

Cluster level information for POWB – 2019

RTB-CC4.1-Postharvest innovation & nutrition improvement

OUTPUTS TO BE HIGHLIGHTED (1 OR 2)

Output code – Title	Description available in MEL is clear and complete	Gender / Youth / CapDev Relevance updated	End date is 2019	Major risks that may hinder the delivery of results	Main source of funding	Means of verification
CC4.1.1.1-Product based consumer profiles within countries and/or regions, built, documented and shared with breeding programs for enhancing demand-led varietal development.	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	W3/Bilateral	Report
CC4.1.3.2 Innovations for postharvest losses reduction (including storage, shelf-life extension and enhanced postharvest management) of RTB food products developed, tested and validated	Yes	Yes	Yes	None	W1/2 and contribution of W3/Bilateral	Report

OUTCOMES TO BE HIGHLIGHTED (1 OR 2)

End-user food product quality traits identified during community surveys with processors, key informant, and consumers are incorporated into product profiles by breeders.

Partners and other stakeholders utilize Food composition tables for RTB crops to guide development of food products and food recipes



MAIN CHANGES IN THE LOGIC OF THE CLUSTER AND AREAS OF WORK THAT WILL BE DISCONTINUED

There are no main changes in the logic of the cluster in terms of theory of change and research areas. In 2017, the cluster conducted several workshops that were aimed at further developing the research thematic areas, setting priority research themes that are cross cutting in terms of centers and crops. A major output of the workshops was the development of a Strategy for the cluster. The strategy document is being used in developing research activities for the cluster.

NEW KEY EXTERNAL PARTNERSHIPS

Partner	Brief description of collaboration and value added*
National Environmental Management Authority (NEMA) of Uganda	Technical backstopping in the assessment of environmental impacts of wastes

*e.g. scientific or efficiency benefits in achieving expected results

NEW INTERNAL (CGIAR) COLLABORATIONS AMONG PROGRAMS AND BETWEEN THE PROGRAM AND PLATFORMS

Name of CRP or Platform	Brief description of collaboration (give and take among CRPs) and value added*
N/A	N/A

*e.g. scientific or efficiency benefits