARDA Soil Lab that washing local activated charcoal to remove traces of soluble P is a time consuming process and needs a large volume of  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution and distilled water. In addition, the activated carbon (Darco G-60) suggested by Olsen et al releases phosphorus when treated with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ , and requires a pretreatment with the  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution by leaching with distilled water and drying prior to its use.

Consequently, it was found that  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ -extractable P for arid or semi-arid soils, as is the case in most rainfed areas of the ICARDA region, could be conducted without the addition of carbon black; and differences in results obtained with or without the addition of carbon black had been little affected. However it might be safer and worthwhile to add carbon black for soils rich in organic matter, where soil extracts with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  are dark coloured.

### 6.6. Temperature of Extracting Solution

The data obtained by Olsen et al (4) were obtained at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ . It was found that extractable P increased approximately 0.43 parts per

million, for each degree rise between 20 and 30 °C, for soils between 5 and 40 ppm of P.

Many of the soil laboratories in the region are not temperature controlled, and temperatures in the Lab could vary between 10 °C (winter) and more than 35 °C (summer). Consequently the extraction capacity of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution will change with time of the year; and results of available P in soils would depend on the season and time of the year. In addition, it was found that the molybdenum blue colour develops at a rate which depends on the temperature and the SnCl<sub>2</sub> concentration. Thus the analysis of the extract should be carried out under constant temperature conditions whenever possible.

Along this line, it is suggested to run the extraction of available P under a more or less uniform use laboratory temperature of 25 ± 2 °C. But if this is not possible, at least adjust the temperature and the pH of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to the desired temperature prior to its immediate addition to the soil samples. Others (6) have suggested the need to study the effect of change of temperature on extraction and apply a correction factor or factors.

#### 6.7. Type of Filtration

Most soil laboratories in the region extracted the soil extracts by gravity, using very many types of filter papers ranging between qualitative to very fine pore filter papers. The type of filtration could have a double effect. On one hand, the degree of clarity of solution would depend on the type of filters, but on the other hand, the contact time between soil and extracting solution would depend on the type of filter. It takes a longer time to obtain a clear soil extracts when a very fine pore filter is used as compared

to qualitative filters. Consequently, the time of contact between soil and extraction solution is longer with fine pore filter and possibly higher P values could be obtained. It would be advisable to use large filters to hold an ample amount of the soil extract needed for analysis and transfer to the filter the supernatant liquid.

The Soil Lab at ICARADA, obtained more reproducible results by using the fine pore filters and during filtering using vacuum pump, to control the time of contact between soil and extracting solution.

In addition one laboratory in the region found that filter papers could be a second source of P contamination; and all filter papers used are usually double washed with acid prior to filtration of the  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  extract.

#### 6.8. Type of Colorimetric Methods for Determination of P

Several procedures have been used to determine the soluble P in the  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ -extract. The molybdenum-blue method is still the most widespread either using  $\text{SnCl}_2$  as reducing agent; or an acidic molybdate solution containing Ascorbic acid. No significant differences in results of P determination in the laboratories of the region occurred due to differences in their colorimetric determination of P.

#### 6.9. Miscellaneous Factors

- Spectrophotometer glass tubes used:

The optical density for the same blue-coloured solutions, as measured on the spectrophotometers was found quite different when the

glass tube is changed. Apparently glass tubes used for spectrophotometric measurements, although they look alike, have different rate of light transmittancy. Consequently it was found advisable to use the same glass tube for measurements of the optical density of various coloured solutions including the P standard solutions.

#### 6.8.1. Automation and simulataneous determination of N, P and K in NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extracts of soils

In recent years, many soil laboratories in the region have automated the determination of P in the NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-extract using an auto-analyzer. Sometimes NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub> as well K are analyzed simultaneously.

#### 6.8.2. Modification of the Olsen Method

In one laboratory of the region, the Olsen-Dabin procedure is used where the extracting solution of P is a mixture of 0.5 M-NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and 0.5 M-NH<sub>4</sub>F. The P determinations have been determined by both the original Olsen method and that of modified-Dabin. A linear regression was found between both results and the regression equation was of the kind:

$$P_{\text{Olsen}} = 0.367 + 0.2083 P_{\text{Dabin}}$$

with  $R = +0.77$

The Olsen modified-Dabin procedure could be used when values of available P as determined by this method are calibrated by field experiments to study response to fertilizer application.

Table (4). Some details of the NaHCO<sub>3</sub>-P procedure as used by various laboratories in the region.

Lab No.	Air-dry or Oven-dry	Lag Period	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> purity	Molarity of NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	Adjust ± of pH of ext. soil	Ratio Soil/sol	Shaker Type-Speed	Type Filter	Activated Charcoal	Test of P in Charcoal	Colorimetric Method
1	Air-dry	15 days									
2	Air-dry	10 days	Merck 99.5%	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 233/CPM	S S/SA710	No	-	Molybd. blue
3	Air-dry	5 days	M & B 99.5%	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 145/CPM	No. 40	Yes Charcoal Act.	Yes G.R.	Ascorbic Acid 720
4	Air-dry	-	M&B limited 99%	0.5	about 8.5	5/100	Linear about 90 CPM	Edrol No.42 slow	No	-	Molybd. Blue
5	Air-dry	7 days	BDH(Analar) >99.8%	0.5	8.5	10/100	Orbital 100 rpm	Whatman No.42	No	-	Ascorbic Acid
6	Air-dry	-	Chemapol purity?!	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 140CPM	Whatman 40 or 42	Yes	Yes	Heteromolybd. Blue to 880
7	Air-dry	-	-	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 140 CPM	2 filters Whatman 40	No	-	Molybd. Blue
8	Air-dry	3-10	Backer 99.7%	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 200 CPM	Whatman 5	Yes	No	Molybd. Blue
9	Air-dry	3-10	Merck Row analyzer	0.5	8.5	5/100	Orbital 35 rpm	SvS 3001/½	Yes	Yes	Molybd. Blue
10	Air-dry	> 15	Merck 99.5%	0.5	8.5	5/100	Orbital 60 rpm	Macherey Nagel MN 713 qualit.	Yes for dark samples		
11	Air-dry	1	Merck Analar	0.5 M	8.2-8.5	5/100	Linear 200CPM	Whatman 42	Yes	Yes	Molybd. Blue
12	Air-dry	15-30	Fluka	0.5	8.5	5/100	Orbital 30 rpm	normal filter	Yes for colored solution	Yes	Ascorbic Acid
13	Oven 100°C for 18 hours	2	Labosi >99%	0.5	8.5	5/100	Linear 110CPM	Whatman 40	No	-	Molybd. Blue

## 7. References

1. Banderis, A., Barter, D.H. and Henderson K., 1976.  
"The use of polyacrylamide to replace carbon in the determination of Olsen's extractable in soil".  
Jour. of Soil Sci. 27: 71-74
2. Dewis, J, and Freitas, F., 1970.  
"Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis".  
F.A.O. Soils Bulletin No.10, Rome.
3. Murphy J, and Riley, J.P., 1962.  
"A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters".  
Anal. Chem. Acta. 27: 31-36
4. Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. and Dean, L.A., 1954.  
"Estimation of available P in soils by extraction with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ ".  
Circular No.939, U.S.D.A., Washington D.C.
5. Watanabe, F.S. and Olsen S.R., 1965.  
"Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining P in water and  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  extract from soil".  
SSSA Proc. 29: 677-678
6. Winkleman, G.E., Mcleod, J.G. and McAndrew, D.W., 1984.  
"Chemical methods of soil, plant, water and blood analysis".  
Canada.