



# **Evaluation of Sorghum [Sorghum** *bicolor* (L.)] Reference Genes in Various Tissues and under Abiotic Stress Conditions for Quantitative Real-Time PCR Data Normalization

Palakolanu Sudhakar Reddy \*, Dumbala Srinivas Reddy, Kaliamoorthy Sivasakthi, Pooja Bhatnagar-Mathur, Vincent Vadez and Kiran K. Sharma

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

Edited by: Roger Deal, Emory University, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Pierre-Emmanuel Courty, University of Fribourg, Switzerland Ali Taheri, Tennessee State University, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Palakolanu Sudhakar Reddy p.sudhakarreddy@cgiar.org; palakolanusreddy@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Technical Advances in Plant Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 20 October 2015 Accepted: 04 April 2016 Published: 25 April 2016

#### Citation:

Sudhakar Reddy P, Srinivas Reddy D, Sivasakthi K, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Vadez V and Sharma KK (2016) Evaluation of Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)] Reference Genes in Various Tissues and under Abiotic Stress Conditions for Quantitative Real-Time PCR Data Normalization. Front. Plant Sci. 7:529. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00529 Accurate and reliable gene expression data from qPCR depends on stable reference gene expression for potential gene functional analyses. In this study, 15 reference genes were selected and analyzed in various sample sets including abiotic stress treatments (salt, cold, water stress, heat, and abscisic acid) and tissues (leaves, roots, seedlings, panicle, and mature seeds). Statistical tools, including geNorm, NormFinder and RefFinder, were utilized to assess the suitability of reference genes based on their stability rankings for various sample groups. For abiotic stress, PP2A and CYP were identified as the most stable genes. In contrast, EIF4 $\alpha$  was the most stable in the tissue sample set, followed by PP2A; PP2A was the most stable in all the sample set, followed by EIF4 $\alpha$ . GAPDH, and UBC1 were the least stably expressed in the tissue and all the sample sets. These results also indicated that the use of two candidate reference genes would be sufficient for the optimization of normalization studies. To further verify the suitability of these genes for use as reference genes, SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 gene expression levels were normalized using the most and least stable sorghum reference genes in root and water stressed-leaf tissues of five sorghum varieties. This is the first systematic study of the selection of the most stable reference genes for qPCR-related assays in Sorghum bicolor that will potentially benefit future gene expression studies in sorghum and other closely related species.

Keywords: qPCR, RefFinder, Sorghum bicolor, gene expression stability, reference gene, normalization

## **INTRODUCTION**

Sorghum is the fifth most important cereal crop that is widespread in the semi-arid regions of the world with an annual production of 65.5 Mt (FAO, 2011), possesses strong drought-tolerance traits and a high forage value. Sorghum seeds are utilized for both human and animal feed, and cultivars are processed for syrup, sugar, and alcohol. Additionally, sorghum cultivars have great economic

Abbreviations: MACP, Malonyl CoA-Acyl Carrier protein; 6PGDH, 6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; SAMDC, S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase; CYP, Cyclophilin/Peptidylprolyl Isomerase; PP2A, Serine/threonine-Protein Phosphatase 2A; qPCR, Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction.

potential as they can be valorized for second-generation biofuels to produce environment-friendly energy (Vermerris, 2011; Calviño and Messing, 2012). Sorghum is related to many C4 plants including maize, sugarcane, foxtail millet and switchgrass, which tend to have larger polyploid genomes containing repetitive sequences (Price et al., 2005). In contrast, sorghum is a diploid with a small genome (750 Mbp) and possesses an extraordinary germplasm diversity that greatly aids gene discovery and analysis through comparative and functional genomics, making it highly useful as model cereal for structural and functional genomic studies aimed at improving agronomically important traits (Paterson et al., 2009).

Coupled with species phenotypes, functional genomics can provide important insights into complex biological processes including abiotic stress responses. Functional genomic studies are now being used to identify the roles of regulatory and structural genes. The importance of sorghum functional genomics has increased following the sequencing of its genome (Paterson et al., 2009). Despite a wide range of available experimental approaches for exploring gene expression at the transcriptional level, e.g., northern blotting, ribonuclease protection assays, reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR), quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) and DNA microarrays (Valasek and Repa, 2005). Among them, qPCR is the most efficient for quantification of gene expression levels due to its simplicity, sensitivity, accuracy and cost (Wong and Medrano, 2005); however, despite these advantages, the utility of this method is often limited due to the absence of reliable reference genes for qPCR data normalization.

Classically, most of the reference genes fall in the category of housekeeping genes, which have major roles in the maintenance of basic cellular metabolism irrespective of physiological conditions (Bustin, 2002). These reference genes have been widely used in qPCR assays in molecular and functional genomic studies as internal calibrators (Vandesompele et al., 2002; Eisenberg and Levanon, 2013); however, recent studies have shown that these reference genes are often not stably expressed under various experimental conditions (Thellin et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2013; Gimeno et al., 2014). Consequently, the selection and validation of suitable reference genes that are uniformly and stably expressed across experimental conditions have become imperative (Jian et al., 2008; Li et al., 2012). Various statistical tools, e.g., geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and RefFinder, have been developed to determine reference gene suitability for qPCR data normalization (Vandesompele et al., 2002; Andersen et al., 2004; Pfaffl et al., 2004). Stable reference gene validation has been performed in many plant species, including model species and crop plants such as Arabidopsis thaliana (Czechowski et al., 2005; Remans et al., 2008), Brassica napus (Yang et al., 2014a; Machado et al., 2015), Hordium vulgare (Zmienko et al., 2015), Fagopyrum tataricum (Demidenko et al., 2011), Cajanus cajan (Sinha et al., 2015), Oryza sativum (Jain et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010; Ji et al., 2014), Arachis hypogaea (Reddy et al., 2013), Pennisetum glaucum (Saha and Blumwald, 2014; Reddy et al., 2015b), Solanum tuberosum (Nicot et al., 2005; Mariot et al., 2015), Glycine max (Jian et al., 2008; Nakayama et al., 2014), Saccharum officinarum (Iskandar et al., 2004; Ling et al., 2015), Lycopersicon esculentum (Expósito-Rodríguez et al., 2008), *Triticum aestivum* (Paolacci et al., 2009), and *Zea mays* (Manoli et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2014).

Until now, sorghum qPCR assays were performed using a single reference gene to quantify target gene expression in response to various experimental conditions. Traditional reference genes including 18S RNA, Actin, EIF4a, Tubulin, and Ubiquitin have been used as calibrators for quantifying sorghum gene expression in response to abiotic stresses and during plant growth and development (Yang et al., 2004; Jain et al., 2008; Cook et al., 2010; Shen et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Dugas et al., 2011; Ishikawa et al., 2011; Koegel et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Gelli et al., 2014; Shakoor et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014b; Yin et al., 2014; Kebrom and Mullet, 2015; Li et al., 2015; Walder et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the reference genes used in these studies were randomly selected from various sources without any experimental validation. Moreover, single gene quantification qPCR assays are well known to frequently exhibit variability in gene expression under various experimental conditions (Dheda et al., 2005). The Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR Experiments (MIQE) guidelines developed for the proper selection (Bustin et al., 2009) and validation of stable candidate reference genes for qPCR experiments highly recommend averaging data from more than 2 reference genes (Bustin et al., 2010). To date, only one study has evaluated reference genes in virus-infected Sorghum bicolor tissues (Zhang et al., 2013), and to the best of our knowledge, there are no systematic studies regarding the selection of suitable stable sorghum reference genes in various tissues and abiotic stress conditions.

This study tested 15 potential candidate reference genes, Acyl Carrier Protein 2 (ACP2), ADP-Ribosylation Factor (ADPRF), alpha Tubulin ( $\alpha$ -TUB), beta Tubulin ( $\beta$ -TUB), Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 4A-1 (EIF4a), Elongation Factor P (EF-P), Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate Dehydrogenase (GAPDH), Malonyl CoA-Acyl Carrier Protein (MACP), Malate Dehydrogenase (MDH), Cyclophilin/Peptidylprolyl Isomerase (CYP), Protein Phosphatase 2C (PP2C), 6-Phosphogluconate Dehydrogenase (6PGDH), S-adenosyl methionine Decarboxylase (SAMDC), Serine/threonine-Protein Phosphatase (PP2A), and Ubiquitin Protein 1, Isoform c (UBC1). The stabilities of these reference genes were analyzed in sets of samples from treatments with various abiotic stresses (salt, cold, heat, water stress, and ABA stress) and tissues (seedlings, leaves, panicles, roots and mature seeds). Additionally, to verify and support the identification of the best-ranked candidate reference genes, SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 gene expression levels were assayed. The stable reference genes identified in this study will be helpful in future qPCR-based molecular and functional studies in sorghum and related species.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Plant Materials and Abiotic Stress Treatments

Sorghum bicolor genotypes (Parbani Moti, Phule Vasudha (PVS), S35, M35, and BTx623) seeds were collected from the

sorghum breeding unit of the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) in Patancheru, India. Seeds were sown in pots filled with soil mixture (3:2:1 clay:sand:manure) in a glasshouse. Various abiotic stress treatments (salt, cold, heat, water stress, and ABA stresses) were performed and various tissues (seedlings, leaves, panicles, roots, and mature seeds) were sampled according to (Reddy et al., 2015a). Leaf tissues were collected from sorghum cultivars including Parbani Moti, Phule Vasudha, S35, M35, and BTx623 were grown under control conditions and under progressive drought where at normalized transpiration ratio (NTR) of reached at 0.1 (10% of soil moisture remaining in the pot) (Vadez and Sinclair, 2001) then leaf samples were collected. Tissue samples were collected from three individual seedlings for three independent biological replicates, immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until RNA extraction.

# **Total RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis**

Total RNA was extracted from tissues using the Plant RNA mini spin kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL GmbH and Co. KG, Neumann-Neander-Straße 6-8, Duren, Germany) with the incolumn DNase I treatment according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sample quantity and purity were determined using a NanoVue Plus Spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare). RNA samples with an OD<sub>260</sub>/OD<sub>280</sub> absorbance ratio between 1.9 and 2.2 were used for further analysis, and RNA integrity was assessed on 1% denaturing formaldehyde agarose gel. For each sample, 1  $\mu$ g of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen) and oligo (dT) primers according to manufacturer's instructions. The reverse transcribed cDNAs were then diluted 1:12 with nuclease-free water and used for qPCR analysis.

# Candidate Reference Gene Selection and qPCR Primer Design

Fifteen candidate reference genes were identified from the literature and the sequences of their corresponding homologs were extracted from the NCBI and the Phytozome databases (Table 1). The selected candidate reference genes included GAPDH, UBC1, MACP, ACP2, a-TUB, EF-P, 6PGDH, SAMDC, CYP, MDH, ADPRF,  $\beta$ -TUB, PP2A, EIF4 $\alpha$ , and PP2C that were earlier reported to be stably expressed in monocots such as rice, pearl millet, barley, foxtail millet, wheat, and maize (Jain et al., 2006; Paolacci et al., 2009; Manoli et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2013; Reddy et al., 2015b; Zmienko et al., 2015). Primers were designed using the Primer3 software (Untergasser et al., 2012) with the following parameters: 59-62°C annealing temperature, 20-22 bp primer length, 45-55% GC contents, and 90-150 bp amplicon length (Table 1). Pooled and diluted cDNA samples were used for qPCR and 2% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to check primer pair specificity prior to sequencing. The sequences amplified by each primer combination were compared with GenBank sequences using the BLASTN and BLASTX algorithms to verify amplicon specificity.

# Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR (qPCR)

The qPCR reactions were performed using a Realplex Real-Time PCR system (Eppendorf, Germany) and SYBR Green mix (Bioline) in 96 well optical reaction plates (Axygen, USA) sealed with ultra-clear sealing film (Platemax). The reactions were performed in a 10  $\mu$ l total volume containing 5  $\mu$ l of 2x SensiMix SYBR No ROX mix (Bioline), 400 nM of each primer, 1.0 µl of diluted cDNA and nuclease-free water. The reaction conditions were 95°C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15s at 95°C and 30s at 62°C with fluorescent signal recording. After amplification, melt curves were generated for each reaction to ensure specific amplification. All qPCR reactions, including the non-template control, were performed in biological and technical triplicates. The mean values obtained from the nine values (triplicates of each biological triplicate) were used to calculate the final quantification cycle values (Cq).

# **Data Analysis**

The quantitative cycle (Cq) values were recorded using the RT-PCR system default settings in which the baseline was automatically corrected and threshold values were estimated using the noise band mode. Statistical analysis (mean and CV) of the Cq values was performed using a Microsoft Excel 2010 spreadsheet. PCR efficiencies (E) for candidate genes were evaluated using the dilution series method and pooled cDNA samples. The 12-fold diluted pooled cDNA sample was used for 2-fold serial dilutions. Five serially diluted cDNA samples were used as templates for the construction of standard curves for each primer pair using the above PCR composition and conditions. Standard curves were generated using linear regression based on the quantitative cycle (Cq) values for the dilution series. The correlation coefficients  $(R^2)$  and slope values were obtained from the standard curves, and the PCR amplification efficiencies (E) were calculated according to the following equation: E = $(10^{-1/\text{slope}} - 1).$ 

# **Statistical Tools for Normalization**

The genEX Professional software geNorm and NormFinder algorithms (MultiD Analyses AB, Sweden) were used to identify and analyze the stably expressed gene (s) in diverse experimental samples. The raw Cq values for each gene were corrected according to their PCR efficiencies and then converted into relative quantities. The mean values for the biological replicates were used as the input data for the geNorm and NormFinder analyses. The geNorm program calculates the candidate gene expression stability (M value) using pairwise comparisons and stepwise exclusion (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Pairwise variation analysis was performed to identify the optimal number of reference genes required for normalization in each sample set using geNorm and qBase plus software (v: 2.4; Biogazelle, Belgium) (Hellemans et al., 2007). NormFinder also measures candidate reference gene expression stability using stepwise exclusion by estimating the intra- and inter-group variation. A low stability value indicates low combined variation and high expression stability (Andersen et al., 2004). RefFinder is a web-based tool

S.No.	Gene symbol	Gene name	Accession number	Cellular function	Primers (F/R) (5''-3'')	Amplicon length (bp)	Tm (°C)	PCR efficiency	Regression coefficient (R2)
-	GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate- Dehydrogenase	XM_002439118	Glycolysis and gluconeogenesis	AAGGCCGGCATTGCTTTGAAT ACATGTGGCAGATCAGGTCGA	107	84.1	1.00	0.998
CI	UBC1	Ubiquitin Protein 1 Isoform C	XM_002452660	Protein degradation	AGAGGCTCATCTTCGCTGGG CTCAGTTAACACGGCCACCAC	120	88.1	0.98	0.994
ю	MACP	Malonyl CoA-Acyl Carrier protein	XM_002465363	Fatty acid biosynthesis	GCATTGAGAACATCGGGGCTT ATGAGTGGAAACTTCGTTCCA	139	82.8	0.98	0.997
4	ACP2	Acyl Carrier Protein-2	XM_002462703	Fatty acid and Polyketides biosynthesis	ACGAACTTGTTGCGGGCAGAAG GAACAAGAAGGGATGCGCTGG	110	84.3	1.00	0.996
Ŋ	α-TUB	Alpha –Tubulin	XM_002466490	Cytoskeleton structure protein	GAGGGTGAGTTCTCTGAGGCC CTCCCTCATCTCCATCCTCGC	103	85.2	1.00	0.997
9	EF-P	Elongation Factor P	XM_002463651	Peptide bond synthesis	TGAAGCGGGTGAGAAGATTGT AGCCAAATCATACTCGCCCA	114	80.3	1.00	0.999
7	врадн	6-Phosphogluconate dehydrogenase	XM_002449451	Involved in the pentose phosphate pathway	CACACGGAATGGACCAAGCTG AGAGAAATCACCCAGAGCAGCA	91	85.3	0.97	0.997
Ø	SAMDC	S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase	XM_002446695	Synthesis of polyamines	GTGGCGGACTCCTCATCTACC CCAGTTTGCGGTCCTTCACAG	132	85.1	0.98	0.996
Ø	СҮР	Peptidy/proly/ Isomerase	XM_002453800	Cis-trans isomerization of prolineimidic peptide bonds	GTATCTGTCGCCGTCTCT TTCACCCAACTCCTCAACCCC	108	81.1	1.01	0.989
10	MDH	Malate dehydrogenase	XM_002467034	Citric acid cycle and gluconeogenesis	TGCAGTGGTGGTGAATGGAA GCGTCTTCTCCGACAGC	103	82.5	1.01	0.972
11	ADP-RF	ADP-Ribosylation Factor	XM_002441244	Regulators of vesicular traffic and actin remodeling	GTCTGTCGGGATGTGGGGGATGT CACAGCACAGTCGGGACATG	136	84.5	0.96	0.998
12	β-TUB	Beta Tubulin	XM_002439758	Cytoskeleton structure protein	GCGTGTGAGTCATCCGTTCAC CCGCCTCAGTGAACTCCATCT	98	84.3	1.03	0.999
13	PP2A	Serine/threonine- Protein Phosphatase	XM_002453490	Control the specific dephosphorylation	AACCCGCAAAACCCCAGACTA TACAGGTCGGGCTCATGGAAC	138	82.7	0.97	0.991
14	EIF4α	Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 4A	XM_002451491	Eukaryotic translation	CAACTITIGTCACCCGCGATGA TCCAGAAACCTTAGCAGCCCA	144	84.8	1.02	0.993
15	PP2C	Protein Phosphatase 2C	XM_002468507	Signal transduction	GTAACCCTTCCCGCGGAAATCC GCGCTACACTTTGCTGCTTTT	140	85.4	0.94	0.992
Details of ca	andidate reference genes.	. NCBI accession number. thei	r putative functions, primer seaue	nces. product size and amplic	con characteristics. i.e meltina temperatu	Ire, PCR efficiency	and regression	n coefficient va	lues.

TABLE 1 | Comprehensive details of the reference genes used for the normalization in Sorghum.

(http://www.leonxie.com/referencegene.php) that integrates the four major computational programs currently available [geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002), NormFinder (Andersen et al., 2004), BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al., 2004), and the comparative  $\Delta$ Ct method (Silver et al., 2006)], and calculates the geometric mean for comprehensive ranking.

### SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 Genes Expression

Sorghum heat shock transcription factors *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* were selected as target genes for validating stabilities of the

most and least stable reference genes by quantifying the gene expression levels in various experimental samples. Sample collections and experiments were performed as described above. *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* gene expression levels were normalized using the two most stable candidate reference genes, *PP2A* and *EIF4* $\alpha$ , as well as the two least stable reference genes in the all sample group, *UBC1* and *GAPDH*, individually and in combination. For reference gene validations, root tissues of variety Parbani Moti, and leaf tissues of five sorghum varieties under water stress conditions were used for estimating relative







expression levels of *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* using REST software (Pfaffl et al., 2002).

# RESULTS

# Primer Specificity and PCR Efficiency Calculations

The candidate reference genes selected for this study represent various functional classes and gene families. Primer pairs for 15 candidate reference genes were used for qPCR amplification of sorghum cDNA and yielded single PCR products of the expected sizes (**Figure 1A**), as well as single melting curve peaks (**Figure 1B**). The amplification products were sequenced, and verification using the NCBI database BLASTN algorithm revealed 100% matches, demonstrating the gene specificity of the qPCR primer pairs. While the amplification efficiencies (*E*) of the candidate reference genes ranged from 0.94 (*PP2C*) to 1.03 ( $\beta$ -*TUB*), the regression coefficient values ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) ranged from 0.972 (*UBC1*) to 0.999 (*FE-P* and  $\beta$ -*TUB*) (**Table 1**).

# Sorghum Reference Gene Expression Analysis

A total of 15 candidate reference genes were selected for qPCR normalization. A SYBR Green-based qPCR assay was used for transcriptional profiling of the 15 candidate reference genes in 10 samples, including five from abiotic stress conditions (water stress, salt, ABA, cold and heat shock) and five different tissues (leaves, roots, panicles, mature seeds and seedlings). Candidate reference gene transcript levels were determined using the quantification cycle (Cq) values, and these genes varied in their abundance (**Figure 2**). The mean candidate gene Cq values ranged from 17.70 to 28.92, with most falling between 22 and 25.

GAPDH exhibited the lowest mean Cq value (17.70), indicating that GAPDH was the most highly expressed, while PP2C, ACP2,  $\alpha$ -TUB, 6PGDH, MDH, ELFP, EIF4 $\alpha$ , and PP2A were moderately expressed (mean Cq 22.31–24.14), whereas  $\beta$ -TUB (mean Cq 26.69) and UBC1 (mean Cq 28.91) were expressed at low levels. Across the tested samples, the GAPDH gene showed the least variation in expression levels (coefficient of variation 2.59%), while  $\alpha$ -TUB was the most variable (13.53%). The degree of variation in reference gene expression across the tested samples is shown in **Figure 2**. These results indicated that none of the reference gene expression levels were constant and that they varied from one assay to another.

# **Reference Gene Ranking and Expression Stability Analysis**

The stability values for each reference gene or combination of reference genes may vary from one experimental set to another. The orders of candidate gene stability ranking for the three sample sets were determined separately to identify the most stable reference genes using three different statistical tools, geNorm, NormFinder and RefFinder.

#### **GeNorm Analysis**

GeNorm software was used to determine the expression stability of the 15 candidate sorghum reference genes; for this analysis, lower M values indicate greater gene expression stability. The software recommends a cutoff M value of 1.5 to identify sets of reference genes that are stably expressed. **Figure 3** displays the expression stability rankings for the tested candidate genes; the lowest M value was calculated for the *PP2A* and *EIF4* $\alpha$  pair (M = 0.76) and corresponded to the most stable expression in the all sample group, whereas the M values for *GAPDH* and *UBC1* were considerably higher than for the remaining candidate



genes (**Figure 3**). For the abiotic stress treatments, *PP2A* and *CYP* were the most stable reference genes, while *UBC1* and  $\beta$ -*TUB* were the least stable (**Figure 3**). In the tissue group, *SAMDC* and *PP2A* were the most stable candidate genes while *CYP* and *GAPDH* were the least stable (**Figure 3**). The optimal numbers of reference genes required for gene expression normalization for the various sample groups were determined using geNorm. As shown in **Figure 4**, the V2/3 values of all three-sample sets were below the threshold value of 0.15 (0.144 for all pooled samples,

0.112 for the abiotic treatments, and 0.14 for the various tissue sample sets), indicating that two reference genes were sufficient for sorghum gene expression data normalization.

#### NormFinder Analysis

NormFinder rankings differed slightly from the geNorm rankings (**Figure 5**). Both tools ranked *PP2A* and *EIF4* $\alpha$  as being the most stable genes in all the sample sets, while *GAPDH* and *UBC1* were the least stable genes (**Figure 5**); in contrast,



 $\alpha$ -*TUB* and *PP2A* emerged as the most stably expressed genes in the abiotic stress sample set, while geNorm ranked  $\alpha$ -*TUB* eighth (**Figure 5**). For the tissue sample set, *EIF4* $\alpha$  and *PP2A* reference genes occupied the top positions, while geNorm ranked *EIF4* $\alpha$  third (**Figure 5**). *GAPDH* and *UBC1* were also the least stable reference genes in the abiotic stress and tissue sample sets (**Figure 5**).

## **RefFinder Analysis**

The comprehensive ranking of the candidate reference genes for all three experimental sample sets using RefFinder was highly consistent with the findings of geNorm and NormFinder. RefFinder analysis revealed that *PP2A* and *EIF4* $\alpha$  were the most stable in all the sample set (**Table 2**). Similarly, *PP2A* and *CYP* were highly stable under abiotic stress conditions, while *EIF4* $\alpha$  and *PP2A* were the two most stable genes for the tissue sample set (**Table 2**). Comprehensive ranking revealed that *GAPDH* was the least stable gene in the all sample and tissue sample sets, while  $\beta$ -*TUB* was the least stable gene in all threesample sets (**Table 2**). Comprehensive ranking for the individual abiotic stress treatments revealed a pattern similar to that of the abiotic stress sample set in which *PP2A* was second for heat, salt and water stresses. Similarly, *EF-P* remained in the third position for the ABA and clod stresses (Supplementary Table 1).

# Validation of the Best and Least Ranked Sorghum Reference Genes

To validate the selected candidate reference genes, the expression patterns of SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 were assayed in root tissues, water-stressed samples and different varieties (Figures 6A-C). In sorghum, SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 genes have been shown to express in different tissues and induced by abiotic stress treatments (Nagaraju et al., 2015). In this study, the two most stable reference genes from all the sample set, PP2A and  $EIF4\alpha$ , were used for normalization: in roots under normal growth conditions, SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 expression were not significantly different. In contrast, the SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 expression patterns were very different when GAPDH and UBC1, the least stable genes from all the sample set, were used for normalization. Normalization with UBC1 indicated a 2- to 3-fold increase in expression, while normalization with GAPDH and GAPDH+UBC1 indicated downregulation of both genes in root tissues under normal conditions (Figure 6A). To further validate the selected reference genes, the transcript levels



genes are listed on the right and the least stable are listed on the left.

were quantified in five sorghum varieties under water stress conditions (**Figure 6C**). For water stress samples, *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* expression levels increased1 to 12-fold under water stress conditions when normalized with the two most stable reference genes from all the sample set (*PP2A* and *EIF4α*; **Figures 6B,C**). As expected, normalization with the least stable genes (*GAPDH* and *UBC1*), either alone or in combination with *UBC1* resulted in discrepancies for expression of both *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* gene expression (**Figures 6B,C**).

### DISCUSSION

Accurate gene expression primarily relies on the stable expression of reference genes under diverse experimental conditions; however, thus far, no single reference gene has been shown to be stably expressed across experimental conditions (Olsvik et al., 2008; Cruz et al., 2009). The stability value of the most stable reference gene or the best combination of genes may vary from one experimental setup to another, meaning

oer (	
Keel	
<b>3est</b>	
ΙΞ), I	
er ()	
Find	
orm	
Ň,	
ß	
lorm	
geN	
Ę,	
0.7	
lta C	
y de	
ed b	
sulat	
calo	
sets	
ple	
sam	
ntal	
rime	
edxe	
ee e	
n thi	
nes	
e ge	
ence	
refei	
lor	
bicc	
hum	
orgi	
ate S	
ndida	
i car	
of 15	
ing	ms.
rank	orith.
ility	algo
stab	(RF)
The	nder
2	efFir
<b>\BLE</b>	a Br

DCTGNNFBKBKBCTGNNFBKBFDT1PP2APP2APP2APP2AEF-PPP2APP2APP2AEF-PPP2A2ACP2EF4aMACPEF-PMACPEF-PPP2ACYPPP2AEF-PPP2A3EF4aACP2PP2CPP2CPP2ACYPPP2ACYPPP2APP2A4MACPACP2PP2CPP2CPP2ACYPPP2ACYPPP2A5SAMDCADP-RFSAMDCCYPEF-PMACPPP2ACYPPP2A66PGDH6PGDHEF-PMACPFF-PMACPPP2AADP-RF7EF-PPP2CCYPPP2CEF-PMACPMACPPP2A8ADP-RFFF-PADP-RFEF-PMDHACP2EF-PPP2A10PP2CPP2AADP-RFEF-PMACPMACPMACPPP2A11wTUBMDHADP-RFEF-PADP-RFEF-PMACPADP-RF12P-TUBPP2CWDHADP-RFEF-PADP-RFEF-PADP-RF13wTUBPP2CwTUBMDHADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-RADP-RF14wDHADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-R15wDHADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-RADP-RFEF-RADP-RFA	Rank			All Samples					Abiotic stress	6				Tissues		
1PP2APP2AEF-PPP2APP2ACYPPP2AGAPDHPP2AEF-A2 $ACP2$ EFFau $KACP$ EFFau $CYP$ PP2A $CYP$ EF-P $CYP$ SAMDC3EFFau $ACP2$ EFFau $ACP2$ $EFPau$ $KACP$ EF-P $CYP$ $EF-P$ $CYP$ $EF-P$ $PP2A$ 4 $ACP2$ $ACP2$ $BCPAH$ $ACP2$ $EFP$ $MACP$ $EFP$ $MACP$ $EF-P$ $CYP$ $EF-P$ $PP2A$ 5 $AMDC$ $SAMDC$ $BCPH$ $MACP$ $EFP$ $MACP$ $EFP$ $MCH$ $EFP$ $PP2A$ 6 $BCBH$ $BCBH$ $BCBH$ $MACP$ $EFP$ $MDH$ $CYP$ $EFP$ $PP2A$ 7 $EFP$ $EFP$ $BCBH$ $MACP$ $EFP$ $MDH$ $CYP$ $EFP$ $ADP-FF$ 8 $ADP-RF$ $EFP$ $BCBH$ $BFAH$ $ACP2$ $EFP$ $MCP$ $EFP$ $ADP-FF$ 9 $MDH$ $MDH$ $EFP$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ $EFP$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ 10 $FTUB$ $BP2C$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ $EFP$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ 11 $wTUB$ $MDH$ $ADP-RF$ $EFAu$ $ADP-RF$ $EFAu$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ 12 $FTUB$ $BP1C$ $ADP-RF$ $EFAu$ $ADP-RF$ $EFAu$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ 13 $VTUB$ $BP1C$ $BP1C$ $BP2C$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$ $ADP-RF$		DCT	GN	μ	BK	RF	DCT	GN	ΝF	BK	RF	DCT	GN	NF	BK	RF
	-	PP2A	PP2A	PP2A	EF-P	PP2A	PP2A	CYP	PP2A	GAPDH	PP2A	EIF4α	SAMDC	EIF4A	EF-P	$EIF4\alpha$
3EF4uACP2ACP2PP2CACP2PP2CACP2PP2CACP2PP2CACP2PP2CPP2CPP2C6MACPSAMDCMACPEF-PMACPEF-PMACPEF-PMACPPP2CPP2CACP26SAMDCAP-RFSAMDCCYPEF-PMDHPP2CEF-PMDHCYPPP2C7EF-PBCPHBFGHKTDBFCHMDHPP2CEF-PMACPFF-P7EF-PBCPHBFCHBFCHBFCHMDHPP2CEF-PMACP8ADP-RFMDHADP-RFACP2BFCHMDHMDH9MDHMDHADP-RFADP-RFACP2ACP2GP4DHP2C10PP2CworldMDHADP-RFEF-MADP-RFEF-MMDHADP-RF11worldMDHADP-RFEF-MADP-RFEF-MADP-RFFF-MMDH12p-TUBMDHADP-RFEF-MADP-RFEF-MMDHADP-RF13crypcrypworldBFOLADP-RFEF-MADP-RFFF-M14UBC1b-TUBWDHCrypworldBFOLADP-RFADP-RF15crypcrypworldBFOLGPDHGPDHGPDHGPDH16worldWDHCrypworldWDHCrypWDHGPDH17worldWDHCrypworl	2	ACP2	$EIF4\alpha$	$EIF4\alpha$	MACP	$EIF4\alpha$	CVP	PP2A	СҮР	EF-P	CVP	SAMDC	PP2A	PP2A	PP2C	PP2A
4MACPSAMDCMACPFFGDHMACPFF-PMACPMACPPP2CPP2CPP2CRACPRACP5SAMDCAD-RFSAMDCCYPEF-PMDHPP2CEF-PMACPRACP66 FGDH6 FGDH6 FGDH6 FGDHEF-PMDHPP2CEF-PMACPRACP7EF-PFF-PPP2CPP2CPP2CEF-PMACPMACPMACP8AD-RFPP2CPP2CAD-RFFF-DAD-RFMACPMACPAD-RF9MDHMDHAD-RFAD-RFAD-RFEF-DMACPMDHAD-RF10PP2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHAD-RFEF-DAD-RFEF-AMDHAD-RF11 $\omega$ -TUBMDHAD-RFEF-AAD-RFEF-AAD-RFEF-AMDH11 $\omega$ -TUBMDHAD-RFEF-AAD-RFEF-AAD-RFEF-A12 $p$ -TUBPP2C $\omega$ -TUBMDHAD-RFEF-AAD-RFEF-A13 $w$ -TUBPP2C $\omega$ -TUBPP2CAD-RFEF-AAD-RFPP2C14UBC1UBC1PP2C $\omega$ -TUBPP2CAD-RFPP2CAD-RFPP2C14UBC1UBC1PP2C $\omega$ -TUBPP2CAD-RFPP2CAD-RFPP2C15 $\omega$ -TUBPP2C $\omega$ -TUBPP2C $\omega$ -TUBPP2CAD-RFPP2CPP2C16PP2C	ო	$EIF4\alpha$	ACP2	ACP2	PP2C	ACP2	PP2C	EF-P	α-TUB	PP2A	EF-P	PP2A	$EIF4\alpha$	SAMP	СУР	SAMDC
5SAMDCAD-RFSAMDCCYPEF-PMDHPP2CEF4aa-TUB6FGDH66FGDH6FGDH6FGDH6FGDHa-TUB7CEF-PMACPMACPMACP7EF-PFF-PPP2C7C7C7CEF-PMACPMACPMACP8ADP-RFPP2CPP2C7C7C7C7C7C7CMACPMACP9MDHMDHADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RF10PP2C $a_{-}$ TUBMDHADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFEF4aADP-RFADP-RF11 $a_{-}$ TUBMDHADP-RFADP-RFEF4aADP-RFADP-RFADP-RF11 $a_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2CADP-RFADP-RFADP-RFADP-RF12 $b_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2CADP-RFADP-RF13 $c_{-}$ TUB $p_{-}$ TUB $p_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2CADP-RFADP-RF14UBC1UBC1UBC1PP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2CADP-RFADP-RF14UBC1UBC1UBC1PP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2C $a_{-}$ TUBPP2CADP-RFADP-RF15CYPCYPCYPCYPSAMDCADP-RFPP2CADP-RFADP-RF16UBC1UBC1UBC1PP2C <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>MACP</td><td>SAMDC</td><td>MACP</td><td>6PGDH</td><td>MACP</td><td>EF-P</td><td>MACP</td><td>MDH</td><td>CYP</td><td>PP2C</td><td>ACP2</td><td>ACP2</td><td>ACP2</td><td>6PGDH</td><td>ACP2</td></td<>	4	MACP	SAMDC	MACP	6PGDH	MACP	EF-P	MACP	MDH	CYP	PP2C	ACP2	ACP2	ACP2	6PGDH	ACP2
66FGDH6FGDH6FGDH $a-TUB$ $a-TUB$ $ACP2$ $E-P$ $MACP$	Ŋ	SAMDC	ADP-RF	SAMDC	CVP	EF-P	HDH	PP2C	PP2C	$EIF4\alpha$	α-TUB	6PGDH	6PGDH	6PGDH	MACP	6PGDH
7EF-PEF-PPP2CPP2ASAMDCMACPMACP $\alpha$ -TUBMDHADP-RF8ADP-RFMACPEF-PACP2PCCMCP $\alpha$ -TUBMDHADP-RF9MDHMDHADP-RFACP2ACP2 $\alpha$ -TUBACP2ACP2 $\beta$ -DH10PP2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADP-RF $\beta$ -TUB11 $\omega$ -TUBNDHADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADP-RF $\beta$ -TUB12 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB13 $CPP$ $CPP$ $CPP$ $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB14UBC1UBC1UBC1 $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB14UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC115CAPHGAPHGAPH $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB15 $\phi$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB16 $\phi$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB17UBC1UBC1UBC1 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB18UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1	9	6PGDH	6PGDH	6PGDH	$EIF4\alpha$	6PGDH	α-TUB	ACP2	EF-P	MACP	MACP	MACP	ADP-RF	MACP	ACP2	EF-P
8 $\mbody \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	7	EF-P	EF-P	PP2C	PP2A	SAMDC	MACP	HDM	MACP	α-TUB	HDH	ADP-RF	EF-P	$\beta$ -TUB	$EIF4\alpha$	MACP
9MDHMDHADF-RFADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ MDHACP2 $\beta$ -TUB10P2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHSAMDCMDHADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RF11 $\alpha$ -TUBPP2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHCYPSAMDCADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RFEF4 $\alpha$ ADF-RF12 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUBUBC1 $\alpha$ -TUBCYPCYPCYPADF-RFPP2CADF-RFPP2C13CYPCYPCYPUBC1 $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUBGAPDHGAPDHGAPDHGPDHGPDHGPDHPP2C14UBC1	00	ADP-RF	MACP	EF-P	ACP2	PP2C	ACP2	α-TUB	ACP2	ACP2	GAPDH	EF-P	MACP	A-TUB	UBC1	PP2C
10PP2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHSAMDCMDHADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ SAMDCADP-RFEF4 $\alpha$ $\alpha$ -TUB11 $\alpha$ -TUBPP2C $\alpha$ -TUBMDHCYPSAMDCSAMDCADP-RFPP2CADP-RFMDH12 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUBUBC1 $\alpha$ -TUB6PGDH6PGDH6PGDH6PGDH6PGDHPP2CPP2CPP2C13CYPCYPCYP $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB6PGDH6PGDH6PGDH6PGDH6PGDHCYP14UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC1UBC115GAPDHGAPDHGAPDHGAPDH $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -T	0	MDH	HDM	ADP-RF	ADP-RF	ADP-RF	$EIF4\alpha$	ADP-RF	$EIF4\alpha$	HDM	ACP2	$\beta$ -TUB	HDH	ELFP	PP2A	ADP-RF
11 $u$ -TUBPP2C $u$ -TUBMDHCYPSAMDCSAMDCAD-RFPP2CAD-RFMDH12 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\mu$ -TUB $uBC1$ $u$ -TUB $\theta$ -GDH $\theta$ -GDH $SAMDC$ $APCP$ $P2C$ $AD-RF$ $MD$ 13 $CYP$ $CYP$ $CYP$ $CYP$ $uPC1$ $u-TUB$ $\theta$ -TUB $\theta$ -PDH $\theta$ -GDH $\theta$ -GDH $\theta$ -GDH $P2C$ $P2C$ 14 $UBC1$ $UB$	10	PP2C	α-TUB	MDH	SAMDC	HDM	ADP-RF	$EIF4\alpha$	SAMDC	ADP-RF	$EIF4\alpha$	α-TUB	$\alpha$ -TUB	ADPRF	SAMDC	CYP
12 $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB UBC1 $\alpha$ -TUB $\delta$ PGDH $\delta$ PGDH $\delta$ PGDH SAMDC SAMDC PP2C 13 CYP CYP $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\alpha$ PDH $\alpha$ PDH $\alpha$ PDH $\delta$ PGDH $\delta$ PGDH $\delta$ PGDH $\delta$ PGDH $\gamma$ PC 14 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 $\beta$ -TUB UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UB	11	α-TUB	PP2C	α-TUB	HDH	CYP	SAMDC	SAMDC	ADP-RF	PP2C	ADP-RF	HDH	B-TUB	PP2C	$\beta$ -TUB	β-TUB
13 CYP CYP CYP $\alpha$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH 6PGDH 6PGDH CYP 14 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 $\beta$ -TUB UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 15 GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB $\beta$ -TUB GAPDH	12	$\beta$ -TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	UBC1	α-TUB	6PGDH	6PGDH	6PGDH	SAMDC	SAMDC	PP2C	PP2C	HDH	ADP-RF	$\alpha$ -TUB
14 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 $\beta$ -TUB UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UBC1 UB	13	CYP	CVP	CYP	α-TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	6PGDH	6PGDH	CVP	UBC1	CYP	HDH	HDM
15 GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH GAPDH β-TUB β-TUB β-TUB β-TUB β-TUB GAPDH	14	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	$\beta$ -TUB	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	UBC1	CVP	UBC1	α-TUB	UBC1
	15	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	$\beta$ -TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	β-TUB	$\beta$ -TUB	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH	GAPDH

Ж Ж

that the reference genes used for normalization should be validated under certain experimental conditions by statistically and experimentally. In the present study, 15 candidate reference genes were evaluated for expression stability among diverse experimental samples including various tissues and abiotic stress conditions. Expression analysis revealed that all 15 candidate reference genes varied significantly across the 10 tested samples; therefore, reference genes for optimal normalization were chosen from a set of candidate genes for each experiment either alone or in combination. To determine the comprehensive ranking of candidate reference genes in a sample set, we also used RefFinder, which considers the results of the four algorithms (geNorm, NormFinder, BestKeeper and the  $\Delta$ Cq method) together. Based on their stability rankings, various candidate genes have been proposed for their suitability as reference genes depending on the experimental conditions, implying that the reference genes used for abiotic stress studies in sorghum might not be suitable for gene expression analysis across genotypes and species.

In all the sample set, all three algorithms identified two reference genes, *PP2A* and *EIF4\alpha*, as the two most stable genes. The pairwise variation calculated by geNorm also indicated that the use of two genes would be sufficient for normalization (Figure 4). Based on these results, it is inferred that PP2A and EIF4 $\alpha$  would be appropriate for qPCR data normalization for the sorghum all sample set. For the abiotic stress treatment sample set, the pairwise variation indicated that the top ranking two genes (PP2A and CYP) could be used for normalization (Figure 4). Similarly, *PP2A* and *EIF4\alpha* would be sufficient for the normalization of the tissue sample set data (Figure 4). PP2A was identified as one of the two most stable genes in all three studied sample sets (Table 2). This result is in agreement with previous studies of maturing B. napus embryos (Chen et al., 2010), multiple stress conditions (Wang et al., 2014), development and under various environmental conditions in A. thaliana (Czechowski et al., 2005) and Caragana intermedia (Zhu et al., 2013), as well as for virus-infected Nicotiana benthamiana (Liu et al., 2012) and Z. mays (Zhang et al., 2013). Furthermore, PP2A was the most stably expressed gene among various Striga life stages (Fernández-Aparicio et al., 2013). EIF4α was second most stably expressed gene in the all sample and tissue sample sets (Table 2). Similar results were observed in Carica papaya, where EIF4 $\alpha$  expression was stable under most of the experimental conditions tested (Zhu et al., 2012). EIF4 $\alpha$  was also reported as being a stably expressed gene in sexual and apomictic Brachiaria brizantha (Silveira et al., 2009), and in sexual and apomictic accessions of Cenchrus ciliaris (Simon et al., 2013). In the present study, CYP was the third most stable gene; it was stably expressed under abiotic stress treatments and in the tissue sample set (Table 2). The results obtained in the present study are in agreement with our previous studies in peanuts, where CYP was the most stably expressed gene during vegetative stages and under abiotic stress conditions (Reddy et al., 2013) and was also stably expressed in various tissues of G. max (Jian et al., 2008), Vicia faba (Gutierrez et al., 2011) and salt-stressed S. tuberosusm (Nicot et al., 2005). The CYP gene was moderately stable in developing and germinating G. max seeds (Li et al., 2012) and in bananas under various experimental conditions (Chen et al., 2011). In



contrast, our recent study in *Cicer arietinum* indicated that *CYP* was the least stably expressed gene under various experimental conditions (Reddy et al., 2016), consistent with a previous study of grapevine berry development (Reid et al., 2006). In conclusion, *PP2A*, *EIF4* $\alpha$ , and *CYP* are recommended as suitable reference genes for the qPCR-based normalization of gene expression in sorghum under abiotic stress and in various tissues.

To further validate the candidate reference genes selected from the RefFinder comprehensive ranking, the expression levels of two sorghum heat shock transcription factors, *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13*, were assessed. Plant heat shock transcription factors play major roles in higher plants under various abiotic stresses. In sorghum, *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* are expressed under abiotic stress conditions: *SbHSF5* is moderately expressed under cold stress and upregulated under drought stress, and *SbHSF13* is moderately expressed under cold and heat stress and upregulated under drought and high salinity stress (Nagaraju et al., 2015). In the present study, *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* expression levels were upregulated under water stress conditions and no significant changes were observed in root tissue gene expression levels when normalized to the most stable reference genes, *PP2A* and *EIF4α* (**Figures 6A,B**). While, *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* genes significantly upregulated under water stress conditions in leaf tissues of all five sorghum genotypes with relatively higher expression when normalized with the sable reference genes (*PP2A* and *EIF4a*), the normalization was obscured when least stable reference gene (s) (*UBC1* and *GAPDH*) were used (**Figures 6B,C**). The upregulation of *SbHSF5* and *SbHSF13* under this study is in agreement with another recent study in sorghum (Nagaraju et al., 2015) confirming the stability validation of the selected candidate reference genes and demonstrated the disadvantages of using unstable reference genes for normalization.

# CONCLUSION

This study reports on the selection and validation of stable reference genes for qPCR-based gene expression studies in sorghum in response to various abiotic stresses and in different tissues. Three major statistical tools, geNorm, NormFinder and RefFinder, were used to analyze the suitability of reference genes. Some slight differences were observed between the statistical tools with regards to the selected stable reference genes. Overall, *PP2A* and *EIF4\alpha* are the most stable candidate reference genes, and UBC1 and GAPDH were found to be least stable. The use of two reference genes would be optimal for the normalization of sorghum gene expression levels in various tissues and abiotic stress conditions. The most and least stable reference genes were further validated for their suitability as reference genes by normalizing SbHSF5 and SbHSF13 expression levels under various experimental conditions across five genotypes. This work will benefit future studies of gene expression in related

## REFERENCES

- Andersen, C. L., Jensen, J. L., and Ørntoft, T. F. (2004). Normalization of realtime quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5245–5250. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0496
- Bustin, S. A. (2002). Quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR): trends and problems. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 29, 23–39. doi: 10.1677/jme.0.0290023
- Bustin, S. A., Beaulieu, J. F., Huggett, J., Jaggi, R., Kibenge, F. S. B., Olsvik, P. A., et al. (2010). MIQE precis: practical implementation of minimum standard guidelines for fluorescence-based quantitative realtime PCR experiments. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 11:74. doi: 10.1186/1471-219 9-11-74
- Bustin, S. A., Benes, V., Garson, J. A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., et al. (2009). The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clin. Chem.* 55, 611–622. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797
- Calviño, M., and Messing, J. (2012). Sweet sorghum as a model system for bioenergy crops. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23, 323–329. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2011.12.002
- Chen, L., Zhong, H. Y., Kuang, J. F., Li, J. G., Lu, W. J., and Chen, J. Y. (2011). Validation of reference genes for RT-qPCR studies of gene expression in banana fruit under different experimental conditions. *Planta* 234, 377–390. doi: 10.1007/s00425-011-1410-3
- Chen, X., Truksa, M., Shah, S., and Weselake, R. J. (2010). A survey of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction internal reference genes for expression studies in *Brassica napus. Anal. Biochem.* 405, 138–140. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2010.05.032
- Cook, D., Rimando, A. M., Clemente, T. E., Schröder, J., Dayan, F. E., Nanayakkara, N. P., et al. (2010). Alkylresorcinol synthases expressed in *Sorghum bicolor* root

experiments using different tissues and abiotic stress conditions in *S. bicolor* and related crops.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

PS, KS, and VV designed the experiments. PS, DS, SK, and PB performed the experiments and data analysis. PS, DS and KS drafted the manuscript.

# FUNDING

Financial support to PS through the INSPIRE Faculty Award (IFA11-LSPA-06) and the Young Scientist Scheme SB/YS/LS-12/2013 provided by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged. This work was performed as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Dryland Cereals.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

PS acknowledges INSPIRE Faculty Award (IFA11-LSPA-06) and the Young Scientist Scheme (SB/YS/LS-12/2013) from the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, New Delhi. This work was performed as part of the CGIAR Research Program on Dryland Cereals. ICRISAT is a member of the CGIAR Consortium.

hairs play an essential role in the biosynthesis of the allelopathic benzoquinone sorgoleone. *Plant Cell* 22, 867–887. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.072397

- Cruz, F., Kalaoun, S., Nobile, P., Colombo, C., Almeida, J., Barros, L. M. G., et al. (2009). Evaluation of coffee reference genes for relative expression studies by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Mol. Breed.* 23, 607–616. doi: 10.1007/s11032-009-9259-x
- Czechowski, T., Stitt, M., Altmann, T., Udvardi, M. K., and Scheible, W. R. (2005). Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 139, 5–17. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.063743
- Demidenko, N. V., Logacheva, M. D., and Penin, A. A. (2011). Selection and validation of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR in buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) based on transcriptome sequence data. *PLoS ONE* 6:e19434. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019434
- Dheda, K., Huggett, J. F., Chang, J. S., Kim, L. U., Bustin, S. A., Johnson, M. A., et al. (2005). The implications of using an inappropriate reference gene for real-time reverse transcription PCR data normalization. *Anal. Biochem.* 344, 141–143. doi: 10.1016/j.ab.2005.05.022
- Dugas, D. V., Monaco, M. K., Olsen, A., Klein, R. R., Kumari, S., Ware, D., et al. (2011). Functional annotation of the transcriptome of *Sorghum bicolor* in response to osmotic stress and abscisic acid. *BMC Genomics* 12:514. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-514
- Eisenberg, E., and Levanon, E. Y. (2013). Human housekeeping genes, revisited. *Trends Genet.* 29, 569–574. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2013.05.010
- Expósito-Rodríguez, M., Borges, A. A., Borges-Perez, A., and Pérez, J. A. (2008). Selection of internal control genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR studies during tomato development process. *BMC Plant Biol.* 8:131. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-131
- FAO. (2011). FAOSTAT Database. Available online at: http://faostat.fao.org
- Fernández-Aparicio, M., Huang, K., Wafula, E. K., Honaas, L. A., Wickett, N. J., Timko, M. P., et al. (2013). Application of qRT-PCR and RNA-Seq analysis for the identification of housekeeping genes useful for normalization of gene

expression values during Striga hermonthica development. Mol. Biol. Rep. 40, 3395–3407. doi: 10.1007/s11033-012-2417-y

- Gelli, M., Duo, Y. C., Konda, A. R., Zhang, C., Holding, D., and Dweikat, I. (2014). Identification of differentially expressed genes between sorghum genotypes with contrasting nitrogen stress tolerance by genome-wide transcriptional profiling. *BMC Genomics* 15:179. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-179
- Gimeno, J., Eattock, N., Van Deynze, A., and Blumwald, E. (2014). Selection and validation of reference genes for gene expression analysis in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum*) using quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *PLoS ONE* 9:e91474. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0091474
- Gutierrez, N., Gimenez, M. J., Palomino, C., and Avila, C. M. (2011). Assessment of candidate reference genes for expression studies in *Vicia faba* L. by real-time quantitative PCR. *Mol. Breed.* 28, 13–24. doi: 10.1007/s11032-010-9456-7
- Hellemans, J., Mortier, G., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., and Vandesompele, J. (2007). qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. *Genome Biol.* 8:r19. doi: 10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19
- Ishikawa, R., Aoki, M., Kurotani, K., Yokoi, S., Shinomura, T., Takano, M., et al. (2011). Phytochrome B regulates Heading date 1 (Hd1)-mediated expression of rice florigen Hd3a and critical day length in rice. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 285, 461–470. doi: 10.1007/s00438-011-0621-4
- Iskandar, H. M., Simpson, R. S., Casu, R. E., Bonnett, G. D., Maclean, D. J., and Manners, J. M. (2004). Comparison of reference genes for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of gene expression. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 22, 325–337. doi: 10.1007/BF02772676
- Jain, M., Chourey, P. S., Li, Q. B., and Pring, D. R. (2008). Expression of cell wall invertase and several other genes of sugar metabolism in relation to seed development in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). J. Plant Physiol. 165, 331–344. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2006.12.003
- Jain, M., Nijhawan, A., Tyagi, A. K., and Khurana, J. P. (2006). Validation of housekeeping genes as internal control for studying gene expression in rice by quantitative real-time PCR. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 345, 646–651. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.04.140
- Ji, Y., Tu, P., Wang, K., Gao, F., Yang, W., Zhu, Y., et al. (2014). Defining reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR analysis of anther development in rice. *Acta Biochim. Biophys. Sin. (Shanghai).* 46, 305–312. doi: 10.1093/abbs/gmu002
- Jian, B., Liu, B., Bi, Y. R., Hou, W. S., Wu, C. X., and Han, T. F. (2008). Validation of internal control for gene expression study in soybean by quantitative real-time PCR. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 9:59. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-9-59
- Kebrom, T. H., and Mullet, J. E. (2015). Photosynthetic leaf area modulates tiller bud outgrowth in sorghum. *Plant Cell Environ.* 38, 1471–1478. doi: 10.1111/pce.12500
- Koegel, S., Ait Lahmidi, N., Arnould, C., Chatagnier, O., Walder, F., Ineichen, K., et al. (2013). The family of ammonium transporters (AMT) in *Sorghum bicolor*: two AMT members are induced locally, but not systemically in roots colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.* 198, 853–865. doi: 10.1111/nph.12199
- Kumar, K., Muthamilarasan, M., and Prasad, M. (2013). Reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR analysis in the model plant foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) subjected to abiotic stress conditions. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ. Cult.* 115, 13–22. doi: 10.1007/s11240-013-0335-x
- Li, J. Q., Wang, L. H., Zhan, Q. W., Liu, Y. L., Fu, B. S., and Wang, C. M. (2013). Sorghum bmr6 mutant analysis demonstrates that a shared MYB1 transcription factor binding site in the promoter links the expression of genes in related pathways. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 13, 445–453. doi: 10.1007/s10142-013-0 335-2
- Li, J., Wang, L., Zhang, Q., and Liu, Y. (2015). Map-based cloning and expression analysis of BMR-6 in sorghum. *J. Genet.* 94, 445–452. doi: 10.1007/s12041-015-0550-9
- Li, Q., Fan, C. M., Zhang, X. M., and Fu, Y. F. (2012). Validation of reference genes for real-time quantitative PCR normalization in soybean developmental and germinating seeds. *Plant Cell Rep.* 31, 1789–1798. doi: 10.1007/s00299-012-1282-4
- Li, Q. F., Sun, S. S. M., Yuan, D. Y., Yu, H. X., Gu, M. H., and Liu, Q. Q. (2010). Validation of candidate reference genes for the accurate normalization of realtime quantitative rT-PCR data in rice during seed development. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 28, 49–57. doi: 10.1007/s11105-009-0124-1

- Lin, F., Jiang, L., Liu, Y. H., Lv, Y. D., Dai, H. X., and Zhao, H. (2014). Genome-wide identification of housekeeping genes in maize. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 86, 543–554. doi: 10.1007/s11103-014-0246-1
- Ling, H., Wu, Q., Guo, J., Xu, L., and Que, Y. (2015). Comprehensive selection of reference genes for gene expression normalization in sugarcane by real time quantitative RT-PCR. *PLoS ONE* 10:e97469. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097469
- Liu, D. S., Shi, L. D., Han, C. G., Yu, J. L., Li, D. W., and Zhang, Y. L. (2012). Validation of reference genes for gene expression studies in virus-infected *Nicotiana benthamiana* using quantitative real-time PCR. *PLoS ONE* 7:e46451. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046451
- Machado, R. D., Christoff, A. P., Loss-Morais, G., Margis-Pinheiro, M., Margis, R., and Körbes, A. P. (2015). Comprehensive selection of reference genes for quantitative gene expression analysis during seed development in *Brassica napus*. *Plant Cell Rep*. 34, 1139–1149. doi: 10.1007/s00299-015-1773-1
- Manoli, A., Sturaro, A., Trevisan, S., Quaggiotti, S., and Nonis, A. (2012). Evaluation of candidate reference genes for qPCR in maize. *J. Plant Physiol.* 169, 807–815. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2012.01.019
- Mariot, R. F., De Oliveira, L. A., Voorhuijzen, M. M., Staats, M., Hutten, R. C., Van Dijk, J. P., et al. (2015). Selection of reference genes for transcriptional analysis of edible tubers of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *PLoS ONE* 10:e0120854. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120854
- Nagaraju, M., Reddy, P. S., Kumar, S. A., Srivastava, R. K., Kavi Kishor, P. B., and Rao, D. M. (2015). Genome wide scanning and characterization of *Sorghum bicolor* L. heat shock transcription factors. *Curr. Genom.* 16, 279–291. doi: 10.2174/1389202916666150313230812
- Nakayama, T. J., Rodrigues, F. A., Neumaier, N., Marcelino-Guimarães, F. C., Farias, J. R., De Oliveira, M. C., et al. (2014). Reference genes for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction studies in soybean plants under hypoxic conditions. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 13, 860–871. doi: 10.4238/2014.February.13.4
- Nicot, N., Hausman, J. F., Hoffmann, L., and Evers, D. (2005). Housekeeping gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 2907–2914. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eri285
- Olsvik, P. A., Softeland, L., and Lie, K. K. (2008). Selection of reference genes for qRT-PCR examination of wild populations of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. BMC Res. Notes 1:47. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-1-47
- Paolacci, A. R., Tanzarella, O. A., Porceddu, E., and Ciaffi, M. (2009). Identification and validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 10:11. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-11
- Paterson, A. H., Bowers, J. E., Bruggmann, R., Dubchak, I., Grimwood, J., Gundlach, H., et al. (2009). The Sorghum bicolor genome and the diversification of grasses. *Nature* 457, 551–556. doi: 10.1038/nature07723
- Pfaffl, M. W., Horgan, G. W., and Dempfle, L. (2002). Relative expression software tool (REST (c)) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:e36. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.9.e36
- Pfaffl, M. W., Tichopad, A., Prgomet, C., and Neuvians, T. P. (2004). Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper - excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 26, 509–515. doi: 10.1023/B:BILE.0000019559.84305.47
- Price, H. J., Dillon, S. L., Hodnett, G., Rooney, W. L., Ross, L., and Johnston, J. S. (2005). Genome evolution in the genus Sorghum (Poaceae). Ann. Bot. 95, 219–227. doi: 10.1093/aob/mci015
- Reddy, D. S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Cindhuri, K. S., and Sharma, K. K. (2013). Evaluation and validation of reference genes for normalization of quantitative real-time PCR based gene expression studies in peanut. *PLoS ONE* 8:e78555. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078555
- Reddy, D. S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Reddy, P. S., Sri Cindhuri, K., Sivaji Ganesh, A., and Sharma, K. K. (2016). Identification and validation of reference genes and their impact on normalized gene expression studies across cultivated and wild cicer species. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0148451. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148451
- Reddy, P. S., Rao, T. S. R., Sharma, K. K., and Vadez, V. (2015a). Genome-wide identification and characterization of the aquaporin gene family in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.). *Plant Gene* 1, 18–28. doi: 10.1016/j.plgene.2014.12.002
- Reddy, P. S., Reddy, D. S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Sharma, K. K., and Vadez, V. (2015b). Cloning and validation of reference genes for normalization of gene expression studies in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)

R. Br.] by quantitative real-time PCR. *Plant Gene* 1, 35-42. doi: 10.1016/j.plgene.2015.02.001

- Reid, K. E., Olsson, N., Schlosser, J., Peng, F., and Lund, S. T. (2006). An optimized grapevine RNA isolation procedure and statistical determination of reference genes for real-time RT-PCR during berry development. *BMC Plant Biol.* 6:27. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-6-27
- Remans, T., Smeets, K., Opdenakker, K., Mathijsen, D., Vangronsveld, J., and Cuypers, A. (2008). Normalisation of real-time RT-PCR gene expression measurements in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exposed to increased metal concentrations. *Planta* 227, 1343–1349. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0706-4
- Saha, P., and Blumwald, E. (2014). Assessing reference genes for accurate transcript normalization using quantitative real-time PCR in pearl millet [*Pennisetum* glaucum (L.) R. Br.]. PLoS ONE 9:e106308. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0106308
- Shakoor, N., Nair, R., Crasta, O., Morris, G., Feltus, A., and Kresovich, S. (2014). A Sorghum bicolor expression atlas reveals dynamic genotype-specific expression profiles for vegetative tissues of grain, sweet and bioenergy sorghums. BMC Plant Biol. 14:35. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-14-35
- Shen, C., Bai, Y., Wang, S., Zhang, S., Wu, Y., Chen, M., et al. (2010). Expression profile of PIN, AUX/LAX and PGP auxin transporter gene families in *Sorghum bicolor* under phytohormone and abiotic stress. *FEBS J.* 277, 2954–2969. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07706.x
- Silveira, E. D., Alves-Ferreira, M., Guimaraes, L. A., Da Silva, F. R., and Carneiro, V. T. (2009). Selection of reference genes for quantitative real-time PCR expression studies in the apomictic and sexual grass *Brachiaria brizantha*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 9:84. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-9-84
- Silver, N., Best, S., Jiang, J., and Thein, S. L. (2006). Selection of housekeeping genes for gene expression studies in human reticulocytes using real-time PCR. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 7:33. doi: 10.1186/1471-2199-7-33
- Simon, B., Conner, J. A., and Ozias-Akins, P. (2013). Selection and validation of reference genes for gene expression analysis in apomictic and sexual *Cenchrus ciliaris. BMC Res. Notes* 6:397. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-6-397
- Sinha, P., Singh, V. K., Suryanarayana, V., Krishnamurthy, L., Saxena, R. K., and Varshney, R. K. (2015). Evaluation and validation of housekeeping genes as reference for gene expression studies in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) under drought stress conditions. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0122847. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0122847
- Thellin, O., Zorzi, W., Lakaye, B., De Borman, B., Coumans, B., Hennen, G., et al. (1999). Housekeeping genes as internal standards: use and limits. *J. Biotechnol.* 75, 291–295. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1656(99)00163-7
- Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M., et al. (2012). Primer3-new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, e115. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks596
- Vadez, V., and Sinclair, T. R. (2001). Leaf ureide degradation and N(2) fixation tolerance to water deficit in soybean. J. Exp. Bot. 52, 153–159. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/52.354.153
- Valasek, M. A., and Repa, J. J. (2005). The power of real-time PCR. *Adv. Physiol. Educ.* 29, 151–159. doi: 10.1152/advan.00019.2005
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3:RESEARCH0034. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034
- Vermerris, W. (2011). Survey of genomics approaches to improve bioenergy traits in maize, sorghum and sugarcane. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 53, 105–119. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.01020.x
- Walder, F., Brulé, D., Koegel, S., Wiemken, A., Boller, T., and Courty, P. E. (2015). Plant phosphorus acquisition in a common mycorrhizal network: regulation

of phosphate transporter genes of the Pht1 family in sorghum and flax. *New Phytol.* 205, 1632–1645. doi: 10.1111/nph.13292

- Wang, S. K., Bai, Y. H., Shen, C. J., Wu, Y. R., Zhang, S. N., Jiang, D. A., et al. (2010). Auxin-related gene families in abiotic stress response in *Sorghum bicolor. Funct. Integr. Genomics* 10, 533–546. doi: 10.1007/s10142-010-0174-3
- Wang, Z., Chen, Y., Fang, H. D., Shi, H. F., Chen, K. P., Zhang, Z. Y., et al. (2014). Selection of reference genes for quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction normalization in *Brassica napus* under various stress conditions. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 289, 1023–1035. doi: 10.1007/s00438-01 4-0853-1
- Wong, M. L., and Medrano, J. F. (2005). Real-time PCR for mRNA quantitation. BioTechniques 39, 75–85. doi: 10.2144/05391RV01
- Yang, H. L., Liu, J., Huang, S. M., Guo, T. T., Deng, L. B., and Hua, W. (2014a). Selection and evaluation of novel reference genes for quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) based on genome and transcriptome data in *Brassica napus* L. *Gene* 538, 113–122. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.12.057
- Yang, S., Murphy, R. L., Morishige, D. T., Klein, P. E., Rooney, W. L., and Mullet, J. E. (2014b). Sorghum phytochrome B inhibits flowering in long days by activating expression of SbPRR37 and SbGHD7, repressors of SbEHD1, SbCN8 and SbCN12. *PLoS ONE* 9:e105352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.01 05352
- Yang, X., Scheffler, B. E., and Weston, L. A. (2004). SOR1, a gene associated with bioherbicide production in sorghum root hairs. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 2251–2259. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erh252
- Yin, L., Wang, S., Liu, P., Wang, W., Cao, D., Deng, X., et al. (2014). Siliconmediated changes in polyamine and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid are involved in silicon-induced drought resistance in *Sorghum bicolor L. Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 80, 268–277. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.04.014
- Zhang, K., Niu, S. F., Di, D. P., Shi, L. D., Liu, D. S., Cao, X. L., et al. (2013). Selection of reference genes for gene expression studies in virus-infected monocots using quantitative real-time PCR. J. Biotechnol. 168, 7–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2013.08.008
- Zhu, J. F., Zhang, L. F., Li, W. F., Han, S. Y., Yang, W. H., and Qi, L. W. (2013). Reference gene selection for quantitative real-time PCR normalization in *Caragana intermedia* under different abiotic stress conditions. *PLoS ONE* 8:e53196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0053196
- Zhu, X. Y., Li, X. P., Chen, W. X., Chen, J. Y., Lu, W. J., Chen, L., et al. (2012). Evaluation of new reference genes in papaya for accurate transcript normalization under different experimental conditions. *PLoS ONE* 7:e44405. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044405
- Zmienko, A., Samelak-Czajka, A., Goralski, M., Sobieszczuk-Nowicka, E., Kozlowski, P., and Figlerowicz, M. (2015). Selection of reference genes for qPCR- and ddPCR-based analyses of gene expression in Senescing Barley leaves. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0118226. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118226

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Sudhakar Reddy, Srinivas Reddy, Sivasakthi, Bhatnagar-Mathur, Vadez and Sharma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.